# Packet for Drainage District #2

18 Apr 1921	Petition to Organize Drainage District #2; Exhibit A – Tax roll for 1920; Exhibit B – List of Non-Residents; Exhibit C - Undertaking Resolution to set hearing date; Official Notice and Affidavit of Posting		
May 1921	Protests by various individuals for removal of lands from Drainage District #2		
25 May 1921	5 May 1921 Petition to drain and reclaim land. (Re-petition??)		
31 May 1921	Resolution to re-set hearing due to a mistake in the published boundaries; Official Notice and Notice of Mailing		
June 1921	Protests by various individuals		
2 Jul 1921	Petition (Re-petition, or additional signatures of landowners??)		
July 1921	Protests by various individuals		
26 Jul 1921	Petition of 18 Apr 1921 found to not be in legal form, nor have adequate signatures. Petition is dismissed and all proceedings declared null and void.		
8 Aug 1921	Petition to organize Drainage District #2; Exhibit A – Tax Roll for 1921; Exhibit B – List of Non-Residents; Exhibit C – Undertaking Resolution to Set Hearing; Minute Entry, Official Notice and Affidavit of Posting		
Sep 1921	Protests by various individuals		
8 Sep 1921	Minute Entry, Proclamation and Resolution to Organize Drainage District #2		
24 Oct 1921	Resolution voiding the resolution of 23 May 1921		
27 Nov 1922	Supervisor's Report, containing description of drains and outlets; Resolution		
8 Feb 1923	Assessment Roll		
8 Feb 1923	Hearing Set and Assessment Notices Mailed		
Feb 1923	Protests from various landowners in district #2		
5 Mar 1923	Assessment Estimate		
28 May 1923	Resolution ordering changes in Assessment Roll		
23 Jan 1925	Account of District Funds Since 1921		
11 Jan 1927	Account of Funds for 1926		
Various dates	s Loan register for packet materials		

Township No.... ····· 10 11 12 11 10 12 17 ..... .... 16 . 15 14 . . 13 ... .... 18 ..... 17 ..... .... 16 . 15 14 13 20 ..... 22 23 ... 24 ..... .... 19 : 21 22 .... 20 .... 23 24 .... 28 . . . . 27 27 28 ... 26 32 33 34 36 31 13 32 33 ... 34 35 36 10 11 . X. 10 11 12 .... 17 .... 16 15-13 . 18 . 17 . .... 16 ) 14 . . . . . 13 ... 19 .. 20 .... 21 22 23 . 19 20 21 . 22 23 24 .... .... 30 ..... 28 .... 27 26 30 ..... 25 28 . . . 27 25 32 33 .... 34 35 . 36 33 . 34 36 Scale 5280 feet to an inch COURIER PRINT. HYRUM COURIER PRINT. HYRUM

Scale 5280 feet to an inch

LEGENO -- \* Dist Bound Line



the mater of the organization of a ainage district to be known as the ache county Drainage District No. 2.

#### PETITION

To the Honorable Board of County Commissioners in and for the said Cache County, State of Utah:

Your petitioners respectfully submit the following:

- of title or evidence of title to lands within the area proposed herein to be organized as a drainage district in the name of the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah, and that they own and control more than one-third of the area proposed to be included in the organization, which lands are susceptible of reclamation by drainage; and your retitioners de sire to provide for the reclamation of the said lands include within the area as hereinafter tounded and described.
- (2) The lands which your petitioners desire to have included within the proposed drainage district are situated in the said County of Cache, of Utah, and the boundaries of the proposed district are as follows:

### Beginning at a point at the s

Tp. 12 N., Range 1 E., Salt Lake Base outhwest corner of Sec. 18 three and one-half miles to the south and Meridian, thence east thence north two miles, thence west one warter corner of Sec. 15. onermile, thence west one-fourth mile furth mile, thence north Sec. 3, thence south one-fourth mile to the northwest corner of south one-fourth mile, thence west , thence west one mile, thence thence west one-fourth mile, thence one mile, thence north one mile, north one-fourth mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north half mile to the northwest corner of one-fourth mile, thence west onef. Sec. 31, Tp. 13 N. Range 1 E., Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence south one mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south three-i mile, thence south three-fourths mourths mile, thence west one-half south one-half mile, thence east oile, thence west one mile, thence mile, thence east one-half mile, the half mile, thence south one-half ence south one-half mile to the point of beginning.

enclosing an area of approximately 6840 acres; and a more particular description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

- Township 13 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base & Meridian South half, Northwest quarter, Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of Sec. 31.

Township 12North, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base & Meridian South one-half of Sec. 12 Northeast quarter of Sec. 13

### Tp. 12 Morth, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian

West one-half of West one-half of Sec. 3
South one-half, South one-half of North one-half of Sec. 4
South one-half of Sec. 5
East one-half, South one-half of Southwest quarter of Sec. 6
Allmof Sec. 7
All of Sec. 9
West one-half of Sec. 10
West one-half of Sec. 15
Allmof Sec. 16
All of Sec. 17
All of Sec. 18

(3) The starting points, routes and termini and general description of the proposed drainage systems are as follows:

Drain A: - Beginning at a point in the Bear River near the northwest corner of Sec. 31, Tp. 13, North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a general southeasterly direction and terminating near the south boundary of Sec. 31.

Drain B:- Beginning at a point in Hopkins Slough near the northwest corner of Sec. 7, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a southeasterly direction to a point near the center of Sec. 7, thence east to a point near the center of Sec. 8, thence northeasterly to a point near the southwest corner of the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Sec. 8, thence east to the west line of Sec. 10, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

Drain C:- Beginning at a point in the Big Slough near houth grate and Meridian, Extending in a northeasterly direction and terminating at a point near the north quarter corner of Sec. 18.

Together with the necessary interior drainage required to effect the efficient reclamation of the lands within the district boundaries.

Drain D:- Beginning of a faint in the Beat River near the west granter corner of Sex. 34, 76.13, N., R. IW., S. L. B. M., afterday enterly and northeaderly through Social 34,35-26 and 25 and terminating of a frie near the north granter corner of the switches is

- (4) Your petitioners herewith submit a true copy of the equalized county assessment roll for the year 1920 being the roll next preceding the presentation of this petition for the organization of the said drainage district, as shown by the records and assessment roll in the office of the county assessor of Cache County, State of Utah, as evidence of the ownership and title to the said described lands, which said copy of said assessment roll is attached hereto, marked "Exhibit A" and made a part of this petition.
- (5) Your petitioners herewith append an affidavit giving a list of non-residents who own land within the boundaries of the proposed district together with their places of residence when known, and where not known, stating the fact and that upon diligent inquiry they could not be ascertained, which said affidavit is attached hereto, marked "Exhibit B" and made a part of this petition.
- (6) Your petitioners herewith present an indemnity bond in the sum of \$3420 which is equivalent to 2 per cent of the estimated cost of the proposed drainage work, conditioned for the payment of any organization, preliminary and other lawful costs, in the event that this petition is denied or that, upon investigation, it is found that the cost of improvement will be in excess of the benefits to be derived, thereby necessitating the dismissal of the proceedings, which said indemnity bond is attached here to, marked "Exhibit" and made a part of this petition.

Wherefore, your petitioners respectfully pray as follows:

(1) That pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 95 of the Session Laws of Utah, 1913, and amendments thereto, the land above described and bounded be organized into a drainage district to be known as Cache County Brainage District No. 2, in the County of Cache, State of Utah; that said lands

may be drained and reclaimed and protected.

(2) That your honorable body fix a time and place for a hearing on this petition, and that due and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing upon said petition and the purpose for which the petition will be heard, be given as required by law, to all parties interested.

Your petitioners further pray that the said petition be granted; that the proposed drainage district be organised as a body corporate and that three drainage supervisors be appointed as provided by statute.

Witness the hands of your petitioners at Locan day of April .19 21 Number of Acres Owned in Area. Signatures of Landowners. x. xeamond Geo. 2. Ruder Wm Balle

mil.

Signatures of Landowners.

Humber of Acres Owned in Area.

In la Reeder Bec. 16 Sec 4-1578 Sec 10-38 9-2475 Per hels (36) Sec 921) (Sec 3-15) 38 See 9) ( Der 3 - 8 acres) Con Hancey Florence (Hunery 10 acres Sec 10) (1/3 intestin) 37 arra in seo 8 x 5 - 4a 13 int pin 37 acres \$ 20 84 3 7 4 see 32 aml John J. James 13 int in 37 acres dec 8 & Lamb 232 Acres in see 9. m. a. Sommons matken 144 acres in se 3 W marken 16 acres Sec. 9-6 acre Sec. 3. Ded 3 Lamb 18 acres Sec 9 2 2 acresin 3 ohn J. James 4 acres dec 3 8 acres ser dec 10 Grown Grant 5 acres Sec 9 24.9. Jane Lamb 5 acres inser 5 acres \$ 2010 V Willand Durelling Durel 8 acres Sec 4 Wiford G. Dames 15 / arren Sug. 824 Joshu H. Marking 11 1450 area ale 8 S. & quarter Henry . H. Seamons 4 Sec 9 DE+ Tarrany & But lan 3, Sec 3 nw4 J. Mallam 17/2 no 9 10 no. 4 Louisa & Searmone 12 acres Sec 9. Ill Seamour Joseph Waite 8 acres seelle

Signatures of Landowners.

M. G. Reeder Sars Christinser Charles H Balls Leo & Charott. In Leonge Phandle Il Jeannons Fredrick anderson Richard M. Richardson. i. W. Chambers. My Reese Chas Offindo Louis Petersen Wen Balls & tephen Thurston John & Lee L. Usherott mrss. R. Thurston Host James Tola a alliston Land Christer Andrew Woolf Andrew World a.V. Teese 1. OTuse 1 Offerse

Excess


Rumber of Acres Owned in Area.

1 Dans Sen. 3 .10 aces Sec 3 15 2 arrer in ser 9 15 acres in Sec. 16 10 in 3 W 4 3 5 pures in 88+5 6.61 in ses 9-12 016. 4.38 in sw4 9 56.700 384 5 28 acres Dec. 4 97 acres 30 a 20 31 24.10-16 14: in 9. , 10 in 8 7. aces is sec 16 14 ares in seo 16 4 27 aver in 8. \$4 ans in Sec 8 - 22 aver, in M.9 - 12 aver 100x 8 4 200 4 7 aires Block 4-B HP Townsite 8.7 Um Dec 4 and 22:23 of en tec 9 1410 Dec 12/W 50 in dec 10 (433m n W 5 62 in dec 9 54 ans in the 35 acres see 17 \$ anes Sec 17 and 76 in sec. 17. 1985 arres 64 m

Sign wes of Landowners. 37 acres in section 1/3 1 West Philip Purser Charles Purser HI acres in section 13 1 West. Lo. Coroley 80-40 in 7 and 40in 188 The S. in of the S.E. of Sec 6 This. 9.1. E. CWi Conclesion Howard Keese 42 ares in n. 8. 4 sec 19- Ja 12 n. 1 &. Ell Tarson Harvey mink Howard Ruse dionel Hank a. g'runi - Gottfried Beretter Desse J. Rees 12 m 3N 4 sec 6 20 acres Sec. 12. harles I. Weese 35 acres in sec 7 8 17 18 Dowe 1 D 1 Muse 129 to towner 115 fitmile 360 80 Included 280 pot Incl. andrew munk R. E. Jorgensen Lospinen Tomis 80 net Included So Hi astle Haura R Merrill Included

Witness the hands of you	or petitioners at, Utah,
this day of	, 19
Signatures of Landowners.	Number of Acres Owned in Area.
92 1 - 97	and, and and
me Taly ( ) ( ) ( )	8 60 in sec 73 119.47 in Sec 18
A STATE OF THE STA	15 10 aver Bec 73, 13.33 in sec 17.
Tha may Feese	17.23 acres in 7.3 13.33. in 17.
Sgah a Reese Petty	17.23 acres in 7 3, 13.33 in 17
Ger, Wh Neese 1	10 aver in sec 7.3 12.50 in 17
ady Dideny Res of yho	ey a Been admy
* Thomas W Perkes 140	3 int. in 10 acres in S.E. 434 13.56 in 92
Desse J. Rees	20 acres Sec. 8 - 20 acres Sec 12-1
Jephen Thurston	46 acres in sec 16
Loseph Maile	91/2 acres W.W.10
Morthurren	42 acres n. E. 4 9 Sev. 17.
mm Reese	40 avres in Sec 18
Lorenso Joolson 50	1// à !
Hyde Park Ward By Chasts Godes	49.69 S.E. + - 4-12 h. 1E.
	50141-000 0041 0011
- Tol. Wilson m. D. Hees	
70.0.40	23 arer in Seo 8 3 6 were in 2084 of 9.
Gamie In Hancey	8 arrer in Sec 8.
A. M. Jorenson J.	5 aruin SEt of Sec 5
Joz Sninson	5 Lecturin S. E't of sec 5
you Johnson?	5 Herer in SE of Sec 5
(My Maeison)	5 acres in & Et of Sec 5
Menom Valoliv	40 ares in 38" of SE of Sev 12, n 18
Eli J. Bell	160 a enis a ce 16717
James Balls	7 acres in 584 9.
Richard M. Pillarder	110 acres in sec 5 about 35 acres had min

Signatures of Landowners	Number of Acres Owned in Area
Um Follett	10
John Stremp	923,77 4598.
- former states	
Frink Follist	10
Christenca Hanson	45
* Carrie D. Kirby	Éin in 4; acres in nE 4 &cc
Mary D mors !!	3 ent in 4 acres in or & 2 of se
	14/



## CERTIFIED COUNTY ASSESSMENT ROLL.

of

## PROPOSED CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 2

in

Cache County, State of Utah, for the year 19 20.

Name of Owner (SE	Desc.	Section.	-	SELECT STORY	THE RESERVE	BANKET IN SECTION	March Co.	SCHOOL STATE OF	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
(Sour & Sourcon	434	-	-		COLUMN TO SERVICE	-		-	8.75	1965-
Joseph Waite	Lot	3	Blk,	.4,F	lat	D, 8	Smit:	hf.Farm	16.90	\$2930
Henry E. Hancey	11	2	11	II	11	11	11	11	15	2625
Fred T. Ballam	Pt. "	1	11	ii,	11	- 11	11	11	3	315
Jas. W. D. Hurren	u B	lk.	10,1	Plat	В,	Hyde	Pk.	Farm	26.05	5590
Lorenzo Toolson	Pt.	SW	4 N	#4					4.82	1085
Chas. R. Asheroft	11	11	11			-			3.80	555
Reuben A. Perkes	и В	lk.	10,1	Plat	в.	Hyde	Pk	.Farm	10.27	1375
и и и	11	11	11	11	11	11	(1	11	5.50	650
C. J. Drury	11	0	13,	11	A	11	- 11	11	4	500
John J. Lamb	11	11	11	11	11	11	н	(1	3	570
Lars Christensen	11	11	11	11	II.	11	11	11	8	1655
0 0	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	4. 26	645
Geo, Seamons	11	ti	11	11	11	ii	11	11	2.47	250
C/o J.W.Perker Eliza England	11		п	11	11	11	11	11	3	300
C/oHerbert Sea	amons	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	2	200
Thos. Matkin	11	11	11	11	11	11	17	11	8.25	1420
J. W. Perkes	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	ti .	5.50	650
M. C. Reeder	11	11	11	11	II	11	11	11	6.40	930
Wm. F. Harper	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	3.27	735
/5. 2. 208		S	ECT	ION	10-	Tp	. 1:	2 R. 1 E.		
J. E. Lee	Blk	. 3	Pla	t"A	Нус	le "P	k. "E	'arm	15	2700
Golden Reeder	11	11	11	- 11	-		11	п	11	2475
Moses "	0	11	11	11			11	п	11	2100
F. R. Hancey	11		11 11	11			11	11	1,25	280
11 11 11	11	11	11	p		1	U	11	9.90	1269
rancis C. Lee	"	11	11	11		1	11	11	3.27	
C. Lee Est.	11	11	11	11		1	11	п	6.90	1

	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND					
	Name of Owner	De	scription (Sec. 10	of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
ì	Peter Swenson	B11.2	A Marie and A second and a second	Hyde P& Farm	1.44	\$ 145
	Andrew Woolf	H	11	п	3.30	330
	Geo. F. Ashcroft	11	n	11	4.30	550
1	Joseph Waite	11	11	п	9.50	1,190
1	Rosel H. Hyde	11	11	п	2.97	160
	Andrew Woolf	11	11	п	36.31	5440
	Lester Morse	11	11	п	1.25	190
	Ida Woolf	11	2	II .	2.30	515
	R. H. Hyde	11	11	u u	10	1250
	11 11 11	11	11	u	6.04	525
	Geo. S. Daines	11	11	0.	6	750
	Fred Duce	ff.	11	· ·	11.25	1015
	Andrew Woolf	II	п	H .	6.43	645
	Geo. M. Grant	Pt. B	lk.2 Plat	A Hyde Pk.Farm	7.75	775
	Mary A. Grant	do		SALE TO THE TOTAL	2.45	245
	Rosalind Grant	do			2.12	215
1	Ennette Grant	do			8.20	820
7			SECT	TON 15 NW4		0.00
1	Delmer L. Daines	do		u street and the	8.95	1345
1	John Quayle	do			1166	15100
1	Joseph Waite	do.			5.18	520
100	Jos. B. Daines	do			2	450
	Emma Perkes	do			1.84	265
1	Mable Matkin	do			1.50	300
1	Suel E. Lamb	do			4.80	910
1			sw <sup>4</sup>			
1	Geo. D. Reeder	do			25.19	4250
	Merrall Clothing Co.	Pt. B	lk.181Pla	t A. Logan Farm	9.50	1900
	Nels Carlson	do			5	1000
	Ezra Carlson	do			5	1000
	Jas. Carlson	do			10	1500
	O. A. Follett	do			10	1800
1	Hannah J. Andrews	do	0		10	1000
	lma Olsen	do			10	1250
				1.1		

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel (Sec. 15 cont.)	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Andrew Nelson	Pt.Blk. 19 Plat A. Logan Farm	4.49	825
Aaron Lundberg	do	2.24	370
Christian Lundberg	do	2.24	370
Arthur Eames	do	10	1500
Geo. D. Reeder	do	10	1250
Heben Olsen	do	8.98	1350
Wilhelmina S. Beuble	r do	14.49	1800
	SECTION 7		
Thos. H. Reese	Pt. NE 4	22	1320
Chas. A. Reese	Pt. NE4	105	4375
Geo. Cowley	" NW 4	40	5750
Philip Purser	Lot 2 my <sup>4</sup>	37-	4700
Emil Berger	NW4 NW4; SW4 NE4	76	3475
A. V. Reese	Pt.SE <sup>4</sup>	54.03	1620
Wm. G. Reese	u u	32,46	810
A. I. Reese	Pt.ME4 sw4; Pt. w2 sE4	55.05	4400
Thos. H. Reese	и sw4	42, 20	4700
Midland Livestock Co	." sw4	35	1225
п п п	и и	35	1225
A. V. Reese	и оп	35	2100
Chas. L. Reese	11 11	20	600
	SECTION 8	588:7	+
Geo. Z. Lamb et al	Pt. ME 4 NE 4	10	350
Henry H. Seamons	n n n	14.50	510
J.W.D. Hurren	" N <sup>2</sup> NE 4	25.50	890
Geo. A. Saunders	" my <sup>4</sup> ne 4	10	300
Hyrum Morse	" E2 NE4	3	105
John L. Morse	ii ii ii	3	105
B. L. Morse	0 0 0	3	105
Jas. E. Morse	n n n	3	105
Kohn L. Morse	п () п	3	105
Geo. A. Saunders	" SE 4NE 4	8	240
Annie M. Hancey	n /n /n	10.50	315
	15		W. E. S.

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreane	Assessed Valuation
-	(Sec. 8 cont.)	-	
Wm. Balls	Pt., NE 4	27.80	835
Jos. Waite	" W <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	11.57	345
Royal E. Lee	" SW4 NE4	22.83	800
Thos. H. Reese	" N <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	31.50	945
Suel E. Lamb	" NE 4 NW 4	11.68	350
Hansine Christensen	" E <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	45.50	770
Chas. A. Reese	" SW <sup>4</sup> IW <sup>4</sup>	20.97	630
Hansine Christensen	" XW <sup>4</sup>	6.25	230
Geo. F. Ashcroft	" SE <sup>4</sup> INV <sup>4</sup>	7	245
п п п	11 11 11	4.80	170
A. G. Hyde	" NE 4 SE 4	32	-690 960
John E. Lee	" N <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	22	1000
C. C. Lee Est.	SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> & NE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> & pt. NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	106	3180
Thos. H. Reese	Pt. W <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	20	600
Wm. H. Thain	sw <sup>4</sup> se <sup>4</sup>	40	1600
John W. Rees	s <sup>2</sup> se <sup>4</sup> sw <sup>4</sup>	20	800
Philip Purser	Pt. NW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	35.80	1225
Wm. G. Reese	sw4sw4& Pt. Nw4sw4	44.92	1585
Jesse T. Rees	N <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	20	800
		624.12	
	SECTION 9 NE 4		
Reuben Perkes	Pt. N <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	13.56	1335
Louisa E. Seamons	" NE 4 NE 4	17.50	1830
Wilford G. Daines	" E <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	5.45	410
Geo. F. Ashcroft	n n n n	4.66	300
Geo. Z. Lamb	" W <sup>2</sup> MW <sup>4</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	16	960
Jas. W.D. Hirren	n E <sup>2</sup> n ii	6.12	400
Chas. R. Ashcroft	" W <sup>2</sup> IE <sup>4</sup>	2. 29	140
T Chowilford	G. Daines Pt. w 2 NH 4	3.48	210
C/o Chas.G. Hyde	9 11 11 11	2.75	135
Chas, & Hyde	Central Pt. W <sup>2</sup> NE 4	5.38	320
Chas. Balls	Pt. W <sup>2</sup> W <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	7.25	435
Suel E. Lamb	" SW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> NE 4	5.50	250

Heme of Owner	Description of Parcel	Aerenne	Assessed Valuation
c. c. Las Est.	Tt. (Sec. 9 cont.)		
C. C. Lee Est.	Pt. s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup> 1E <sup>4</sup>	7.60	380
Chas. G. Hyde	" SE4 NE <sup>4</sup>	6,50	810
Mary A.D.Morrell eta	1" W <sup>2</sup> E <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	4.51	270
C/o Chas. J. Drury Julia A. Drury	uu sw <sup>4</sup> ne	.90	45
C. C. Lee Est.	" s <sup>2</sup> NE 4	34.17	4390
	<u>w</u> 4	thinks reconstructed	
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. N pt. NE 4 NW 4	2.85	140
Reuben Perkes	" 1E4 W4	13.	650
F. C. Lee	H H. H	10	450
C. C. Lee Est.	" NW <sup>4</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	11.47	520
John E. Lee	11 11 11	12	540
Chas. H. Balls	" W <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	8	320
Suel E. Lamb	" E <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	9.60	475
John J. Lamb	H H H	18	990
Amalgamated SugarCo.	n n n	2.75	150
Reuben A. Perkes	Central Pt. NW <sup>4</sup>	13.48	675
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. W <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	14.40	505
S. B. Thurston Est.	" W <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	4.90	125
Jas. W. Seamons	Central pt. s <sup>2</sup> s <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	12	360
Jas. W. Perkes	Pt. w <sup>2</sup> wy <sup>4</sup>	13.44	405
R. A. Perkes	" s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup> mw <sup>4</sup>	7.43	225
Utah Northern Ry.Bo.	" E <sup>2</sup> NV <sup>4</sup>	5.64	encommunication or stole
	se <sup>4</sup>	more as more calculated and the	
Henry E. Hancey	Pt. N <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	21.12	1585
C/o R.H.Hyde Abigal Hyde	" E <sup>2</sup> NE SE <sup>4</sup>	4.45	535
Chas. G. Hyde	Central pt. N <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	14.40	1010
Jane E. Lamb	" " spt. N <sup>2</sup> sE <sup>4</sup>	4.25	235
Lurany Ballam	Pt. S pt. ME 4 SE 4	4.25	275
Nellie M. Hancey	central pt. N <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	6.38	225
C/oR.H.Hyde Eliz. H. Hyde	Pt. NE 4 INV SE 4	3.15	110
C. C. Lee Est.	" N <sup>2</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	3,15	140
Suel E. Lamb	" NW <sup>4</sup> NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	2,70	120
Orilla W. Ashcroft	n n n	. 56.	35
	11		

			1000000
Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
	(SE <sup>4</sup> Sec. 9 cont.)		ALC: NAME:
C/o Andrew Woolf Phoebe E. Gibson	Central pt. NWA SE 4	6.50	230
John W. Matkin	n n n	5.75	235
Heber Balls	W pt. NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	7.57	265
Jos. B. Daines	N pt. SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	6	330
11 12 11	11 11 11	44.10	225
Jas. Balls	s pt. N <sup>2</sup> sE <sup>4</sup> sE <sup>4</sup>	7	385
Lars C. Peterson	SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	10	385
Wm. A. Seamons	SW4 SE4 SE4 & SE4 SW4 SE4	20	1100
C. J. Drury	Pt. NE 4 SW SE 4	9.75	440
Jas. W. Perkes	n n' n' n' n' n'	5	175
C/oJK.W.Matkin John A. Woolf	Pt. NW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	5.85	205
C/o J.W.Matkin	и и и	5.87	205
F. C. Lee	SW SW SE4	10	350
Orilla W. Ashcroft	N pt. NE 4 SW4 SW4	17.76	620
W. G. Daines	S " " "	3.70	130
Chas. R. Ashcroft	и и и и	5.38	195
Fred Anderson	n n n n	4.37	155
Geo, W. Seamons	N pt. SE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	6.61	230
C/o J.W.Perkes Robt. Daines	" " SW	5.5	D75
J. W. Perkes	" " SW SW .	19.61	690
C/o J.W.Perkes Wm, A. Gibson	g " " "	8	280
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. sw NE 4 sw 4	4.94	175
Andrew Woolf	" s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup> sw <sup>4</sup>	10.50	37/0
C/o Wilfard Duce	" E SE 4 SW4	5	175
Willard and Fred Du	ceu u u		175
Absolm Woolf	s pt, se sw 4	11	385
Andrew Woolf	sw pt. s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup>	45.20	1 585
Utah Northern Ry.Co	(Right of Way)	4	
	SECTION 16		
M. C. Reeder	Lots 25 and 26	19.30	1430
H H H	Pt. NE <sup>4</sup>	79.49	3975
Geo. D. Reeder	Central pt. NE4	14.38	935
	10		

Name of Owner	. Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
c/o Geo.D.Reede	(Sec. 16 cont.)		
Robt. Reeder	Lot 16	22.70	1120
Geo. D. Reeder	Lot 13	4.65	325
н н н	" 14	4440	310
п п п	" 15	4.90	345
Stephen Thurston	11 24	15.28	765
Lars Christensen	11 2	12.83	450
Lars C. Peterson	" 11	11.41	400
Stephen Thurston	" 17	14~	630
Geo. M. Woolf	N <sup>2</sup> Lot 3	10.11	355
Archie Woolf	s <sup>2</sup> " "	10.11	355
C/o Lorenzo Pet Unknown Owner	terson Lot 10	7	245
C/o Wm. Balls	11 9	11	385
Crit. N. Hamp Eli J. Bell	18, 19 & 20	42.94	1500 /20
Margaret P. Cannon	11 8	15.27	535
C/o Wm. Balls Un known Owner	" 27	9.37	705
C/o Wm.Balls	11 28	6.38	385
Unlinown Owner		8	640
Jos. Waite	" 33	20	1100
Christian Larson	4 - 4 - 7	6.05	245
Ezra E. Eames	E <sup>4</sup> Lot 31		
John J. Ormand C/oMrs.Laura R.Me		66,61	2995
Lorin A. Merrill C/oA.W.Engign	Lot 41	7.10	320
Coo. L. Perrell	Pt. Lot 40	8.50	385
John H. Anderson	Nº Lot 40	8.62	390
Ezra E. Eames	Lot 39	10.74	540
Stephen Thurston	Lots 23, 29	41.62	2080
Arthur G. Eames	Lot 38	12.01	480
Eli, J. Bell	" 21, 22, 30	104.98	5350
	SECTION 17	-	
J. W. D. Hurren	NE 4 NE 4	40	1200
T. H. Reese	NW ME 4 & pt. s <sup>2</sup> NE 4	76	3040
Andrew Reese	E <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	30	800
R. O. Reese et al	Pt. W <sup>2</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	8	240

	Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
	Euphemia Griffiths	(Sec. 17 cont.)	80	2400
	R. O. Reese et al	Pt. s <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	76	2280
	Thos. H. Reese	Pt. s <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	4	160
	Wm. G. Reese	N <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	20	1000
	Thos. Blanchard	s <sup>2</sup> " "	20	600
	Chas. A. Reese	Pt. N <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	25	800
	C/o C.A.Reese Homer Brown	H H H H	667	25
	g/o Margaret P.C			200
	C/oThos.H. Rees	e " " "	3.32	1280
	Stephen Thurston			1800
	Eli J. Bell	Ept. Spt. SE <sup>4</sup>	22.49	480
	Louis D. Bodrero  Dominick Bodrero	$S = E^2 SE^4$	6	
	Dominick Bodrero	S " SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	20	1600
*	Serge Bodrero	N pt. SW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	<u>₽0</u>	400
	John "	Pt. SW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	22,23	890
	Chas. A. Reese	NE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> & W <sup>2</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	1200	4200
	Wm. Andrews	SE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	40	1200
1	\	SECTION 18		
4	Euphemia Griffiths	NE 4.	160	4800
	Moses M. Reese	N <sup>4</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	_39	1365
	Geo. Cowley	s <sup>2</sup> n <sup>2</sup> ny <sup>4</sup>	40	2000
	Florence Reese Round	y s <sup>2</sup> N <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	40	2400
	Inez Reese Thain	14 St 4 Central pt XW WW & Central st.	440	1400
	Chas. A. Reese	Pt. SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	25.65	900
	C/o Chas.A.Reese John A. Woolf	" s <sup>2</sup> sE <sup>4</sup>	30,21	1050
	Chas. A. Reese	" SX SE 4	13.55	540
	C/o M.E.Rogers H. T. Rogers	" s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup> se <sup>4</sup>	7	560
	Inez Reese Thain	" NW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	40	1400
	Parley A. Reese	Lot 49YSE 4 SW	76.97	1925
	Florence Rees Roundy		60 /	3600
		V. Pt SIN THE SW YELSE MW	18	1080
	John W. Reese	V" *SWNW+Est. SWNW Watyw	42 V	1680
		SW+Ept. nusu		
		20		
			The second second second second	

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
T. H. Reese	SECTION 6 IL Spt. Spt. Sw4	13.50	1350
A. J. Reese	и и и и и	17.10	1760
R. O. Reese	H-1 H H H	31.85	2915
A. V. Reese	11 11 11 11 8	7.20	1030
Christian W. Anderso	- Au transcription and the second sec	160	11200
John Mathews	Pt. lots 8, 9 Blk.6 Plat C and Smil	9.35	280
Jas. A. Hind	Pt. lot 7 blk. 6	6	180
Eliz. A. Smith	Pt. lots 15, 16, 5, 6 " "	10.75	325
Francis A. Roskelley	" " 4, blk. 6 Plat A " "	6.59	200
A. V. Reese	" 17, 18, blk.6 Plat A	11	440
Jos. C. Jorgenson	" 3, 19, 20 " " " "	25.20	755
Wm. G. Reese	Lots 24, 25, 26	10	400
n n n	Pt. NW <sup>4</sup> NE	30.45	915
Abraham Jorgenson	" W <sup>4</sup> NE <sup>4</sup>	2,50	305
Chas. A. Reese	п п п	4.40	545
Thos. H. Reese	п п п	3.22	445
C. A. Reese	и и и	22.15	430
W. G. Reese	и и и	5.31	865
T. H. Reese	п п п	4.72	285
1	SECTION 5 SE4	36/121	
John P. Toolson	Pt. blk.12 Plat C. Smithf. Farm	10	450
Peter Hansen	" N <sup>2</sup> NE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	10	450
Thos. Chambers	" " SE <sup>4</sup>	15	675
Parlet C. Chambers	и и и	5	225
Wm. A. Sorenson	Pt. NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	20	800
Geo. Chambers	w pt. nw <sup>4</sup> se <sup>4</sup>	11.68	465
Richard Richardson	sw pt. s <sup>2</sup> sE <sup>4</sup>	60.61	1820
Chas. J. Drury	Pt. SE SE 4	18,92	570
A	Ž.		7000
Jos. J. Richardson	SW SW SW SW SW SE SW SW	110 4 C	3300
S. E. Damb	SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	10	300
S. P. MicIson	N pt. N <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup>	40	1200

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel SECTION 4 SW	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Samuel P. Nielson	A A A NW SW	14	1120
Valvin Cragun	11 11	8	800
Jas. a. Cragun	11 11	6	480
Wallace "	II II	6	480
The ophilus Chambers	S pt. N pt. SW4	10	660
George Chambers	ME 4 SW <sup>4</sup>	10	600
Wm. Scrowther	n n	9	720
Wm. Chambers	an a	4.37	350
Robt. Hopkins	Pt. NE 4 SW 4	4	320
Robt&Christofferson	1	6.08	365
Louisa E. Seamons	Pt. SE <sup>4</sup> "	7.20	435
P. D. Griffiths	E ptt. SE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	8.49	510
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. SE <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	5.10	410
Utah Northern RyCo.	E <sup>2</sup> SW <sup>2</sup> (Right of Way)	4	
Wm. Follett	Pt. E 2 SW	9	540
Frank Follett	и и и	9	540
P. C. Chambers	W pt. SE4 SW4	8	400
Alfred B. Chambers	Pt. s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup>	7	420
11 11 11	" sw <sup>4</sup> sw	16.22	730
Chas. J. Drury	u u u	10.47	325
P. D. Griffiths	11 11 11	8.49	510
	SE 4		
C/o John E.Lee Wm. F. Harper	Blk. 9 Plat C. Smithf. Farm	11.78	1770
Chas. L. Ashcroft	до и и и и и	10.20	1045
Chas. R. Ashcroft	n 10 " " " "	10.20	1045
Lorenzo Toolson	n n n n n n ii	5	500
E. and Milo Nilson	Pt. NW <sup>4</sup> NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	5	500
Jos. Forrester	" sw <sup>4</sup> nw <sup>4</sup> se <sup>4</sup>	2.89	290
John P. Toolson	" W <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	5	400
n n n	11 11 11	55	400
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. SW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	5.33	3 20
Francis C. Lee	E <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>2</sup>	30.25	3795
Reuben Perkes	s <sup>2</sup> se <sup>4</sup> se <sup>4</sup> (~~)	10.20	1270

	Home of Owner	Description of Parcol	Acreage	Assessed Veluction
	Moses Richardson	Sec. 4 cont.	144	1400
	Theo. Chambers	11 11	9.75	1200
	11		2.75	165
1		THE THE	77	875
	II II		9.75	2195
		SE <sup>4</sup> MV <sup>4</sup>	10	1250
	Mary A. Chambers		10	1250
	Wm. Scrowther	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	state Persentation and	230
with	Soren Peterson		1.50	
1	Hyrun P. Serenson		6.82	1085
	Edgar and Milo Nilso	Mit II	6.50	1005
	Kerste Jensen		9.77	1330
-	Geo. Hind		10	2600
	Anna M. Peterson	11 11	10	1960
	John P. Toolson	II II	20	2500
	Lorenzo Toolson	il II	10	1375
	Chas. R. Ashcroft	II II	6.44	1360
	Helen M. Toolson		4.82	1085
	C/o Gottfried John Carlisle	SECTION 31 Twp.13 R. PE Beutler E <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup>	80	8875
1	A. E. Parsons	E pt. w <sup>2</sup> Nw <sup>4</sup>	30	2865
1		t.NW <sup>A</sup>	50	4510
1	Margaret Williams Wil	4 4	40	6225
	Total Control of the	Pt. S <sup>2</sup> SW <sup>4</sup> & pt. S <sup>2</sup> NW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>	76.62	10130
	W. G. Reese	s pt. s <sup>2</sup> sw <sup>4</sup>	88.37	1885
	Abraham Jorgenson			TOTAL SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH
	Mattie Mathews	Spt. NW <sup>4</sup> SW <sup>4</sup>		320
	Andrew Munk	n <sup>2</sup> nw sw <sup>4</sup> sw <sup>4</sup> se	30	2150
	Mary Ann S. McCrack		2.85	340
	er and the section of	SEA SECTION 31, To.13 R 1 E.	a management accommodate	Englishmen server on verse
1	Mary E. Farrell	Pt. NE 4 SE 4	. 25	2250
	Wm. H. Noble	п и и	5	300
	Ira E. Noble	" NY SE 4	22	2200
	W. H. Noble	11 11 11	15.27	1910
	Martha A. Toombs	W2 W2 SE4 1/3	6.80	1290

	Name Mof Owner	Description of Parcel (Sec. 31, AE 4 cont.)	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
	Howard Reese	W <sup>2</sup> W <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	9.29	1010
-	W. H. Noble	Pt. S <sup>2</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	30.43	1605
1	Howard Reese	п п п	20	1200
	John Mathews	11 12 11	2.45	170
	Marie Fonnesbeck	п п п	.94	100
	Parley Nilson	E pt. NE 4 SE 4	4.94	500
	Chas. McCann	n - n - 2 - n - 0	9.96	900
	Martha A. Toombas p	tSW4 NE4	17.50	3125
1	John Carlisle	п п	16.27	2275
1	alliston	SECTION 12, DTp. 212 R. 1 W., NE 4 SE 4		
V	Lola A. Albiston		41	1620
		YNW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	31	1950
	Jesse T. Reese	Pt. NW <sup>4</sup> SE <sup>4</sup>	9.90	660
		t NE 4 SW <sup>4</sup>	9.64	865
		II II	9.64	625
		" " " b A	29	355
	Florence Rees Roundy		22	225
	Marinus J. Falslev		70.25	2395
	Jesse T. Reese "		20	1100
	Mary A. Cook et al	SE4	10	900
	Marinus J. Falsley	SE <sup>4</sup>	70	2240
	0000	Sx 13 5/p. 12 R 1 W		
	Philip Purser	8" NE 7 - 1WME	120	3240
	gas. C. Mayhan	NE ME	40	1080/
\				406,210
		net		

ref

## CERTIFICATE

COUNTY OF CACHE)

of Cache, State of Utah, hereby certify that the foregoing assessment roll is a true and correct transcript and copy from the official county assessment roll for the year 1920 as shown by the records of my office.

at Agan, in Cache County, Utah, this 16 day of April.

A.D., 1921.

Assessor of Cache County, Utah.

#### EXHIBIT "B"

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

Names of Non-Residents.

#### LIST OF MON-RESIDENTS

Following is a list of non-residents who own land in the area proposed to be organized as a drainage district to be known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah, together with their places of residence, where known, and in the event that the places of residence of any non-residents are not known, an affidavit setting forth that upon diligent inquiry, the places of residence could not be ascertained:

Addresses.

Ruby Woolf Ammussen

Florence Roundy

Parley Chambers

Wallace Cragun

Calvin Cragun

Clear Creek, Utah, or

703, 2 So. Provo, Utah,

Provo, Utah

Rosalind Grant

Provo, Utah,

STATE OF UTAH ) ss.

I, Share Super. being duly sworn, hereby certify that the foregoing is correct and that in the event that the places of residence of any non-residents are not given, upon diligent inquiry such places of residence could not be ascertained.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18thday of April ...

Chast Hyde

MH.Chugg,

My commission expires June 16th, 1923.

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

## AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF UTAH
COUNTY OF GACHE SS.
E. a. Parsons and Charles & Hyde, and
a. V. Reese each being first duly sworn, upon oath do
severally, and not one for the other, depose and say; I am a signer of
the petition to the Honorable Board of County Commissioners for Cache
County, State of Utah, praying for the organization of Cache County
Drainage District No, 2 and praying for other things necessary to and
in the organization of the said District, signed by
and 91 others, bearing date of April 18th 1921, and filed
with the County Clerk of Cache County, State of Utah, the 18th
day of April 1921, I am acquainted with the locality of said
proposed district; said petition is signed by a majority of the owners
of title to lands within said proposed District who own at least one-
third in area of the lands proposed to be affected by the work of drainage
by said District.
Than Hands
AUSeese.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of Amril
A. D., 1921.
MH Chugg  Notary Public f
My commission expires June 26th, 1923.
my commission expires

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2 in Cache County, State of Utah,

UNDERTAKING

Whereas, <u>Earsons</u> and <u>94</u> others have filed with the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, their petition praying for the organization of a drainage district in Cache County, State of Utah; and,

Whereas, the estimated cost of the proposed improvements in said proposed drainage district is \$25.00 per acre for approximately 6840 acres of land, and 2 per cent of this total estimated cost is \$3420.

Now, therefore, we, the undersigned residents of Cache County, State of Utah, in consideration of the premises, jointly and severally undertake in the sum of \$1420 and promise and undertake that the condition of this Undertaking shall be to the effect that if the said Board of County Commissioners upon or after the hearing of said petition, shall find no merit in the petition or shall find that the cost of the proposed improvement, or improvements, shall be in excess of the benefit, or benefits, to be derived therefrom, that the petitioners will pay all costs of preliminary surveys and for the publication of notice, and such other lawful expenses as may have been incurred by the Board of County Commissioners, to which amount we acknowledge ourselves jointly and severally bound to said Board of County Commissioners.

Each for himself says that he is a resident and householder in the said State and County and is worth the sum in said Undertaking specified as the penalty thereof, over and above his just debts and liabilities ex-

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of April A. D. 1921.

STATE OF UTAH

My Comm. Expires June 16, 1923.

clusive of the property exempt from execution.

A Committe composed of E. A. Parson, Charles G. Hyde and A. V. Reese presented a written petition on behalf of said E. A. Parson, and others, by more than a majority of the land owners owning more than a majority of the land within the proposed Drainage District to be known as the Cache County Drainage District #2. On motion of Commissioner Murray seconded by Commissioner Hyer it was ordered that the petition as presented by the Committee be accepted and filed by the Clerk. Thereupon Commissioner Murray moved the adoption of the following Resolution:

### RESOLUTION

Whereas, E. A. Parson, and others have this day filed with the County Commissioners a Petition in due form by a majority of the land owners owning a majority of the lands in the proposed Irrigation District and praying for the creation of a Drainage District to be known as Cache County Drainage District # 2 which petition contains a general description of the work proposed and a particular description of the proposed boundaries of the District together with a list of the land owners and the amount of land owned by each and their place of residence together with an Undertaking in the sum of \$3420.00 being 2% of the estimated cost of the proposed improvements in the said Drainage District in the manmer and form required by law.

Now, therefore in consideration of the premises it is hereby ordered 1921 that Wednesday the 25th day of May, at 10 o'clock A. M. at the Commissioners Room in the County Court House in Logan City, Cache County, Utah, at a Special Meeting of the County Commissioners be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place for the hearing of the said petition or for any contests thereto on the part of any land owners within the said District and that the Clerk of Cache County, Utah, shall give notice of the time and place of the hearing by publication in the Logan Republican a tri-weekly newspaper printed and published in Logan, Cache County, Utah, for at least three weeks, the last date of publication shall be one week before the date of hearing and by posting a copy of the said notice at the front door of the county court house in Cache County, Utah, and in at least three public places in the proposed District, and the form of the

### NOTICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
CACHE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No.2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

TO ALL PERSONS INTERESTED:

Notice is hereby given that the petition of E. A.

Parsons and others, praying for the organization of a drainage district, to be known and named as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2., in Cache County, State of Utah, was presented to the Board of County Commissioners, of Cache County, State of Utah, on the 18th day of April, A. D., 1921, and was filed with the County Clerk of said Cache County, in the courthouse building, in Cache County, State of Utah, at Logan City, on the 18th day of April, A. D., 1921, and said petition is now on file in the office of the said County Clerk.

. The boundaries of the land proposed to be included in said proposed Drainage District are described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point at the southwest corner of Sec. 18, Township 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence east three and one-half miles to the south quarter corner of Section 15, thence north two miles, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one mile, thence west one-fourth mile to the northwest corner of Section 3, thence south one-fourth mile, thence west one mile, thence west one mile, thence west one mile, thence west one mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-half mile to the northwest corner of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 1 east, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence south one mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south three-fourths mile, thence west one-half mile, thence south three-fourths mile, thence west one-half mile, thence south one-half mile, thence east one-half mile, thence east one-half mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south one-half mile to the point of beginning, enclosing an area of approximately 6840 acres; and a more particular

description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

Township 13 North Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base & Meridian

The South half, Northwest quarter, Southwest Quarter of the North-east quarter of Section 31.

TOWNSHIP 12 North, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base & Meridian

South one-half of Section 12. Northeast quarter of Section 13.

### TOWNSHIP 12 North Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

West one-half of West one-half of Section 3.
South one-half, South one-half of North one-half of Section 4.
South one-half of Section 5.
East one-half, South one-half of Southwest quarter of Section 6.
All of Section 7.
All of Section 8.
All of Section 9.
West one-half of Section 10.
West one-half of Section 15.
All of Section 16.
All of Section 17.
All of Section 18.

The starting points, routes and termini and general description of the proposed drainage systems are as follows:

Drain A:- Beginning at a point in the Bear River near the northwest corner of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a general southeasterly direction and terminating near the south boundary of Section 31.

Drain B:- Beginning at a point in Hopkins Slough near the northwest corner of Section 7, Township 12 North, Range 1 East Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a southeasterly direction to a point near the center of Section 7, thence east to a point near the center of Section 8, thence northeasterly to a point near the southwest corner of the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 8, thence east to the west line of Section 10, Township 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

Drain C:- Beginning at a point in the Big Slough near the southwest corner of Section 18, Township 12 North, Range 1 east of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a northeasterly direction and terminating at a point near the north quarter corner of Section 18.

Together with the necessary interior drainage required to effect the efficient reclamation of the lands within the district boundaries.

The purpose of organizing the said drainage district is to construct drains for the reclamation and protection of lands within the proposed district.

petition and will request that the same be granted at the regular meeting of the said Board of County Commissioners to be held on the 25th day of May A. D., 1921, at the hour of 10 o'clock A. M., or as soon thereafter as they can be heard at the courthouse of Cache County, In Logan City, Utah, and on the hearing of said petition all parties interested and through or upon whose land any of the proposed work may be constructed, or whose land may be damaged or benefited thereby, may appear and contest the necessity or utility of the proposed work, or any part thereof, and contestants and petitioners may offer any competent evidence in regard thereto.

Witness my hand at Logan City, in Cache County, Utah, this 18th day of April, A. D., 1921.

County Clerk of Cache County, Utah.

#### AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING

State of Utah, SS. County of Cache

I. A. E. Parson being first duly sworn depose and say: That on the 23rd day of April A. D. 1921 by Order of the County Clerk of Cache County, Utah, I posted three copies of the attached "Notice" to all persons interested", such notices being to property owners within the proposed Cache County Drainage District #2 informing them of the date on which a hearing will be given by the Board of County Commissions at Logan, Utah, as required

That the places within the boundries of the said district at which I posted the said notices are as follows:

Chapter 41 of the Session Law of Utah for 1919.

by section 2042 of the Compiled Laws of Utah, 1917, as amended by

One at Inter Sextion of Syde Park and State Highway.

One at King Tost Office

One at Inter Sextion of Visit land of Benson cutoff or Feture Bridge. that all of the said notices were prominanently posted in public and conspicuous places where they could easily be seen and read

Subscribed and sworn to before me at Logan, Cache County, Utah, this 3. day of April, 1921.

within the boundries of the said proposed drainage district.

arson

County Clots.

In the matter of the Organization of a drainage District to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No.2, in Cache County. State of Utah .

### Proof of mailing Notice.

I, Lillian McCulloch, being first duly sworn on oath say, that I am a citizen of the United States, over twenty one years of age; that on the 27th day of April A. D. 1921, I mailed full, true and correct copies of of the annexed notice, be depositing the same in the U.S. Postoffice, at Logan City, Utah, postage prepaid to the following named persons and add-Sandwich Islands Lou Ochin. ressed to wit:

Ruby Woolf Ammussen,

Florence Roundy,

Parley Chambers,

Wallace Cragun.

Calvin Cragun

Eunetta Grant

Rosalind Grant,

Tremonton, Utah

Rexburg, Idaho.

1142 E. 3 S. Salt Lake City, Ut.

Clear Creek, Utah or

703. 2 So. Provo. Utah.

Provo. Utah.

Provo, Utah.

Subscribed and sworn to before methis 27th day of April A. D. 1921.

Lielien M. Cullock.

#### CE RTIFICATE OF POSTING.

I, W. H. Chugg, County Clerk of Cache County, Utah, hereby certify that on the 27th day of April 1921, I posted a true and correct copy of the attached notice "To all the persons interested " being a notice of the time and place of hearing the petition for organization of Cache County Drainage District # 2, as required by Section 2042 Compiled Laws of Utah, 1917, as amended by Chapter 41 of the Session Laws of 1919, at the door of the County Court House in Logan City, Cache County, Utah.

WHOlinga County Clerk.

In witness whereof etc

#### PROTEST

IN THE MATTER OF THE PROPOSED ORGANIZATION ) APPLICATION FOR OF CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 2.

: EXCLUSION OF LANDS FROM SAID DRAINAGE DISTRICT

To the Honorable Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah.

The undersigned respectfully petitions and requests your Honorable Board to exclude from the above entitled Drainage District the following described tracts of land owned by the undersigned and situated in Cache County. State of Utah. to-wit:

All that portion of the right of way of the O regon Short Line Railroad Company located in Sections 4, 9, 16. Township 12 North, Range 1 East, S. L. M.

All the land hereinbefore described is used by the Oregon Short Line Railroad Company as a right of way and cannot and will not be benefitted by being included in a Drainage District and therefore should not be included in Cache County Drainage District No. 2 and should not be assessed any damages by reason of the organization of said district.

Dated, Salt Lake City, Utah May 18, 1921

OREGON STORD LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

Logan, Utah, May V/

I hereby acknowledge receipt of the above protest.

NOTE:

County Clerk will please sign both copies; keep one and return one.

BEFORE THE HON. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY, UTAH.

In the matter of the Organization of Cache County Drainage District Number Two.

PROTEST AND OBJECTION OF JOHN J. ORMOND.

Comes now John J. Ormond, one of the land holders and electors of Cache County Drainage District Number Two and hereby objects and protests as follows;

Objects to the proposed Organization of the said District and to the calling of an Election in the matter of the Organization of the said District.

Protests against and objects to the inclusion of his lands within the said proposed District and Respectfully Demands that his lands be excluded from the said Proposed District for the following reasons:

- 1. That the said Proposed District will not benefit the lands of this Contestant and will incur great and unnecessary expense and inconvenience to him.
- 2. That the lands of Contestant are now productive and suitable for the uses to which said land is put and that the same will not be improved or increased in value by the formation of the proposed District.
- 3. That the lands of Contestant can be excluded from the proposed District with -out detracting from or imparing the efficiency of the said Proposed District.

  Wherefore Petitioner prays that in the event of the Organization of the said Proposed District that his lands may be excluded therefrom.

The lands of contestant consists of about 70 acres situated in the S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 16, Twp. 12 North of Range One East of Salt Lake Meridian, being situated in the extreme S.E.corner of the said Proposed District.

John. J. armond

John, J. Ormand

State of Utah, ss. County of Cache,

John J. Ormond being first duly sworn deposes and says; that he is the contestant named in the above Contest and Petition and the person who signed the same. That he has read the said Document andknows the contents thereof and that the same is true of his own knowledge.

Subscribed and gworn to before me this 25th. day of May. A.D.1921.

Residence, Logan, Utah.

# PROTEST OF HENRY GRIFFITHS AGAINST DRAINAGE DISTRICT No.2.

To the Board of County Commissioners, Logan, Cache County, Utah.

Henry Griffiths, being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the owner of the North one half of the North-west quarter of section 17 township 12 North range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian and the North-east quarter of section 18 township 12 North of range one East of the Salt Lake Meridiam; that he is informed the the said lands are included in a proposed drainage/known as Cache County Drainage District Number 2; that he hereby protests against the inclusion of the above described lands in said drainage district and hereby protests against the granting of a certain petition, signed by E.A. Farsons and others, on file in the County clerks office, so as to include the above described lands.

That the drainage of the said lands would be of no benefit whatsoever but on the contrary would be a great determent for the reasons that the surface soil generall is from a few inches to a foot in deapth and that the subsoil is of an impervious clay which cannot be tilled without expense disproportinate to the value of the land; that the land is of a nature which is suitable for hay and pasture and is not fit for tillable crops; that if the said land is grained it will require and encreased irrigation and there is now no source from which mor water can be obtained to irrigate the same.

Subscribed and sworn to befroe me this 31st day of May 1921.

Notary Public

My commission expires 12-19-21.



# PROTEST OF GERRGE GRIFFITHS AGAINST DRAINAGE DISTRICT No.2.

To the Hon. Board of County Commissioners, Cache CountybUtah.

says that he is the owner of 31 acres of land situate in the North-west quarter of the South-east quarter of section 12 township 12 North range one West of the Salt Lake Meridian.

That he is informed that the said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cacche County Drainage District Number 2; that he hereby protests against the inclusion of the above described land in said district and hereby protests against the granting of a ceratin petition, signed by E.A. Parsons and others, on file in the County clerks office, so as to included the above described land or any part thereor.

That the drainage of the said land would be of not benefit whatsoever for the reason that the said land is not at all water logged; that all of the said land is under irrigation and is planted to sugar beets and alfalfa; that if the said land is drained it will require more water that there is no new available and there is no source show which the said supply of water can be obtained.

\*\*Leggen\*\* Laggetta\*\*

Subscribed and sworn to befroe me this 31st day of May 1921.

C. Chambers
Notary Public.

my commission expires Dec. 19th 1921.

PROTEST OF MARY A.CHAMBERS AND WILLIAM SCROWTHER
FOR INCLUDING CERTAIN LANDS IN DRAINAGE DISTRICT

## NUMBER TWO? CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

To the Hon. Baord of County Commissioners, Cache County Utah.

A.W.Chambers, as agent for Mary A.Chambers, and William Scrowther, being first duly sworn depose and say that they are the owners of the following described lands;

from the South-west corner of the North-west quarter of section four township 12 North of range one East S.L.M. and running thence East 10 chains; thence North 69 rods; thence worth 10 chains; thence South 69 rods to the place of beginnighthat they hereby protest against the inclusion of the said land in drainage district No.2 and protests against the granting of a petition of E.A.Parsons and others, so as to include the above described lands.

That all of the said lands are irrigated and are dry and would not be benefited in any way by drainage, but on the contrary would be damaged to a very material extent.

and Chamber-

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31st day of May 1921.

Notary Public

My commission expire Dec.19th 1921.

Protest of the inclusion of certain lands in Drainage District No. 2.

To the Hon Board of County Commissioners, Cache County Utah.

Nilson, for himself and \_\_\_\_ Edgar, and Joseph Nilson for Kerster A. Nilson, being first duly sworn depose and sayd that they are the owners of the following described lands;

Commencing at a point 20 chains South of the North-west corner of the Northeast quarter of section 4 towhship 12 North range one East S.L.M. and running thence East q chainslthence South 66 rods; thence Westerly10 chains; thence North 66 rods to the place of beginning; that they hereby protest against the inclusion of the said lands in Drainage district No.2 Cache County Utah.

That the said lands are dry and require irrigation and would receive no benefit from dainage but would be amaged thereby.

for Wilson Cies Mileson Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31st day of May 1921.

Notary Public.

Commission expire Pec.19th.1921.

Protest .

Logan. May 31, 1921.

Commissioners met the Farmers relative to the drainage District No. 2. Protests were made by the following: Heber Olsen stated his objection .

John Griffith " recommending meeting adjourn to later.

L.F. Roundy representing Mrs Thain and Mrs Roundy and Mr. Jesse T. Reese, Mr John Reese Jr. estate.

42 acres  $SW_{\frac{1}{4}}$  of Sec 18,  $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$  of SE of Sec. 18 stating no water on land and cant get any more.

Mrs Florence Reese Roundy 14 acres, Sec 12.

William Thain 40 acres, stating not enough water.

J. C. Maughan 40 acres, NE c. Sec. 13. stating only ten acres of water to same.

Mrs Mary E. Farrell 25 acres and 53 acres in sec. 31, not enough water, cost her \$200. to get same.

Mr. J. W. Reese 20 acres sec. 8 not enough water, hayland of no benefit to be drained.

Mr. J. W. Reese 22 acres sec. 12, stated land right on the hill not enough water \_\_\_\_\_most of land in  $N_2^1$  SW $_4^1$  sec 12. mostly pond.

Mr. Wm. Scrowther of Smithfield, asked ten acres to be excluded in sec. 4

Mrs Anna Maria Peterson , Fonnesbeck, wanted to be exkit excluded 10 acres.

Jesses T. Reese 20 acres in sec. 8 only secondary water right not enough water.

W. H. Beuhler and Albert Steffenhagen 15 and 5 acres  $SW_4^1$  Sec ....

Meeting adjourned to 4 o'c lock.

O Mira

#### PROTEST.

To the Hon. Board of Cou nty Commissioners, Cache County, Utah Earl Gordon, as agent of Foster J. Gordon, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the owner of acertain tract of land in section six township 12 North range one East of the S.L.M. that he hereby protests against the inclusion of the said land in drainage district No.2 Cache County Utah.

That the said land is high t and dry and is now under irrigation; that drainage of the same would be of not befiefit whatever but would material y damage the said lang would Michel Lordon

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31st day of May 1921.

Notary Public

My commisssion expire Dev. 19th 1921.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Cache County, State of Utah

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage district No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

14

#### PETITION

To the Honorable Board of County Commissioners in and for the said Cache County. State of Utah:

Your petitioners respectfully submit the following;

That your petitioners are the owners of title or evidence of title to the lands, the description of which is set opposite to their names, which lands are susceptible of reclamation by drainage, and your petitioners desire to provide for the reclamation of the said lands,


Wherefore, your petitioners respectfully pray as follows;
That pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 95 of the Session
Laws of Utah, 1913, and amendments thereto, the lands described be included in the proposed Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in the County of Cache, State of Utah, that said lands may be drained and reclaimed and protected.

Your petitioners further pray that the petition for the organization of Cache County Drainage District, No. 2, in the County of
Cache, State of Utah, be granted; that the proposed drainage district
be organized as a body corporate and that three drainage supervisors be
appointed as provided by Statute.

Witness the hands of your petitioners at Logan, Utah, this 25thday of May, A.D. 1921.

Signature of Landowners	Description of Lands
administrator S. B. Thurston Con	the 17 acres Block 4 Plat "B" Hyde Townsite
Kosabelle: Thurston widow	Survey
	(7 ares in the nE4 and in
Stephen Thursday	{ the 8. E. of Section 10 Tp 12 12. 18.
Andrew Woolf	Barres in Block 4 Plat B. H. P. Townsite

Commencing at N. W. Lor. Let B. Blk. 4, Plat" a" Syde Bark Townsite Survey and running thence & 18 rode to the lenter of said Blk. 4. Theme S. 19 rode to the S. line of raid Blk. 4 Blat" a" styde Bark Townsite Survey, thence & 18 rode, thence S. 4 4 rode to the S. & Porner of Zot 1 Blk 4 Plat" B" styde Bark Townsite Survey, thence & 38 rode, thence S. 28 rode, thence West 80 rode, thence North 91 rode, thence East 6 rode to Beginning. Containing approximately 28 acres. Townsite Survey, all of Block The S. W. of Block 4 Plat" B" styde Park Townsite Survey, all of Block 4 Plat" B" styde Bark Townsite Survey, Part of the n. 24 forting france Township 12 north of range 16 act, 3 Part of \$6" section 5 for, Part of \$6" section 10 5 ownship Twelve 12 north of Range 5 for, Part of \$6" section 10 5 ownship Twelve 12 north of Range 16 act & Bale ack Base and meridian.



BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,
Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

#### PETITION

To the Honorable Board of County Commissioners in and for the saidCache County, State of Utah;

Your petitioners respectfully submit the following;

That your petitioners are the owners of title or evidence of title to the lands, the description of which is set opposite to their names, which lands are susceptible of reclamation by drainage, and your petitioners desire to provide for the reclamation of the said lands.

Wherefore, your petitioners respectfully pray as follows;

That pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 95 of the Session Laws of Utah, 1913, and amendments thereto, the lands described be included in the proposed Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in the County of Cache, State of Utah, that said lands may be drained and reclaimed and protected.

Your petitioners further pray that the petition for the organoization of Cache County Drainage District, No. 2, in the County of
Cache, State of Utah, be granted; that the proposed drainage district
be organized as a body corporate and that three drainage supervisors be
appointed as provided by Statute.

Witness the hands of your petitioners at Logan, Utah, this 25th day of May A.D. 1921.

Signature of Landowners	
andrew munk	The S. E. 1/4 f set 35 J. 13, 1W, 160 acres
Cendum munk	The Sig N. W. 4 of section 25, 713, 1.W. 80 acres
annie In Toombs	The N. 19 8. E. 4 of section 265. 13, 1W. 80 acres
annie m. Toomlo	The & 3 of the n 2 of the n. W. 4 of section 25, J13, 1W 40 acres
E. alarson	The South 2 of the S. E. & of section 26 J. 13, W BOREN
AM. Jorgensen	The M. E. 4 of section 35 J 13 1W. 160 acres

# Description of Lands

R. E. Jargemsen The	S. 3 of the S.W. 4 of section 25, J 13 11 8
Al Jogensen The	S 2 fthe SW 4 of section 25, J 13 11 80 N 2 9 the SW 4 of section 25, J 13, 4, W 8 S L Sic 2 4 JS /3 R /W 8
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

## RESOLUTION

Whereas, E. A. Parson, and others have on the 18th day of April, A. D. 1921 filed with the County Commissioners a Petition in due form by a majority of the land owners owning a majority of the lands in the proposed Drainage District and praying for the creation of a Drainage District to be known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2 which petition contains a general description of the work proposed and a particular description of the proposed boundaries of the District together with a list of the land owners and the amount of land owned by each and their place of residence together with an Undertaking in the sum of \$3420.00 being 2% of the estimated cost of the proposed improvements in the said Drainage District in the manner and form required by law, and,

Whereas, through a mistake of the publisher, the of the boundaries description/in the notice published was not in the manner and form required by law and it is advisable and necessary to renotice the said hearing.

Now, therefore in consideration of the premises it is hereby ordered that Saturday the 2nd day of July, 1921, at 10 o'clock A. M. at the Commissioners Room in the County Court House in Logan City, Cache County, Utah, at a Special Meeting of the County Commissioners be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place for the hearing of the said petition or for any contests thereto on the part of any land owners within the said District and that the Clerk of Cache County, Utah, shall give notice of the time and place of the hearing by publication in the Logan Republican a tri-weekly newspaper printed and published in Logan, Cache County, Utah, for at least three weeks, the last date of publication shall be one week before the date of hearing and by posting a copy of the said notice at the front door of the County Court House in Cache County, Utah, and in at least three public places in the proposed

District, and the form of the said notice shall be substantially as follows:

#### NOTE E

Before the Board of County Commissioners, Cache County, State of Utah

In the Matter of the Organization of a Drainage District to be Known Adv-6-25 as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

Was secont all persons interested:

Notice is hereby given that the petition of E. A. Parsons and others praying for the organization of a drainage district, to be known and named as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County. State of Utah, was presented to the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, on the 18th day of April, A. D. 1921, and was filed with the County Clerk of said Cache County in the courthouse building, in Cache County, State of Utah at Logan City, on the 18th day of April A. D. 1921, and said petition is now on file in the office of the said County Clerk.

The boundaries of the land pro-

The boundaries of the land pro-posed to be included in said propos-ed Drainage District are described as

ed Drainage District are described as follows to-wit:

Beginning at a point at the southwest corner of Sec. 18; Township 12

North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian thence east three and one half miles to the south quarter corner of Section 15, thence north two miles, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one mile, thence west one-fourth mile to the northwest corner of Section, 3 thence south one-fourth mile, thence west one mile, thence west one mile, thence west one mile, thence west one fourth one mile, thence west one fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-half mile to the northwest corner of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence south one mile, thence east one half mile, thence south three-fourths mile, thence west one-half mile, thence south one-half mile, thence south one-half mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south one-half mile, thence east one-half mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south one-half mile to the point of beginning enclosing an area of approximately 6840 acres; and a more particular description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

Township 13 North, Range 1 East. Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

The South hal south he Northeast quarter of 5 soop ssoot and NGU mtle,

ife. You must devote part of your in ecognize His hand in your every day th tave reverence for God. You must ed t now much you know, you must he

work, or any part thereof, and con-testants and petitioners may offer any competent evidence in regard

thereto.

Witness my hand at Logan City.
in Cache County, Utah. this 31st
day of May, A. D. 1921.

W. H. CHUGG.

County Clerk of Cache

County, Utah.

adoption of the said Resolution er and unanimously passed.

any, A. D. 1921.

Chairman

Commissioner

all of East one-har lon 6. Southwest quar All of Section All of Section 8 All of Section 9. West one-half of Section 10. West one-half of Section 15 All of Section 16. All of Section 17. All of Section 18. The starting points, routes and termini and general description of the proposed drainage systems are as follows:

DRAIN A-Beginning at a point in the Bear River near the north-

west corner of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian ,extending in a general southeasterly direction and terminating near the south boundary

of Section 31. DRAIN B-Beginning at a point in Hopkins Slough near the northwest corner of Section 7, Township 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake

Base and Meridian, extending in a southeasterly direction to a point near the center of Section 7. thence east to a point near the center of Section 8, thence northeasterly to a point near the southwest corner of

the northwest quarter of the north-east quarter of Section 8, thence east to the west line of Section 10. Township 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian. DRAIN C .- Beginning at a point in the Big Slough near the southwest corner of Section 18. Township 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a northeasterly direction and terminating at a point near the north quar-

ter corner of Section 18. Together with the necessary interfor drainage required to effe efficient reclama



#### NOTICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
CACHE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

#### TO ALL PERSONS INTERESTED:

Notice is hereby given that the petition of E. A.

Parsons and others, praying for the organization of a drainage district, to be known and named as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah, was presented to the Board of County Commissioners, of Cache County, State of Utah, on the 18th day of April, A. D., 1921 and was filed with the County Clerk of said Cache County, in the Courthouse building, in Cache County, State of Utah, at Logan City, on the 18th day of April, A. D., 1921 and said petition is now on file in the office of the said County Clerk.

The boundaries of the land proposed to be included in said proposed Drainage District are described as follows, to wit:

Beginning at a point at the southwest corner of Section 18,
Township 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian,
thence east three and one-half miles to the south quarter corner
of Section 15; thence north two miles; thence west one-fourth
mile; thence north one mile; thence west one-fourth mile to the
northwest corner of Section 3, thence south one-fourth mile; thence
west one mile; thence south one-fourth mile; thence west one mile;
thence north one mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north
one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth
mile; thence west one-half mile to the northwest corner of Section
31, Township 13 North, Range 1 east, Salt Lake Base and Meridian;
thence south one mile; thence east one-half mile; thence south
three-fourths mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south threefourths mile; thence west one mile; thence south one-half mile;
thence east one-half mile; thence south one-half mile;
thence east one-half mile; thence south one-half mile; thence east
one-half mile; thence south one-half mile to the point of beginning,
enclosing an area of approximately 6840 acres; and a more particular
description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

Township 13 North Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian



The South half, Northwest quarter, southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 31.

Township 12 North, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base & Meridian.

South one-half of Section 12. Northeast quarter of Section 13.

Township 12 North Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

West one-half of West one-half of Section 3.
South one-half, South one-half of North one-half of Section 4.
South one-half of Section 5.
East one-half, South one-half of Southwest quarter of Section 6.
All of Section 7.
All of Section 8.
All of Section 9.
West one-half of Section 10.
West one-half of Section 15.
All of Section 16.
All of Section 17.
All of Section 18

The starting points, routes and termini and general description of the proposed drainage systems are as follows:

Drain A:- Beginning at a point in the Bear River near the Northwest corner of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a general southeasterly direction and terminating near the south boundary of Section 31.

Drain B:- Beginning at a point in Hopkins Slough near the northwest corner of Section 7, Township 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a southeasterly direction to a point near the center of Section 7, thence East to a point near the center of Section 8, thence northeasterly to a point near the southwest corner of the northwest quarter of the northwast quarter of Section 8, thence east to the west line of Section 10, Township 12 North Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

Drain C:- Beginning at a point in the Big Slough near the southwest corner of Section 18, Township 12 North, Range 1 east of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a northeasterly direction and terminating at a point near the north quarter corner of Section 18.

Together with the necessary interior drainage required to effect the efficient reclamation of the lands within the district boundaries.

The purpose of organizing the said drainage district is to construct drains for the reclamation and protection of lands within the proposed district.

The said petitioners will ask for a hearing on said petition and will request that the same be granted at the regular meeting of the said Board of County Commissioners to be held on the 2nd day of July, A. D., 1921 at the hour of 10 o'clock A. M., or as soon thereafter as they can be heard at the courthouse of Cache County, in Logan City, Utah, and on the hearing of said petition all



parties interested and through or upon whose land any of the proposed work may be constructed, or whose land may be damaged or benefited thereby, may appear and contest the necessity or utility of the proposed work, or any part thereof, and contestants and petitioners may offer any competent evidence in regard thereto.

Witness my hand at Logan City, in Cache County, Utah, this 18th day of April, A. D., 1921.

County Clerk of Cache County, Utah.

M Chuga

In the matter of the Organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

## Proof of mailing Notice.

Ruby Woolf Amussen,
Florence Roundy,
Parley Chambers,
Wallace Cragun,
Calvin Cragun,

Eunetta Grant Rosalind Grant Sandwich Island Jerutory of Hawaii
Tremonton, Utah
Rexburg, Idaho
1142 E. 3 S. Salt Lake City, Utah
Clear Creek, Utah and

Clear Creek, Utah and
703, 2 So. Provo, Utah.
Provo, Utah
Provo, Utah

Pielin M Cullock

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 day of June, A. D. 1921.

County Clerk, Cache County, Utah.

PROTEST, - DRAINAGE DISTRICT No.2/
TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
CACHE COUNTY, UTAH.

Comes now Marie Fonnesbeck and Protests to the creation of Drainage District No.2, as proposed, and protests to the inclusion in the said drainage district of the following described premises, belonging to and owned by protestant, to-wit:

.94 acres in the S  $\frac{1}{2}$  of SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec. 31, 13 N. 1 E.

11.50 acres in Section 32, Township 13 N. Range 1 E.

Upon the ground that the said premises do not need to be drained, but the said premises are dry and need irrigation and protestant now irrigates the same; that if the said proposed drainage district is created the said premises will be still dryer and will require more water for the production of the same amount of crops, and will therefore be a positive detriment as well and a wholly unnecessary burden and expense to this protestant.

Dated this 11th day of June, 1921.

Attorney for Protestant.

Marie Homesbeck

# PROTEST, - DRAINAGE DISTRICT No.2.

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY, UTAH.

Comes now Anna Maria Petersen( also known on the records as Mary T. Petersen, and Annie M. F. Petersen) and protestes to the creation of proposed Drainage District No. 2, and protests to the inclusion in the said drainage district of the following described premises, belonging to and owned by this protestant,

5.01 acres in Sec. 33, Township 13 N. Range 1 E., valued at \$650.00;

1.6 acres in Sec. 28, Township 13 N. Range 1 E., valued at \$180.00;

2.21 acres in Sec. 28, Township 13 N. Range 1 East of the S.L.M., valued at \$240.00

.94 ACRES IN St of SEt of Sec. 31,13 N. 1 E. S.L.M.

Upon the ground that the said premises do no need to be drained, but the said premises are dry and need irrigation, and protestant now irrigates the same for the production of crops, that if the said proposed drainage district is created the said premises will be still dryer and will require more water than at present forthe production of same amount of crops, and will therefore be a positive detriment as well as a wholly unnessary burden and expense to this protestant.

Dated, June 14th, 1921.

By. For Formesteck

PROTEST OF HENRY GRIFFITHS AGAINST INCLUSION OF CERTAIN LANDS IN DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

State of Utah ss. County of Cache

Henry Griffiths, being first duly sworndeposes and says that he is the owner of the following described land; to wit: The North one half of the North-west quarter of section 17 and the North-east quarter of section 18 township 12 North range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian for Utah; that the said lands are included in a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage District Number two; that he hereby protests against the inclusion of said lands in said drainage district and hereby protests against the granting of a certain petition signed by E.A. Parsons, and others, asking for the creation of said district, on file in the office of the County clerk, so as to include the lands above described. That the drainage of the said lands would be of no benefit whatever but on the contrary would be a great detriment for the reason that the surface soil is from a few inches to a foot in deapth and that the sub-soil is of an impervious claywhich cannot be tilled without an expense disproportionate to the value of the land; that the said lands is of a nature which is suitable for hay and pasture and is not suitable for tillable crops; that the said lands are now irrigated but there is now an insufficient supply and if the said lands are drained it will require and increased irrigation and there is now no source from which more water can be obtained to irrigate Henry Griffiths the same.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of June 1921

Notary Public.

My commission expires Dec. 19th 1921.

PROTEST OF HENRY GRIFFITHS AGAINST THE CREATION OF DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

Sate of Utah ss.

To the Board of County Commissioners
Cache County Utah.

Henry Griffiths, being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the owner of 240 acres of land in sections 17 and 18 township 12 North range one ast of the S.L.M. which said lands is included in a certain proposed drainage district known as Cache County Prainage District No.2.; that he hereby proteets against the organization of said district on the ground that a majority of the owners of title or evidence of title of lands within said proposed district, who own or control not less than one third in area of the land to be reclaimed or benefited nor does it contain one third of the owners of title of lands within said proposed district and who own or control a major portion in area of the lands to be reclaimed or benefited, have not presented a petition to the Board of County Commissioners as is provided in section 2040 compiled laws of tan 1917.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of June 1921.

Notary Public.

To the Hon. Board of County Commissioners, Cache CountybUtah.

George Griffiths, being first only sworn, deposes and says that he is the owner of 31 acres of land situate in the North-west quarter of the South-east quarter of section 12 township 12 North range one West of the Salt Lake Meridian. That he is informed that the said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cacche County Drainage District Number 2; that he hereby protests against the inclusion of the above described land in said district and hereby protests against the granting of a ceratin petition, signed by E.A. Parsons and others, on file in the County clerks office, so as to included the above described land or any part theref.

That the drainage of the said land would be of not befiefit whatsoever for the reason that the said land is not at all water logged; that all of the said land is under irrigation and is planted to sugar beets and alfalfa; that if the said land is drained it will require more water that there is now available and there is no source from which the said supply of water can George Suffilm be obtained.

Subscribed and sworn to befroe me this 30th day of June 1921.

Notary Public

my commission expires Dec. 19th 1921.

PROTEST OF H.P.SORENSON TO THE INCLUSION OF
N CERTAIN LANDS IN CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE
DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

To the Hon. Board of County Commissioners, Cache County Utah.

H.P.Sorenson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the owner of the following described tract of land, to wit;

Commencing at a point 40 rods South and two chains West the North-east corner of the North-west quarter of section four township 12 North range one East of the Salt Laker Meridian for Utah, thence West 6 rods, more or less, thence South-westerly 30 rods, more or less; thence East 1.80 chains, mote or less; thence North 30 rods, more or less, to the place of beginning; which said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage District No.2. That he hereby protests against the inclusion of the said lands in the said district and hereby protests against the granting of a certain petition signed by E.A. Parsons and others, on file in the office of the County Clerk, so as to include the above described land or any part thereof.

That the said land is now dry and is growing sugar beets and is irrigated many times during each and every year; that it would materially damage the same to drain it.

H of Soie 1201

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of June 1921.

Notary Public.

My commission expires Dec.19th 1921.

# PROTEST OF JOSEPH E.SORENSON TO INCLUSION OF CERTAIN LANDS IN CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE

#### DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

To the Hon. Board of County Commissioners,
Cache County tah.

Joseph E. Sorenson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the owner of the following described tract of land, to wit:

commencing at a point 40 rods South and 7 chains West of the North-east corner of the North-west quarter of section four township 12 North of range one East of the 3.L.M., and running thence South 30 rods; thence East 2.16 chains; thence North-easterly to a point East of the point of beginning; thence West 3.20 chains to the place of beginning; which said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage District Number two. That he hereby protests against the inclusion of the said lands in the said district and hereby protests against the granting of a certain petition signed by E.A. Parsons and others, on file in the office of the County clerk, so as to include the above described lands or any part therof.

That the said land is now planted to sugar beets and is irrigated many times during each yearand that the said land would be very materially damaged by drainage.

Protestant

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of June 1921.

"otary Public

Logan, Utah.

My commission expires Dec. 19th 1921.



# BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage district No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

# PETITION .

ereff ar

To the Honorable Board of County Commissioners in and for the said Cache County, State of Utah;

Your petitioners respectfully submit the following;

That your petitioners are the owners of title or evidence of title to the lands, the description of which is set opposite to their names, which lands are susceptible of reclamation by drainage, and your petitioners desire to provide for the reclamation of the said lands.

Wherefore, your petitioners respectfully pray as follows:-

That pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 95 of the Session Laws of Utah, 1913, and amendments thereto, the lands described be included in the proposed Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in the County of Cache, State of Utah, that said lands may be drained and reclaimed and protected.

Your petitioners further pray that the petition for the organization of Cache County Drainage District, No. 2, in the County of
Cache, State of Utah, be granted; that the proposed drainage district
be organized as a body corporate and that three drainage supervisors be
appointed as provided by Statute.

witness the hands of your petitioners at Sogan, Utah, this and day of July, A.C. 192/.

Signature of Landowners	Description of Lands
John Ricks	50 and 320
CHNEIN OSamuel Atable	30
" Jul anouste B. Ttehous	320
My No Polis B. Piggs	80
Mill Baldond	18
John Och aleiston	33 1/4

# PROTEST AGAINST THE INCLUSION OF CERTAIN LANDS IN DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO, CACHE COUNTY.

Theophilus Chambers, being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the owner of the following described lanus; to wit; Commencing at a point 10 rods North and 28 rods "ast of the South-west corner of the North-west quarter of section 4 township 12 North of range one hast of the Salt Lake Meridian; thence East 60 rods; thence North 70 rods; thence West 60 rods; thence South 70 roos to place of beginning; which said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage District number two. That he hereby protests against the inclusion of the said lands in the said district and protests against the granting of a certain petition signed by E.A. Parsons and others, on file in the office of the County Clerk, so as to include the said lands or any part therof. That the said lands are now planted to sugar beets, potatoes, peas and other crops and is irrigated many times ineach and every year and that there would be no benefit derived from drainage but the same would be very materially damaged thereby. Theo Chamles &

Protestant.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of July 1921.

A STATE OF THE STA

Notary Public.

My commission expires Dec. 19th 1921.

PROTEST OF MOSES RICHARDSON AGAINST INCLUSION OF LAND IN DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO 2? CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

To the Hon. Beard of County Commissioners, Cache County Utah.

State of Utah County of Cache

Moses Richardson, being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the owner of the following described lands; Commencing at a point 63 links North from the South-west

corner of North-west quarter of Section 4, thente East 28 rods thence North 80 rods; thence West 28 rods thence South 80 rods to place of beginning in section 4 township 12 North range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian; that the said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage district No. 2: that he hereby protests against the inclusion of said land in the said district and hereby protest against the granting of a certain petition signed by E.A. Parsons and otherex on file in the office of the County Clerk, so as to ind ude the above land. That the said land is dry and is irrigated many times during each and every year and that drainage of the same would be of great damage and would not benefit it in any way.

Moses Hickordson

Protestant.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2nd day of July 1921.

My commission expires D c.19th 1921.



# RESOLUTION

In the matter of Cache County Drainage District #2.

Whereas, on the 18th day of April 1921, E. A. Parsons and others duly filed with this Commission a Petition in due form purporting to be signed by a majority of the land owners who own more than one third of the area of the lands with the proposed district praying for the creation of Cache County Drainage District #2, and.

Whereas this Board by proper resolution and notice fixed July 2nd 1921 as the time and place for hearing the said Petition, and,

Whereas on said day H. W. Griffiths and a large number of land owners appeared before this Board and protested against the granting of the same in the manner prescribed by law and this Commission adjourned this meeting and the further hearing of said petition from time to time to this \_\_\_\_\_ day of July, 1921 and all competent evidence has been heard in the premises, and

Whereas, it duly appears that the petition is not signed by a majority of the land owners within the proposed District or the owners of a majority in area of the lands proposed to be included in said District and therefore this Board of County Commissioners are without jurisdictio to declare the said District created.

Now, therefore be it bereby Resolved by the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, that the said petition is not in legal form and has not been signed by the number of persons required by law and be it further resolved that pursuant to the provisions of Sec. 2044 Compiled Laws of Utah, 1917 as amended by Chapter 74 Session Laws of Utah 1921, the said Petition be and the same hereby dismissed at the costs of the petitioners and all proceedings under the said petition are hereby declared null and void.

Passed by the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County this 26th day of July A. D. 1921.

Attest.

Clerk

Ha Themen Chairman

Commissioner

a. W. Styer

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2" in Cache County, State of Utah.

# PETITION

To the Honorable Board of County Commissioners in and for the said Cache County, State of Utah.

Your petitioners respectfully submit the following:

- evidence of title of a major portion in area of the lands proposed to be reclaimed or benifited, or which are susceptible of drainage within the area proposed herein to be organized as a drainage district in the name of the Cache County Drainage District No. 2 in Cache County, State of Utah. and that they are a majority of such owners who represent at least one-third in area of lands proposed to be reclaimed or benifited, included in the organization, which lands are susceptible of reclamation by drainage; and your petitioners desire to provide for the reclamation of the said lands included within the area as hereinafter bounded and described.
- (2) The lands which your petitioners desire to have included within the proposed drainage district are situated in the said county of Cache, State of Utah, and the boundaries of the proposed district are as follows:

Beginning at the southwest corner of Sec. 15, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 E., S.L.B. & M. and running thence north one mile, thence east onehalf mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence east one-fourth mile, thence north one-half mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-half mile to the south quarter corner of the northwest quarter of Sec. 3, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 E. S.L.B.& M. I thence west one and three-fourths miles, thence south one-half mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one and one-fourth miles, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-half mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-half mile, thence north three-fourths mile, thence east one-half mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence west one-half mile, thence south onefourth mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence south one-fourth mile, thence west one-fourth mile, thence south one-fourth mile, thence west one-half mile to the center of Sec. 26, Tp. 13 N. R. 1 W. S.L.B.& M., thence south one and one half miles, thence east one half mile, thence north one mile, thence east one mile, thence south one mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south three-fourths mile, thence west onehalf mile, thence south three-fourths mile, thence west one mile, thence south one-half mile, thence west one-half mile, thence south one mile to the south quarter corner of Sec. 14, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 W., S.L.B.& M., thence east one -half mile, thence south one -half mile, thence east one and one-fourth miles, thence north one-fourth mile, thence east onefourth mile, thence north one fourth mile, thence east two and one-half miles, to the point of beginning.

enclosing an area of approximately 8400 acres; and a more particular description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

In Tp. 12 N., R. 1 E., S. L. B. & M.

W 1/2 of SW 1/4 Sec. 3

S 1/2 Sec. 4

SE 1/4, SW 1/4 of SW 1/4 Sec. 5

E 1/2, S 1/2 of SW 1/4 Sec. 6

All of Sec. 7

All of Sec. 8

All of Sec. 9

W 1/2, SW 1/4 of NE 1/4, NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec. 10

All of Sec. 16

All of Sec. 17

All of Sec. 18

SW 1/4 and N 1/2 of NW 1/4 of Sec. 19

In Tp. 13 N., R. 1 E., S. L. B. & M. W 1/2, SE 1/4, and the SW 1/4 of NE 1/4 Sec. 31

In Tp. 13 N., R. 1 W., S. L. B. & M.

S 1/2 of SE 1/4 Sec. 24

S 1/2 and NE 1/4 of NW 1/4, SW 1/4, S 1/2 of SE 1/4 Sec. 25

SE 1/4 Sec. 26

# 1/2 Sec. 35

In Tp. 12 N., R. 1 W., S. L. B. & M.

S 1/2 Sec. 12

All of Sec. 13

E 1/2 Sec. 14

N 1/2 Sec. 24

No.

(3) The starting points, routes and termini and general description of the proposed drainage systems are as follows:

Drain A: - Beginning at a point in the Bear River near the northwest corner of Sec. 31, Tp. 13, North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a general southeasterly direction and terminating near the south boundary of Sec. 31.

Drain B:- Beginning at a point in Hopkins Slough near the northwest corner of Sec. 7, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a southeasterly direction to a point near the center of Sec. 7, thence east to a point near the center of Sec. 8, thence northeasterly to a point near the southwest corner of the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Sec. 8, thence east to the west line of Sec. 10, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

Drain C:- Beginning at a point in the Big Slough near the south quarter corner of Sec. 14, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 west of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending in a northeasterly direction and terminating at a point near the north quarter corner of Sec. 18.

Drain D:- Beginning at a point in the Bear River near the west quarter corner of Sec. 34, Tp. 13, North, Range 1 West, of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, extending easterly and northeasterly through Sections 34, 35, 26 and 25 and terminating at a point near the north quarter corner of the southwest quarter of Sec. 25.

Together with the necessary interior drainage required to effect the efficient reclamation of the lands within the district boundaries.

- equalized county assessment roll for the year 1920 being the roll next preceding the presentation of this petition for the organization of the said drainage district, as shown by the records and assessment roll in the office of the county assessor of Cache County, State of Utah, as evidence of the ownership and title to the said described lands, which said copy of said assessment roll is attached hereto, marked "Exhibit A" and made a part of this petition.
- (5) Your petitioners herewith append an affidavit giving a list of non-residents who own land within the boundaries of the proposed district together with their places of residence when known, and where not known, stating the fact and that upon diligent inquiry they could not be ascertained, which said affidavit is attached hereto, marked "Exhibit B" and made a part of this petition.
- the sum of #4400 which is equivalent to 2 percent of the estimated cost of the proposed drainage work, conditioned for the payment of any organization, preliminary and other lawful costs, in the event that this petition is denied or that, upon investigation, it is found that the cost of improvement will be in excess of the benefits to be derived, thereby necessitating the dismissal of the proceedings, which said indemnity bond is attached hereto, marked "Exhibit C" and made a part of this petition.

Wherefore, your petitioners respectfully pray as follows:

(1) That pursuant to the provisions of Title 265 of the Compiled Laws of Utah, 1917, and amendments thereto, the land above described and bounded be organized into a drainage district to be known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in the County of Cache, State of Utah; that said lands may be drained and reclaimed and protected.

No.

(2) That your honorable body fix a time and place for a hearing on this petition, and that due and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing upon said petition and the purpose for which the petition will be heard, be given as required by law, to all parties interested.

Your petitioners further pray that the said petition be granted; that the proposed drainage district be organized as a body corporate and that three drainage supervisors be appointed as provided by statute.

Witness the hands of your petitioners at <u>Logan</u>, Utah, this 8 day of <u>August</u>, 1921.

Joseph B. Daines Wary D. Worredt Andivided 3 each in 4.50 Lydia J. Dain John H. Mackin. 37 Jointly 18 & Individually V (2) 29 Valla (26 arren in 16 -Jane Samb 6 & from & B Thurston 106V Stephen Thurston 14 \_ J.W. Seamous 120 1 4.370 ~ 18 Florence Reeder Hancey 10 Bells 15th V 1263 8361 1661 -1 4.0 A. asperoft Geo. J. asheroff. 15F(14) 6元はレ 7/26X w Steler Ballo 47 -(21) me arrive 106K V 51 0 als 12 in sec 15 " Sep D. Ready 690V Stephen Thursday

Number of Acres Owned in Area Signatures of Landowners 44 8KV lenge Chambers 13 6.15 3 (i. W. Chambrers 5 Soren De Sorensen of 000 h none 1120K. 11 . Andrew Woolf 2564 14 Louisa & seamons 5 of Cundwided is int each 1150% 5 1 (undivided 1/3 int, Each) John Duce willord Duce of Fred Duck 37Ki Payengs Toolson 100K ( andivided to inteach) 56.Ki 56.70 616. 10 6K. eter Canson B Stande Pa 12 Etcl. Wardof By Chas Islydo Brehop. 49.96.1 Jarres Balls 7 -6K 226K 410K. 6 6K. 4 Wellie M. Hancey. J. G. Lee 536K. 24 Owners

Number of Acres Owned in Area

3 8 1/2 Sec 9 Signatures of Landowners 61 5 acres dec, 10 GiR. Quner 10

Signatures of Landowners Humber of Acres Cwned in Area E.a. Parson 110 b.K. V andrew mink 345- 318,5 Indist 3 Havey munk andrew munk 8 6K. 766K. 108.45 9627 Costile Civil. By Lewis W Cartile (Her atturny in) Como h. Toombo 6/4 8064 618 Q. Ruse Petty x 35 30 80 6/4. 45 38.5 6K.

Signatures of Landowners Number of Acres Owned in Area 20 6 P O. 6K. B8 6.K. 2550 100. 6K. 55 614. 18 to has Purser 40. - 6K, Philip Purses

Number of Acres Owned in Area Signatures of Landowners 6 K. 1260.30 20tal signers 115

### CERTIFIED COUNTY ASSESSMENT ROLL

of

PROPOSED CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 2

in Exhibit "A" Cache County, State of Utah.

for the year 1921.

Name of Owner	Desc	ription	of Parc	el		Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Reubon A. Perkes	Sec.	3 Blk.10	Plat B.	Hyde I	Pk.Farm	10.27	1375
11 11 11	11	и и	11	11	11	5.50	650
O. J. Burry	II .	" 3	11	11	11	4	500
John J. Lamb	n	п.	11	H	"	_3	570
Lara Christensen	11	н п	п	11	п	8.	1655
11 11	11	11 11	п	11		4.26	645
G eo. Seamons	п	11 11	"	11	1	2.47	250
c/o J. W. Perkes Eliza England	11	п	н н	11	п	3	300
c/o Herbert Seam	ons	n T	11 11	11	11	2	200
Thos. Matkins	п	n	11 11	11	11	8.25	1,420
J. W. Perkes		11	11 11	11	11	5.50	650
M. C. Reeder	. 11	н	11 11	н	11	6.40	930
Wm. F. Harper	п	п	11 11	n .	11	3.27	735
		Sec. 4 Tr	wp. 12	N. R.	LE.SW	W. 3	
Samuel P. Nielson	NM-	SW1				14	1120
Calvin Cragun	11	11				8	800
Jas. A. Cragun		#				6	480
Wallacer Cragun	11	11				6	480
The ophlius Chambe	rs	S pt. N	pt. SW			10	660

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Tronto of Owner	Sec. 4. Tp.12,R. 1 E. cont.		7 00 22 012 012
George Chambers ·	NE 2 SW2	10	600
Wm. Scrowther	0 0	9	720
Wm. Chambers	п п	4.37	350
Robt. Hopkins	Pt. NE. SW.	4	320
Robt. E. Christofferson	" SE1 "	6.08	365
Louisa E. Seamons	11 11 11	7.20	435
P. D. Griffiths	E pt. SEL SWL	8.49	510
Geo, S. Daines	Pt. SEL SEL SWL	5.10	410
Utah Northern Ry.Co.	E SW (Right of Way)	4	
Wm. Follett	Pt. Et SW	9	540
Frank Follett	11 11 11	9	540
P. C. Chambers	W pt. SEL SWL	8	400
Alfred B. Chambers	Pt. St SWi	7	420
11 11 11	" SWI SWI	16.22	730
Chas. J. Drury	11 11	10.47	325
P. D. Griffiths	11 11 11	8,49	510
	SE }		
Wm. F. Harper	Blk. 9 Plat C. Smithf. Farm	11.78	1770
Chas, L. Ashcroft	11 11 11 11 11	10.20	1045
Chas. R. Ashroft	11 10 11 11 11 11	10.20	1045
L orenzo Toolson	11 11 11 11 11		500
E. and Milo Nilson	Pt. NW1 NW1 SE1	_ 5	500
Jos. Forrester	" SW1 NW1 SE1	2.89	290
John P. Toolson	" Wisei	5	400
# 11 11	11 11 11	5	400
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. SW1 SW1 SE1	5.333	320
Francis C. Lee	E 1 SE1	30.25	3795
Reuben Perkes	SI SEI SEI	10.20	1270
Hyde Pk.Corp. of Church			
of J.C. of L.D.S.	Central pt. SE	50.68	



	AC Darge 1		ssessed aluation
Name of Owner	Description of		
	SEC. 5, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 E.	1	
John P. Toolson	Pt.Blk.12 Plat C. Smithf, Farm	10 -	450
Pe ter Hansen	" Ni NE SE	10	450
Thos. Chambers	11 11 SE1	15	675
Parley C. Chambers	H H H	5	225
Wm. A. Sorenson	" NW SE	20	800
Geo. Chambers	W pt. NW1 SE1	11.68	465
Richard Richardson	SW pt. Si SEi	60.61	1820
Chas. J. Drury	Pt. SE <sub>1</sub> SE <sub>1</sub>	18.92	570
	SW <del>}</del>	194	
Jos. J. Richardson	WW SW	40	1200
	SEC. 6, Tp.12 N. R. 1 E		
m II Deese	S pt. S pt. SW	13.50	1350
T. H. Reese	11 11 11 11	17.10	1760
A. J. Reese	H H H H H Q	31.85	2915
R. O. Reese	11 11 11 11	7.20	_1030
A. V. Reese	SE <del>l</del>		
- total W Andongon		160	11200
Christian W. Anderson John Mathews	Pt. lots 8,9 Blk. 6 Plat C.		
John Machews	Smithfield Farm		280
T A Udwd	Pt. lot 7 Blk 6 " "	_6_	180
Jas. A. Hind		10.75	325
Eliz. R. Smith	" " 4, Blk. 6 Plat A" "	6.59	200
Francis A. Roskelley	" " 17,18, "" " " "	11	440
A. V. Reese	" 3,19,20" " "	25. 20	755
Jos. C. Jorgenson	Tots 24, 25, 26 " ""	-10	- 400
Wm. G. Reese		30.45	
11 11 11	Pt. NW. NE.	2.50	
Abraham Jorgenson	" Wi NEi		
Chas. A. Reese	H H H	4.40	

Ne

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel (Sec. 6 Tp. 12 R. 1 E. cont.)	Acreage V	ssessed aluation
Thos. H. Reese	Pt. W. NE.	3, 222	445
C. A. Reese	m n n	2.15	430
W. G. Reese	H H H	5.31	865
T. H. Reese	н н н	4.72	285
	SEC. 7. Tp.12 N.R. 1 E.	22	1320
Thos. H. Reese	Pt. NE.	1.	
Chas, A. Reese	11 11	105	4375
Geo. Cowley	" NW-	40	5750
Philip Purser	Lot 2 NW1		4700
Emil Berger	NW: NW: SW: NE:	76	3475
A. V. Reese	Pt. SE1	54.03	1620
Wm. G. Reese	11 11	32.46	810
A, J, Reese	Pt. NE; SW; Pt. W; SE;	55.05	4400
Thos. H. Reese	" SW2	42, 20	4700
Midland Livestock Co.	" SW1	35	1225
11 11	11 11	35	1225
A. V. Reese	н н	35	2100
Chas, L. Reese	н н	20	600
	SEC. 8 Tp.12 N. R. 1 E (N		
Geo. Z. Lamb et al	Pt. NE NE		350
Henry H. Seamons	11 11 11	14.50	510
J.W.D.Hurren	" No NE	25.50	890
Geo. A. Saunders	" NW NE T		300
Hyrum Morse	" En TE	3	105
John L. Morse	11 11 11	3	105
B. L. Morse	n n n	3	105
Jas. E. Morse	H H H	3	105

	R.
	al.

The of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage Va	sessed luation
Name of Owner	(Sec. 8, Tp.12, R.1 E. cont.)		
T. I. T. Wange	Pt. Ed MEd	3	105
John L. Morse		8	240
Geo. A. Saunders	" SEA NEA	10.50	315
Annie M. Hancey	2	27,80	835
Vm. Balls	Pt. NE	11.57	345
Jos. Waite	# WE NE	22.83	800
Royal E. Lee	" SWE TEEL	31.50	945
hos, H. Reese	" Nº NWA	11.68	350
Suel E. Lamb	" NE L NW L	45.50	770
Hansine Christensen	" E & NW &	20.97	630
Chas. A. Reese	" SWL NWL	6.25	230
Hansine Christensen	" NW4	7	245
Geo. F. Ashcroft	" SE <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> NW <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	4.80	170
H H	11 11 11		960
A. G. Hyde	" NE 2 SE 2	32	1000
John E. Lee	" N <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> SE <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	22	3180
C.C.Lee Est.	SEL SEL & NEL SWLEPT, NW. SE		600
Thos. H. Reese	Pt. Wi NWi		UPSTON
Wm. H. Thain	SWL SEL		1600
John W. Rees	SI SEI SWI		800
Philip Purser	Pt. NW1 SW1	35.80	1 225
Wm. G. Reese	SW1 SW1 & Pt. NW1 SW1	44.92	1575
Jesse T. Rees	Ni SEi SWi		800
	0150° / 28°	13.56	1335
Reuben Perkes	Pt. N. NE. NE.		1830
Louisa E. Seamons	" NET NET	17.50	
Wilford G. Daines	" E l NW l NE l	5.45	410
Geo. F. Ashcroft	H W H H	4,66	300
Geo. Z. Lamb	" WE NW NE	16	960

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Jas. W.D.Hurren	Pt. E NW NE	6,12	400
Chas. R. Ashcroft	" M F 1/E F	2.29	140
Wilford G. Daines	" WE NE	3.48	210
C/o Chas.G. Hyde	11 11 11	2.75	135
Chas. G. Hyde	Central pt. W NE	5.38	320
Chas. Balls	Pt. Wi Wi NE	7.25	435
Suel E. Lamb	" SW SW NE	5.50	250
C. C. Lee Est.	" SI SWI WEI	7.60	380
Chas. G. Hyde	" SEL NEL	6.50	810
Mary A.D. Morrell etal	" WEES NES	4.51	270
C/o Chas.J. Drury Julia A. Drury	" " NWA NEA	.90	445
C. C. Lee Est.	" S\ \mathbb{R}	34.17	4390
	NW <del>1</del>	100	14/12/05
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. N pt. NE NW	2.85	140
Reuben Perkes	" NEL NWL	13	650
F. C. Lee	11 11 11	10	450
C. C. Lee Est.	" NMF NMF	11,47	520
John E. Lee	11 11 11	12	540
Chas. H. Balls	" MY NAT NAT	8	320
Suel E, Lamb	" E'S NWA	9.60	475
John J. Lamb	11 11 11	18	990
Amalgamated Sugar Co.	11 11 11	2.75	150
Reuben A, Perkes	Central Pt. NW1	13.48	675
Seo. S. Daines	Pt. Wi NWi	14.40	505
S.B. Thurston Est.	" Wi SEI NWI	4,90	125
Jas. W. Seamons	Central pt. St St NW	12	360
Jas. W. Perkes	Pt. W- NW-	13.44	405
R. A. Perkes	" SI SWI NWI	7.43	225
Utah Northern Ry.Co.	" E 1 NW1	5.64	
	SE		
Henry E. Hancey	Pt. N. NE SEL	21.12	1585
C/o R.H.Hyde Abigal Hyde	" E } NE } SE }	4.45	535
Chas. G. Hyde	Central pt. N SE	14.40	1010

	No.	13
3	-	-
	1	1

Nowa of Ourser	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Name of Owner	(Sec. 9 cont.) SE		
Jane E. Lamb	Central pt. S pt. No SE1	4. 25	235
Lurany Ballam	Pt. S pt. N B1 SE1	4. 25	275
Nellie M. Hancey	Central pt. Ni SEi	6.38	225
C/o R.H.Hyde Eliz. H. Hyde	Pt. NE NW SE	3.15	110
C. C. Lee Est.	" N\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	3.15	140
Suel E. Lamb	" NW NW SE	2.70	120
Orilla W. #shcroft	11 11 11 11	. 56	35
Andrew Woolf	Central pt. NW4 SE4	6.50	230
John W. Matkin	n n n n	5.75	235
Heber Balls	W pt. NW1 SE1	7.57	265
Jos. B. Daines	N pt. SE1 SE1	6	330
11 11 11	11 11 11	4.10	225
Jas. Balls	S pt. N SEL SEL	7	385
Lars C. Peterson	SE ½ SE¼ SE¾	10	385
Wm. A. Seamons	SW1 SE1 SE1 & SE1 SW1 SE1	20	1100
C. J. Drury	Pt. NEZ SWZ SEZ	9.75	440
Jas. W. Perkes	H H H	5	175
John A. Woolf	Pt. NW1 SW1 SE1	5.85	205
C/o J.W.Matkin Samuel Matkin	0 0 0 0	5.85	205
F. C. Lee	SWZ SWZ SEZ	10	350
Oralla W. Ashcroft	N pt. NE 4 SW4 (SW4)	17.76	620
W. G. Daines	S 11 11 11	3.70	130 .
Chas. R. Ashcroft	11 11 11	5.38	195
Fred Anderson	11 11 11	4.37	155
Geo. W. Seamons	N pt. SE SW	6.61	230
C/o J.W.Perkes Robt. Daines	n n SW1	5.	175
J. W. Perkes	11 11 SW4	19.61	690
C/o J.W.Perkes Wm. A. Gibson	S " " "	8	280
Geo. S. Daines	Pt. SWA NE & SWA	4.94	175
Andrew Woolf	" SI SWI SWI	10.50	370

Name of Owner	(Sec. 9 Cont. SW1)		Assessed Valuation
Mary E. Duce	Pt. El SEL SW	5	175
Willard and Fred Duce	11 11 11	5	175
	S pt. SEl SWl	11	385
Ethel W. Brown et al Andrew Woolf	SW pt. St SW	45.20	1585
Utah Northern Ry.Co	(Right of Way)	4	
	SEC. 10. Tp. 12 N.R. 1 E	-	
	Blk. 3 Plat A Hyde Park Farm	15	2700
J. E. Lee	do	11	2475
Golden Reeder	d 0	111	2100
Moses Reeder?	do	1.25	280
F. R. Hancey	do	9.90	1260
11 11		3.27	725
Francis C. Lee	do	6.90	1260
C. C. Lee Est.	Blk. 2. Plat A. Hyde Pk. Farm	1.44	145
Peter Swenson	do	3.30	330
Andrew Woolf	do	4.30	550
Geo. F. Ashcroft	do	9.50	1190
Joseph Waite	do (	2.97	160
Rosel H. Hyde	do	36.31	5440
Andrew Woolf	do	1.25	190
Lester Morse	do	2.30	515
Ida Woolf	do	10	1250
R. H. Hyde	do	6.04	4 525
11 11	do	6	750
Geo. S. Daines	do	11.2	5 1015
Fred Duce	do	6.4	3 645
Andrew Woolf	Dt Blk 2 Plat A Hyde PkFa	rm 7.7	5 775

do

Geo. M. Grant

Mary A. Grant

Pt.Blk 2 Plat A Hyde PkFarm 7.75 775

2.45 245

	-
1	75
	-/

Name of Wwner	Description of Parcel	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
	(Sec. 10 cont.)		
Rosalind Grant	Pt. Blk. 2 Plat A. Hyde Park Farm	2,12	215
Eunette Grant	do	8.20	820
Stephen Thurston	Pt. El SW	7.35	1080
Ruby Woolf	11 11 11	6	485
Kersten Hansen	и и и	4.50	365
John Duce	й й и	5	445
C/o Clyde J.Da Wm. A. Seamons	ines	10	1015
Henry S. Seamons	н н н	10	540
* Archie Woolf	п п п	15,21	1005
Jos. B. Daines	H H H	6) 13	1290
C/o Stephen Thu Probate Judge	Pt. St SW: NE:	6.50	1300
Andrew Woolf	" lots 1,2,3,4, Bl.4 Plat B		
	Hyde Park City Survey		75
Simpson B. ThurstonEst.	" lots 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8, Bl.3		320
C/o Ethel Clar	All lot 1 Bl. 3 Plat B		220
John A. Duce	" 2 % pt. 3 and 4		360
John A. Duce	onds		220
Robt. Carver	" " 6		200
Geo. Purser Sr.	" " 7		200
Fred Duce	и и 8		220
Geo. D. Reeder	" " 1 Bl. 3 Plat A		220
Geo. L. Reeder	11 11 2		200
C/o Sarah H. Seamo	ons " 23		200
John A. Duce	11 4		220
John F. Reeder	cey Sr. " 1,2 and pt. 3		320
Rosel H. Hyde	Pt. " 3, and all of 4		60
	NW of the SE		
Stephen Thurston	Pt. N. NW. SE.	4.50	740-
Lydia J. Daines	11 11 11 11	2	315
J.W.D.Hurren	" NW SE	16.88	3792
C/oJohn A. Duce Benj. Hyman, Preston, Ida	H H H	5.07	1140
C/o Benj. Hymas John Balls	11 11 11	4.74	1065

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel		Assessed Valuation
	(Sec. 16 cont.)		
George D. Reeder	Lot 15	4.90	345
Stephen Thurston	" 24	15.28	765
Lars Christensen	11 2	12.83	450
Lars C. Peterson	" 11 (	11.41	400
Geo. F. Ashcroft Jr.	" 17	14	630
Henry S. Seamons	Nt Lot 3	10.11	355
Archie Woolf	S <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> # #	10.11	355
C/o Lorenzo Pe	terson Lot 10	7	245
C/o Wm.Balls	n 9	11	385
Kohn H. Kemp	N pt. 19	8.50	300
Eli J. Bell	Lot 18, S pt. 19, & 20	42,94	1 200
Margaret P. Cannon	" 8	15.27	535
C/o Wm.Balls Unknown Owner	11 27	9.37	705
Unknown Owner	ıı 28	6.38	385
Jos. Waite	" 33	8	640
Christian Larson	" 32	20	1100
Ezra E. Eames	E½ 1ot 31	6.05	245
John J. Ormand	Pt. " 31, all 34, 36, 36, 37	66.61	2995
Lorin A. Merrill	rrill Lot 41	7.10	_320
A. W. Ensign	Pt. Lot 40	8.50	385
John H. Anderson	N1 Lot 40	8.62	390
Ezra E. Eames	Lot 39	10.74	540
Stephen Thurston	Lots 23, 29	41.62	2880
Arthur G. Eames	Lot 38	12.01	480
Eli J. Bell	" 21, 22, 30	104.98	5350
M. C. Reeder	Lots 25 and 26	19.30	1430
11 11 11	Pt. N. E. 1	79.49	3975
Geo. D. Reeder	Central Pt. N. E. 1	14.38	935
c/o Geo. D. Ree Robt. Reeder	Lot 16	22.70	1120
660. D. Reeder	Lot 13	4.65	325
н н н	Lot 14	4.40	310

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel		Assessed Valuation
T W D II	SEC. 17, Tp. 12 N.R. 1 E	40	1200
J. W. D. Hurren	NE - NE -		
T. H. Reese	NW NE & pt. S NE	76	3040
Andrew Reese	ER SER NET	30	800
R. O. Reese et al	Pt. Wi SWi NEi	8	240
Euphemia Griffiths	N 1/3 NW1/4	80	2400
R. O. Reese et al	Pt. Si NW	76	2280
Thos. H. Reese	11 11 11	4	160
Wm. G. Reese	N ½ NE ¼ SE ¼	20	1000
Thos. Blanchard	S1 " "	20	600
Chas. A. Reese	Pt. No NW SE	25	800
C/o C.A.Reese Homer Brown	11 11 11	.67	25
C/o Margaret P. Cann Wm. Beddingfield	non Pt. S <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> " "	3.32	200
C/o Thos.H. Reese Stephen Thurston	и и и	16	1280
Eli J. Bell	E pt. S pt. SE	22.49	1800
Louis D. Bodrero	S " E + SE +	6	480
Lehi & Louis Bodrero	S " SE1 SE1		1600
Serge Bodrero	N pt. SW1 SE1	10	400
John Bodrero	Pt. SW1 SE1	22.23	890
Chas, A. Reese	NE 1 SW1 & W1 SW1	120	4200
Wm. Andrews	SEį SWį	40	1200
	SEC. 18, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 E		40.00
Euphemia Griffiths	NE 1	_160	4800
Moses M. Reese	N <del>1</del> NW1	_39	1365
Geo. Cowley	SF NF NMF	40	2000
Florence Reese Roundy	Si Ni SEi	40	2400
Inez Reese Thain	Central pt. SW1 NW1 & centra	25.65	900

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel (Sec. 18 cont.)	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Chas. A. Reese	Pt. S 3 SE 3	25.65	900
C/oChas.A. Reese	11 11 11	30.21	1050
John A. Woolf	n n n	13.55	540
Chas. A. Reese C/o M.E.Rogers E. T. Rogers	" Sł SWł SEł	7	560
Inez Reese Thain	" NW1 SW1	40	1400
Parley A. Reese	Lot 4 & SE SW	76.97	1925
	ER SER NWR & ER NER SWR	60	3600
Florenge Rees Roundy	W pt. NE SW1 & pt. SE1 NW1	18	1080
Jesse T. Reese  John W. Reese	W pt. SW1 NW1 & E pt. SW1 NW. &W pt. NW1 SW1 & E pt. NW1 SW1		1680
Lola Alliston	SEC. 19 Tp. 12 N.R. 1 E  SW1 & N1 NW1  All lot 2 Blk. 21, Logan Hay-		
	land in the SW1 NW1	10	200
Catherine Underwood	Lots 3 and 8		220
Alvin Crockett	" 1 Blk. 21 & W pt. Lots 2 and 3 Blk. 22	16	830
Marinus J. Palsley	N₽ NW1	77.46	1395
C/o Gottfried Beu	SEC. 31, Twp. 13 N.R. 1 E	80	8875
A. E. Parsons	E pt. Wh NW	_30	2865
Andrew Munk	Pt. NW1	50	4510
Margaret WilliamsMMathe	ws NE 2 SW	40	6 2 2 5
W. G. Reese	Pt. S& SW4 & pt. S& NW4 SW4	76.62	10130
Abraham Jorgenson	8 pt. S\(\frac{1}{2}\) S\(\frac{1}{4}\)	8.37	1885
Mattie Mathews	S pt. NW SW	_ 5	320
Andrew Munk	NA NWA SWA	30	2150
	94		

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel (Sec. 31 cont.) SE1/4	Acreage	Assessed Valuation
Mary Ann S. McCracken	NE 1 NE 1 SE 1	2.85	340
Mary A. Farrell	Pt. NE SEA	25	2250
Wm. H. Noble	н н н	5	300
Ira E. Noble	" NW1 SE1	22 /	2200
W. H. Noble	11 11 11	15.27	1910
Martha A. Toombs	W W W SEL	6.80	1 290
Howard Reese	W to W to SE to	9.29	1010
W. H. Noble	Pt. Si SEi	30.43	1605
Howard Reese	11 11 11	20	1200
John Mathews	11 11 11	2.45	170
Marie Fonnesbeck	и и и	.94	100
Parley Nalson	E pt. NEA SEA	4.94	500
Chas. McCann	11 11 11	8.96	900
	SWŁ NEŁ		
Martha A. Roombs	Pt. SWi NEi	17.50	3125
John Carlisle	11 11 11	16.27	2 2 7 5
	SEC. 24, Twp. 13 N. R. 1 W		
Smith-Miles Farm	Sł SEł	80.	16,160
	SEC. 25, Twp. 13 N. R. 1 W		
Anna M. Thompson	WEY NAY	40	2100
Andrew Munk	Sig NWig	78.50	3400
+ Abraham Jorgenson	SW	157	10990
Jonothan Smith	SI SEI	80	5850
0.L. & I. R.R.	53 53	3.89	

160.

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel		sessed luation
	SEC. 26, TWp. 13 N.R. 1 W		
Annie M. Toombs	Nà SEÀ	79	2830
E. A. Parsons	S½ SE½	79.	2400
Ţ			
	THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		
	SEC. 35, Twp. 13 N. R. 1 W		
Abraham Jorgensen	NE.	159	7100
Andrew Munk	SE	160	1 2420
	SEC. 12 Twp. 12 R. 1 W	43	1600
Lola A. Alliston	NE 1 SE 1	41	1620
Geo. Griffiths	Pt. NV SE		660
Jesse T, Reese	H H H	9.90	865
11 11	" NEL SWL	9.64	625
John W. Reese	н н н	9.64	355
<u>" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " </u>	H H H	22	225
Florence Rees Roundy	Pt. SWA	70.25	2395
Marinus J. Falslev		20	1100
Jesse T. Reese	<u>p</u> "	10	900
Mary A. Cook et al	Pt.SE	70	2240
Marinus J. Falslev	н н		2270
	SEC. 13 Twp. 12 R. 1#		
Philip Purser	Si ME & NW ME ME I		3240
Jas. C. Maughan	NET NET	40	1080
Albert Riggs	No NW2		
Louis B. Riggs	Ni Si NWi		3115
Albert B. Riggs	Si NWi		3180
John G. Ricks	Sh of Sec.	320	5830

26,

Name of Owner	Description of Parcel	Acreage Valuation
	SEC. 14 Twp. 12 N. R. 1	
Marinus Anderson	Pt. Wh ME	34.50 1145
Albert Riggs	E - NE -	37.19 / 1505
C/o H.W.Balla W.W.Roundy	E pt. NWL NEL	21 / 690
J.W.Ricks & J.G.Ricks	Central pt. NW1 NE1	38.50 / 1705
W. J. Peterson	W pt. NE	14.30 1162
Ann Nelson (Owner)	Central pt. St St NEt	6.85 70
Ann Nelson	E & SE	80 5000
J.G. & J.W.Ricks	E pt. Wo SE	58 2700
James Baugh et al	W pt. W pt. NW! SE!	7.37 420
James Baugh	S pt. S pt. SW SE	6.62 375
Chas. P. Aylworth	Pt. W- SW-	6 ~ 215
	SEC. 24, Twp. 12 N.R. 1 W.	
Augusta B. Hebaus	N-1	312 6864
0. S. L. R.R.	11	8
		Management of the Control of the Con
		And the second s

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:

Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

LIST OF NON-RESIDENTS.

Following is a list of non-residents who own land in the area proposed to be organized as a drainage district to be known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah, together with their places of residence, where known, and in the event that the places of residence of any non-residents are not known, an affidavit setting forth that upon diligent in-

quiry, the places of residence could not be ascertained:

### Names of Non-Residents.

Addresses.

Ruby Wolf Ammussen
Florence R. Roundy
Wallace Cragum
Calvin Cragum

Eunetta Grant

Rosiland Grant

Augusta B. Hebaus

O. S. L. R. R. Co.

Jos. J. Richards

Amalgamated Sugar Co.

William A. Gibson

William Stockdale

John F. Reeder

Benjamin Hymas

Mattie Mathews Fuel

Ogden, Logan & Idaho R. R. Co.

William Catmull

James Baugh

John T. Carlisle

Martha C. O'Neil

Lou Oahy, Territory of Hawaii

Tremonton, Utah

1142 E 3 S Salt Lake City, Utah

Clear Creek, or 703, 2 So.Provo, Ut.

Provo, Utah

Provo, Utah

262 E. 8th So. Salt Lake City, Utah

Salt Lake City, Utah

New Grand Hotel, Salt Lake City, Ut.

Ogden, Utah

Rupert, Idaho

Franklin, Idaho

Weston, Idaho

Preston, Idaho

Butte, Montana

Ogden, Utah

Salt Lake City, Utah

Burley, Idaho

Suite 506942 Market St. San Francisco, California

Box 395 Vallejo, California

28.

LIST OF NON-RESEDENTS (Continued)

Names of Non-Residents

Addresses

Hyrum Morse James E. Morse Anaconda, Montana 726 Sutter St. San Francisco, California

STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF CACHE

Hande , being duly sworn, hereby certify that the foregoing is correct and that in the event that the places of residence of any non-residents are not given, upon diligent inquiry such places of residence could not be ascertained.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8 day of Chiquest, A. D.1921

Notary Public

Residing at Logan, Utah

My Commission Expires aug 251922

and

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,

Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2 in Cache County, State of Utah.

Chas & Hyde and C. a. Parson

My commission expires Aug. 25, 1922.

## AFFIDAVII

STATE OF UTAH ) ss.

a.V. Reese each being first duly sworn, upon oath do
severally, and not one for the other, depose and say; I am a signer of
the petition to the Honorable Board of County Commissioners for Cache
County, State of Utah, praying for the organization of Cache County
Drainage histrict No. 2, and praying for other things necessary to and
in the organization of the said District, signed by Charles y. Hyde
and 115 others, bearing date of August 8 19 21, and filed
with the County Clerk of Cache County, State of Utah, the 8
day of August 1921, I am acquainted with the locality of said
proposed district; said petition is signed by the owners of title, of
a major portion in area of the lands within said proposed District to
be reclaimed or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
Las Hater Of Alarson
Subscribed and sworn to before me this _ S _ day of August
A,D., 19 21.  Motary Public

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,

Cache County, State of Utah.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2 in Cache County, State of Utah.

### UNDERTAKING

Whereas, Chas Hyde and Mothers have filed with the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, their petition praying for the organization of a drainage district in Cache County, State of Utah; and,

whereas, the estimated cost of the proposed improvements in said proposed drainage district is \$25.00 per acre for approximately 8400 acres of land, and 2 per cent of this total estimated cost is \$4400.

Now, therefore, we, the undersigned residents of Cache County, State of Utah, in consideration of the premises, jointly and severally undertake in the sum of \$4400 and promise and undertake that the condition of this Undertaking shall be to the effect that if the said Board of County Commissioners upon or after the hearing of said petition, shall find no merit in the petition or shall find that the cost of the proposed improvement, or improvements, shall be in excess of the benefit, or benefits, to be derived therefrom, that the petitioners will pay all costs of preliminary surveys and for the publication of notice, and such other lawful expenses as may have been incurred by the Board of County Commissioners, to which amount we acknowledge ourselves jointly and severally bound to said Board of County Commissioners.

STATE OF UTAH ) ss.

Chas Shyde, C. a. Parson and G.V. Reese sureties, whose names are subscribed to the above Undertaking, being severally sworn, each for himself says that he is a resident and householder in the said State and County and is worth the sum in said Undertaking specified as the penalty thereof, over and above his just debts and liabilities exclusive of the property exempt from execution.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of August A.D. 1921.

My commission expires June 16, 1923. O

aug. 8-1921

### FORM OF MINUTE ENTRY.

A committee composed of Charles G. Hyde, A. E. Parsons and A. V. Reese presented a written petition on behalf of Charles G. Hyde and //5 others, which petition purports to contain the signatures of a majority of the owners of title or evidence of title to the lands within the said proposed district, who own or control not less than one-third in area of the lands to be reclaimed or benefitted and also purports to contain the signatures of the owners of title or evidence of title of a major portion in area of the lands proposed to be reclaimed or benefitted in a Drainage District to be known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2.

On motion of Commissioner Hyer seconded by Commissioner
Murray it was ordered that the petition as presented by the Committee
be accepted and filed by the clerk.

Whereupon Commissioner Murray moved the adoption of following resolution:

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUN- and M. TY COMMISSIONERS, CACHE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH.

In the Matter of the Organization of E½, Sec. 35. a Drainage District to be Known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State and M.

TO ALL PERSONS INTERESTED: N1/2, Sec. 24.

Notice is hereby given that the petition of Charles G. Hyde and 115 and termini and general description others praying for the organization of the proposed drainage system are of a drainage district, to be known as follows': and named as Cache County Drain- Drain A.—Beginning at a point age District No. 2, in Cache county. in the Bear river near the northwest State of Utah, was presented to the corner of Sec. 31, Tp. 13 North, Board of County Commissioners of Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Cache County, State of Utah, on the Meridian, extending in a general 8th day of August, A. D. 1921, and southeasterly direction and terminatwas filed with the County Clerk of ing near the south boundary of Sec. said Cache county, in the court house 31.

follows, to-wit:

east one-fourth mile, thence north Drain C:- Beginning at a point in 3, Township 12 N., R. 1 E., S. L. B. ner of Sec. 18. and M., thence west one and three- Drain D:-Beginning at a point in mile, thence west one-half mile, Sec. 25. thence north one-fourth mile, thence Together with the necessary interhalf mile, thence north one-fourth within the district boundaries.

mile, thence west one-half mile, The purpose of organizing the said fourth mile, thence south one-fourth district.

and M.

W14. SE14 1 and SW14 of NE14, Sec. 31.

In Tp. 13 N., R. 1 W., S. L. B.

S½ of SE¼, Sec. 34.

S% of NW 4 and NE 4 of NW 4, S½ of NW ¼ and 145. SW ¼, S½ of SE¼ of Sec. 25.

in Tp. 12 N., R. 1 W., S. L. B.

S½, Sec. 12.

All of Sec. 13.

E32 of Sec. 14.

building, in Cache County, State of Drain B:-Beginning at a point in Utah, at Logan City on the 8th day Hopkins Slough near the northwest of August, A. D. 1921, and said peti-corner of Sec. 7, Tp. 12 North, Range tion is now on file in the office of 1 East, Salt Lake Base and Merithe said County Clerk. dian, extending in a southeasterly The boundaries of the land pro- direction to a point near the center posed to be included in said propos- of Sec. 7, thence east to a point near ed drainage district are described as the center of Sec. 8, thence northeasterly to a point near the south-Beginning at the southwest corner west corner of the northwest quarter of Sec. 15, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 E., S. L. of the northeast-quarter of Sec. 8. B. and M., and running thence north thence east to the west line of Sec. one mile, thence east one-half mile, 10, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 East of thence north one-fourth mile, thence the Salt Lake Base and Meridian.

one-half mile, thence west one-fourth the Big Slough near the south quarmile, thence north one-fourth mile, ter corner of Sec. 14, Tp. 12 North, thence west one-fourth mile, Range 1 West of the Salt Lake Base thence north one-half mile to and Meridian, extending in a norththe south quarter corner of easterly direction and terminating at the northwest quarter of Sec. a point near the north quarter cor-

fourths miles, thence south one-half the Bear River near the west quarter mile, thence west one-fourth mile, corner of Sec. 34. Tp. 13 North, thence north one-fourth mile, thence Range 1 West of the Salt Lake Base west one-fourth mile, thence north and Meridian, extending easterly one and one-fourth miles, thence and northeasterly through Sections west one-fourth mile, thence north 34, 35, 26 and 25 and terminating one-fourth mile, thence west one- at a point near the north quarter fourth mile, thence north one-fourth corner of the southwest quarter of

west one-half mile, thence north lor drainage required to effect the three-fourths mile, thence east one-clicient reclamation of the lands

thence south one-fourth mile, thence drainage district is to construct west one-fourth mile, thence south drains for the reclamation and proone-fourth mile, thence west one-tection of lands within the proposed

mile, thence west one-half mile to the center of Sec. 26, Tp. 13 N. R. 1 W., S. L. B. and M., thence south one and one-half miles, thence east one-half mile, thence north one mile thence east one mile, thence south one mile, thence east one-half mile, thence south three-fourths mile. thence west one-half mile, thence south three-fourths mile, thence west one mile, thence south one-half mile, thence west one-half mile, thence wouth one mile to the south quarter . . corner of Sec. 14, Tp. 12 N. R. 1 W. S. L. B. and M., thence east onehalf mile, thence south one-half mile thence east one and one-fourth miles thence north one-fourth mile, thence east one-fourth mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence east two and one-half miles to the point of beginning.

Enclosing an area of approximately 8400 acres; and a more particular description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

In Township 12 N, R. 1 E., S. L. B. and M.;

W1/2 of SW1/4, Sec. 3

S 1/2, Sec. 4.

SE%, SW% of SW%, Sec. 5.

E1/2, S1/2 of SW1/4, Sec. 6.

All of Sec. 7.

All of Sec. 8.

All of Sec. 9.

W1/2, SW1/4 of NE1/4, NW1/4 of SE1/4, Sec. 10.

All of Sec. 16.9

All of Sec. 17.

All of Sec. 18.

SW 1/4 of NW 1/4 and N 1/4 of NW 1/4 of Sec. 19.

In Tp. 13 N., R. 1 E., S. D. B.

The said petitioners will ask for a hearing on said petition and will request that the same be granted at the regular meeting of the said Board of County Commissioners to be held on the 8th day of September the hour A. D. 1921, at o'clock a. m. or as soon therea't r they can be heard at the County Commissioners room of the louse of Cache County, in Logan City, Utah, and on the hearing of said petition, all parties interested and through or upon whose land any of the proposed work may be contructed, or whose land may be damseed or benefitted thereby, may appear and contest the necessity or utility of the proposed work, or any part thereof, and contestants and petitioners may offer any competent evidence in regard thereto.

Witness my hand at Logan, in Cache County, Utah, this 8th day of August, A. D. 1921.

W. H. CHUGG,

County Clerk of Cache

(SEAL) County, Utah

Adv-9-3

Time for Caution.

Our idea of the time to stop, 'cop and listen, not to say count 100, is when we receive a letter from some one we never heard of before extending us a personal exitation to tolk with him as a stockholder in an industry which offers the greatest opportunity in the United States today—Ohlo State Journal.

Early Irish Coin.

One of the early coins in Ireland was the eagle, made of base metal and current about 1272, but an earlier coin was the farthing, made of silver, during the reigns of King John, and now very rare and of great value.

## RESOLUTION.

In the Matter of the organization of the Cache County Drainage District No. 2.

WHEREAS, Charles G. Hyde and // 5 others, presented a written petition, which petition purports to contain the signatures of a majority of the owners of title or evidence of title to the lands within the said proposed district, who own or control not less than one-third in area of the lands to be reclaimed or benefitted and also purports to contain the signatures of the owners of title or evidence of title of a major portion in area of the lands proposed to be reclaimed or benefitted in a drainage district to be known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2, which petition contains a general description of the work proposed and a particular description of the proposed boundaries of such district together with a list of the land owners and the amount of land owned by each, as shown by the equalized assessment roll of Cache County, next preceeding the presentation of the petition, together with an undertaking in the sum of \$4400.00, being 2 per cent of the estimated costs of the proposed improvement in the said district and the said petition being in all matters in manner and form required by law and praying for the creation of the said district.

by the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, that hursday the Standard day of Appust, 1921 at 10 o'clock A. M. at the Commissioners Room in the County Court House in Logan City, Cache County, Utah, at a special meeting of the Board of County Commissioners be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place for the hearing of the said petition or for any contest thereto on the part of any land owner within the proposed district and that the clerk of Cache County, Utah, shall give notice of the time and place of the hearing of the said petition by publication in the Logan Republican, a tri-weekly newspaper printed and published

in Logan City, Cache County, Utah for at least three weeks the last date of which publication shall be at least one week before the said date of hearing and by posting a copy of the said notice at the front door of the Court House of Cache County, State of Utah, and shall cause a copy of the said notice to be posted in at least three public places in the proposed district; that the form of the said notice shall be substantially in the following form:

The motion for the adoption of the said resolution was seconded by Commissioner W. W. Hyer whereupon a vote was called upon the motion which showed the following:

Commissioner H. A. Theurer Aye William Murray Aye A. W. Hyer Aye

Whereupon the motion was declared unanimously adopted. Dated this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 1921.

Chai rman

Commissioner

Commissioner

### AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING.

STATE OF UTAH
SS
COUNTY OF CACHE

I, A. E. Parsons being first duly sworn depose and say: That on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of August A. D. 1921 by order of the County Clerk of Cache County, Utah, I posted three copies of the attached "Notice to all persons interested" such notices being to property owners within the proposed Cache County Drainage District #2 informing them of the date on which a hearing will be given by the Board of County Commissioners at Logan, Utah, as required by section 2042 of the Compiled Laws of Utah, 1917, as amended by Chapter 41 of the Session Laws of Utah for 1919.

That the places within the boundaries of the said district at which I posted the said notices are as follows:

One at Inter Section of Hyde Park Road and State Highway
One at King Post Office
One at Inter Section of West End of Bensen Cut off er Petersen
Bridge

one at from Rees corner offsorite Benson Meeting House that all of the said notices were prominanently posted in public and conspicuous places where they could easily be seen and read within the boundaries of the said proposed drainage district.

Subscribed and sworn to before me at Logan, Cache County, Utah, this & day of August, 1921.

County Clerk

In the matter of the Organization of a Drainage District to be known as the Cache County Drainage District No. 2, in Cache County, State of Utah.

## Proof of Mailing Notice.

I, Lillian McCulloch, Deputy County Clerk, being first duly sworn on oath say, that I am a citizen of the United States, over twenty one years of age; that on the 8th day of August A. D. 1921, I mailed full, true and correct copies of the annexed notice, by depositing the same in the U. S. Post-office, at Logan, Utah, postage prepaid to the following named persons and addressed to wit:

Ruby Woolf Ammussen Florence R. Roundy, Wallace Cragun Calvin Gragun

Eunetta Grant Rosiland Grant Augusta B. Hebaus O. S. L. R. R. Co. Jos. J. Richards,

Amalgamated Sugar Co. William A. Gibson William Stockdale John F. Reeder Benjamin Hymas Mattie Mathews Fuel Ogden, Logan & Idaho R. R. Co. William Catmull James Baugh John T Carlisle

Martha C. O'Neil Hyrum Morse, James E. Morse,

Lou Oahy, Territory of Hawaii Tremonton, Utah
1142 E. 3 S. Salt Lake City, Ut.
Clear Creek, or 703, 2So.
Provo, Utah. Provo, Utah.

Provo, Utah 262 E. 8th So. Salt Lake City, Ut Salt Lake City, Utah. New Grand Hotel, Salt Lake City,

Utah. Ogden, Utah. Rupert, Idah Idaho. Franklin, Idaho Weston, Idaho Preston, Idaho
Butte, Mont.
Ogden, Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah
Burley, Idaho Suite 506942 Market St, San Francisco, Cal. Box 395 Vallejo, California Anaconda. Montana.

726 Sutter St. San Francisco,

California

illian

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of August A. D. 1921.

Mag Clerk

# JOHN MATHEWS

KINGT. O. Cache Co., Utah

SEP 3 1921

The Calle Commissioners, brokered drainage austrict to be considered The land Im interesting in, is in 9p 12 + 13 Hun As athew &

PROTEST AGAINST DRAINAGE DISTRICT No.2, CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

State of Utah
County of Cache

George Griffiths, being first duly sworn deposes and says, as follows;

- 1. That he is the agent and attorney-in-fact of Henry Griffiths and Euphamia Griffiths, and makes this protest for and on behalf of them.
- 2. That Henry Griffiths and Euphemia Griffiths are the owners of the following described lands, to wit;

The North one half of the North-west quarter of section 17 and the North-east quarter of section 18 in township 12 North range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian; that the said aands are included within the boundarys of a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage district No.2.

- 3. That they hereby protest against the inclusion of said lands in the said district and against the granting of a certain petition signed by Charles G.Hyde and others, on file in the office of the county clerk; that the drainage of the said lands would be of no benefit whatever but on the contrary would do great damage for the reason that the surface soil is from a few inches to a foot in deapth and that the sub-soil is of an impervious clay which cannot be tilled without an expense disproportionate to the value of the land; that the said land is of a nature which is suitable for hay and pasture and is not suitable for tillable crops; that the said lands are now irrigated but there is now an insufficient supply and if the said lands are drained it will require an increased supply of water and there is now no source from which more water can be obtained to irrigate the same.
- 4. That protest is hereby made against the creating of said district, by the board of County Commissioners for the reasons that no proper petition has ever been filed as required by law; that the proported petition was not signed by a majority of the owners of title or evidence of title of lands within the proposed district who own or control not less than one third in area of the lands

Page two.

to be drained nor does it contain the owners of title of a major portion in area of the lands within the proposed district; that it is not made to appear whether, the petition asking for the creating of said drainage district, is signed by a a majority of the owners of title who own or control not less than one third in area of the land proposed to be drained or that the same containes the names of the owners of title of a major portion in area of the lands which are susceptable of drainage; that it does not appear from said petition what proportion/of the lands with the proposed drainage district will be benefited or are susceptiable of drainage; that no proper notice of the hearing of said petition, by the Board of County Commissioners, as provided by law, has ever been given.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of September 1921.

Notary Public.

Commission expires 12-19-21.

Logan, Utah.

PROTEST OF GEBRGE GRIFFITHS AGAINST CREATION

OF DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO, CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

George Griffiths, being first duly sworn deposes and says as follows;

- 1. That he is the owner of 31 acres of land situate in the Northwest quarter of the South-east quarter of section 12 township 12 North, range one West of the S.L. Meridian; that the said land is included in a proposed drainage district known as Cache County Drainage District No. 2; that he hereby protests against the inclusion of said land in said district for the reason that the said land does not need drainage but on the contrary would be greatly damaged by drainage.
- 2. That he further protests against the creation ogathetsaid district for the reason that no proper petition has been filed and no proper hearing of the petition has been given.

George Griffiths

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of September 1921.

Notary Public.

My commission expires Dec. 19th 1921.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, CACHE COUNTY, UTAH.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district, to be known as Cache County Drainage District No.2, of Cache County, Utah.

PROTEST

The undersigned, who is the owner of 1160 acres of lands within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district hereby protests against the organization of the said district, and against the inclusion of the lands of this protestath within the said district, for the following reasons:

- 1. That the said petition does not contain the signatures of the majority of the owners of title, or evidence of title to the lands within the proposed district, who ow or control not less than one third in area of the lands to be reclaimed, or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
- 2. That said petition does not contain the signature of owners of title or evidence of title of the major portion in area, of the lands proposed to be reclaimed or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
- 3. That the lands of the undersigned protestatnt are of such nature that the drainage of the same will not be of any value or benefit to the same, either for agricultural or sanitary purposes, or conducive to the public health or welfare.
- 4. That the lands when drained, can only be made valuable for agricultural purposes by the application of irrigation thereupon and that there are no irrigation waters for sale, or appropriation which may be applied to the said lands.

  Dated September 8th.1921.

- Mary & Rees

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, CACHE COUNTY, UTAH.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district, to be known as Cache County Drainage District No.2, of Cache County, Utah.

PROTEST

The undersigned, who is the owner of <u>IQQ</u> acres of lands within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district hereby protests against the organization of the said district, and against the inclusion of the lands of this protestatnt within the said district, for the following reasons:

- 1. That the said petition does not contain the signatures of the majority of the owners of title, or evidence of title to the lands within the proposed district, who owner control not less than one third in area of the lands to be reclaimed, or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
- 2. That said petition does not contain the signature of owners of title or evidence of title of the major portion in area, of the lands proposed to be reclaimed or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
- 3. That the lands of the undersigned protestath are of such nature that the drainage of the same will not be of any value or benefit to the same, either for agricultural or sanitary purposes, or conducive to the public health or welfare.
- 4. That the lands when drained, can only be made valuable for agricultural purposes by the application of irrigation thereupon and that there are no irrigation waters for sale, or appropriation which may be applied to the said lands.

  Dated September 8th.1921.

Florence P. Roundy by Inco P. Thair

4

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, CACHE COUNTY, UTAH.

In the matter of the organization of a drainage district, to be known as Cache County Drainage District No.2, of Cache County, Utah.

PROTEST

The undersigned, who is the owner of 80 acres of lands within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district hereby protests against the orgainization of the said district, and against the inclusion of the lands of this protestatnt within the said district, for the following reasons:

- 1. That the said petition does not contain the signatures of the majority of the owners of title, or evidence of title to the lands within the proposed district, who own or control not less than one third in area of the lands to be reclaimed, or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
- 2. That said petition does not contain the signature of owners of title or evidence of title of the major portion in area, of the lands proposed to be reclaimed or benefited, or which are susceptible of drainage.
- 3. That the lands of the undersigned protestatnt are of such nature that the drainage of the same will not be of any value or benefit to the same, either for agricultural or sanitary purposes, or conducive to the public health or welfare.
- 4. That the lands when drained, can only be made valuable for agricultural purposes by the application of irrigation thereupon and that there are no irrigation waters for sale, or appropriation which may be applied to the said lands.

  Dated September 8th.1921.

Ines R. Thain

IN the matter of Drainage District Number 2.

PROTEST OF EZRA EAMES AND ARTHUR EAMES.

TO THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY UTAH:

Your petitioners and protestants respectfully protest against the inclusion of the lands described below in Drainage District Number 2, for the reasons and upon the grounds set forth below, said lands are described as follows:-

Part of section 16 township 12 North range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian, containing six and 5/100 (6. 5) acres, being lands appearing on the records of Cache County, in the name of Ezra Eames, also,

Part of Section 16 township 12 North range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian containing ten and 75/100 (10.75) acres, being also in the name of Ezra Eames.

Lot 38 section 16 township 12 North range one East, all of lot 6 in block 19 Plat "D" Logan Farm Survey, situated in the Southwest quarter of section 15; township 12 North, range one East, the last two described

tracts of land being in the name of Arthur Eames, said lands being furth described as being situated in the County of Cache, State of Utah.

Your protestants and petitioners allege that said lands are on the extreme edge of the said Drainage District and could be omitted from the same without in any way interferring with the creation of the said District or the drainage of the same, and your protestants further allege that said lands are dry and now used for the cultivation of large crops, to-wit, hay, and that drainage would injure the said lands and not be of any benefit to the said lands or any part of them. That said lands require irrigation to produce crops and that if drained, said lands would require more water to produce crops than is now required. That said lands would not be benefitted in any way by the creation of said district or the drainage of said lands. That said lands are now drained sufficiently to produce good crops by certain canals that run through the said lands, which canals are very deep and carry off all surplus water from the same.

WHEREFORE, your petitioners pray that said lands above mentioned be excluded from said district and be not included in the same.

Mistaur hannes

### PROCLAMATION

Made and Given Pursuant to Order of the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, entered September 8th, 1921.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF CACHE, STATE OF UTAH, A. D. September 8th, 1921.

In the Matter of the Petition for the organization of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, Cache County, State of Utah

ORDER OF PROCLAMATION

RE: CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE

DISTRICT, NUMBER 2.

The petition having been heard in the manner required by law and the Board of County Commissioners having duly examined said petition, and having heard evidence concerning. the same, and considered all objections to the same, it is ordered by the Board of County Commissioners, that the petition be, and the same is, hereby, granted: and the Board of County Commissioners further finds that the work proposed in said petition to be done will be useful for agricultural or sanitary purposes to the owners of land within the proposed district; and the Board of County Commissioners also finds that the persons who have signed said petition are of lawful age and are a majority of the adult land owners, representing a major portion (more than one-half) of the lands proposed to be affected by such proposed work. And the Board of County Commissioners further finds that the said Drainage District to be known as, and hereby named Cache County Drainage District Number 2, the lands to be included in which are all situated in the County of Cache, State of Utah, and the boundaries of which said district are hereby fixed and described as follows:

Beginning at the southwest corner of Section 15 Township 12 North Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running thence north one mile, thence east one-half mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence east one-fourth mile, thence north one-half mile, thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-half mile to the south quarter corner of the northwest quarter of Section 3, Township 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence west one and three-fourths miles; thence south one-half mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile: thence north one and one-fourth miles; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north onefourth mile; thence west one-half mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-half mile; thence north three-fourths mile; thence east one-half mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence south one-fourth mile; thence south onefourth mile; thence west one-half mile to the center of Section 26, Township 13 North Range 1 West of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian; thence south one and one-half miles; thence east one-half mile; thence north one mile; thence east one mile; thence east one-half mile; thece south three-fourths mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south three-fourths mile; thence west one mile; thence south one-half mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south one mile to the south quarter corner of Section 14, Township 12 North Range 1 West of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence east one-half mile thence south one-half mile; thence east one and one-fourth miles: thence north one-fourth mile; thence east one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence east two and one-half miles to the point of beginning.

enclosing an area of approximately 8400 acres; and a more particular description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

In Township 12 North, Range 1 East, Solt Lake Base & Meridian

West half of southwest quarter section 3 South half Section 4 SE 1/4, SW 1/4 of SW 1/4 Sec. 5 E 1/2, S 1/2 of SW 1/4 Sec. 6 All of Sec. 7 All of Sec. 8 All of Sec. 9 W 1/2, SW 1/4 of NE 1/4, Nw 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec. 10 All of Sec. 16 All of Sec. 17 All of Sec. 18 SW 1/4 of NW 1/4 and N 1/2 of NW 1/4 of Section 19

In Township 12 North Range 1 West Salt Lake Base & Meridian

56 2 S 1/2 Sec. 12 107 All of Sec. 13 64 E 1/2 Sec. 14 13 N 1/2 Sec. 24

13 9119 529

144 50

150 13

2/61 13 237

is duly established as provided by law,

And the following named persons are to be known as the Board of Supervisors for the terms set opposite their names:

E. A. Parson, of King, Utah, for the term of three years Charles G. Hyde of Hyde Park, Utah, for the term of two years A. V. Reese of Bensen, Utah, for the term of one year.

ATTEST:

County Clark of Cache County.

Board of County Commissioners

Ha Theres

STATE OF UTAH.

County of Cache.

CERTIFICATE

I, W.H.Chugg, Clerk of the Beard of County Commissioners and the Clerk of Cache County, State of Utah, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original Order of Proclamation in the matter of the Petition for the organization of CacheCounty Drainage District # 2, as the same appears on file and of record, in my office.

Witness my hand and seal this 9th day of September, 1921.

W.H. Chugg

County Clerk.

Bv

Deputy Clerk.

Cornea ( Vsey)

FORM OF MINUTE ENTRY.

Logan, Utah, September 8, 1921.

The Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, met in special session pursuant to order and notice, dated August 8th, 1921.

Present: H. A. Theurer, Chairman
William Murray, Commissioner
A. W. Hyer, Commissioner
M. C. Harris, County Attorney

The petition of Charles G. Hyde and 115 other land owners within the proposed district asking for the creation of the proposed Cache County Drainage District No. 2, filed herein on the 8th day of August, 1921, came on regularly for hearing pursuant to order and notice this 8th day of September, 1921.

The Committee composed of Charles G. Hyde, A. E. Parson and A. V. Reese, representing the land owners and petitioners appeared and asked that the petition be granted. Protests against the organization of the said district were filed by Henry Griffiths, Euphemia Griffiths, Inez R. Thain, Florence R. Roundy, Mary H. Reese and George Griffiths and each of the above named persons also requested that their lands be excluded from any district that might be created and the following named persons also requested that their lands be excluded from the district, L. D. Bodgero, 26 acres in the Southeast quarter of Section 18, Township 12 North Range 1 East Salt Lake Meridian; Serge Bodrero and John Bodrero 30 acres in the same section; Ezra Eames 16 acres in Section 16, Township 12 North Range 1 East Salt Lake Meridian and Arthur Eames 11 acres in the same section. The County Commissioners thereupon listened to the arguments in favor of the protests, carefully examined the said petitions, notices and documents filed in the said matter and examined the original records in the office of the County Recorder and County Assessor of Cache County, Utah, to ascertain the kind and amounts of land owned by the land owners within the proposed district.

Whereupon Commissioner William Murray moved the adoption of the following resolution:

#### RESOLUTION.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH.

In the Matter of the Organization of the Cache County Drainage District Number 2.

WHEREAS, the owners of title or evidence of title within the proposed Cache County Drainage District Number 2, who own or control a major portion in area of the lands to be reclaimed or benefitted, or which are susceptible of drainage, duly filed in this office, on the 8th day of August, 1921, their petition in the manner and form prescribed by law, requesting the creation and organization of the Cache County Drainage District No.2, which petition contains the signatures of a majority of the land owners within the proposed district, and,

WHEREAS, the County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, thereupon duly fixed the 8th day of September, 1921, for the hearing of the said petition and caused due and legal notice of the said hearing to be given, and,

WHEREAS, at such hearing the committee composed of Charles G. Hyde, A. E. Parson and A. V. Reese duly appeared on behalf of the said land owners and asked that the petition be granted. Protests against the organization of the said district were filed by Henry Griffiths, Euphemia Griffiths, Inez R. Thaim, Florence R. Roundy, Mary H. Reeese and George Griffiths and each of the above named persons also requested that their lands be excluded from any district that might be created and the following named persons also requested that their lands be excluded from the district, L. D. Bodrero 26 acres in the Southeast quarter of Section 18, Township 12 North Range 1 East Salt Lake Meridian; Serge Bodrero and John Bodrero 30 acres in the same section; Ezra Eames 16 acres in Section 16. Township 12 North Range 1 East Salt Lake Meridian and Arthur Eames 11 acres in the same section. The County Commissioners thereupon listened to the arguments in favor of the protests, carefully examined the said petitions, notices and documents filed in the said matter and examined the original records in the office of the County Recorder and County

Assessor of Cache County, Utah, to ascertain the kind and amounts of land owned by the land owners within the proposed district.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, as follows:

1. That the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, hereby finds that the total number of land owners within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district hereinafter described is 202 and that the said petition contains the signatures of 109 of the said land owners; that the total number of acres of land within the said proposed district is 8069 and that the signers of the said petition are the owners of title or evidence of title of 4767.10 acres of land within the said district, and that the said petition contains the signatures of the owners of title or evidence of title were the major portion in area of the lands to be

2. That the objections and protests by the above mentioned parties are based upon grounds untrue in fact and are wholly without just cause or reason and the same are hereby denied.

reclaimed and benefitted and which are susceptible of drainage within the

said district.

- 3. That no petitions for inclusion of lands was presented and that the petitioners above mentioned for exclusion of lands from the said district and each of them and all of them are not based upon facts which would justify such petitions being granted and the said petitions and each of them are hereby denied.
- 4. That this Board of County Commissioners further finds that the proposed drains, ditches and other works are necessary and will be useful for the drainage of the lands proposed to be drained thereby for agricultural or sanitary purposes and are conducive to the public health and wellfare.
- 5. That the said petition of the said Charles G. Hyde and others for the creation of the district be and the same is hereby granted and that there is hereby created a drainage district, all of the lands of which are situated in Cache County, Utah, to be known as and the same is hereby named and designated as Cache County Drainage District No. 2 and the boundaries of the said district are hereby established and defined as more particularly hereinafter fully set forth and described.
- 6. That the following named persons be and they are hereby appointed supervisors of the said district until their successors are

duly elected and qualified:

E. A. Parson of King, Cache County, Utah, for the term of 3 years Charles G. Hyde, of Hyde Park, Cache County, Utah, for the term of two years.

A. V. Reese of Bensen, Cache County, Utah, for the term of one year.

- 7. That each of the members of the said Board of Supervisors shall, before entering upon the duties of their office, take and subscribe to the constitutional oath of office, which oath, shall be filed with the County Clerk of Cache County, State of Utah, and shall each execute an official bond to the district in the sum of \$2000.00 which sum is hereby fixed by the County Commissioners as a reasonable bond to be furnished by each superviors, which said bond shall be in the form prescribed by law for the official bonds of County Officers.
- 8. That this board has not modified the proposed boundaries of the said district so as to accept from the said district any land within the boundaries of the district proposed by the said petitioners which is susceptible of drainage by the system of works applicable to the other lands in such proposed district.
- 9. That this Board, within ten days after the passage of this resolution, shall publish in the manner prescribed by law in the Logan Republican, a tri-weekly newspaper published in Logan, Cache County, Utah, for at least ten days, its proclamation made herein and the said County Commissioners hereby make and enter in its minutes the following proclamation:

## PROCLAMATION

Made and Given Pursuant to Order of the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, entered September 8th, 1921.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF CACHE, STATE OF UTAH, A. D. September 8th, 1921.

In the Matter of the Petition for the organization of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, Cache County, State of Utah

ORDER OF PROCLAMATION

RE: CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE

DISTRICT, NUMBER 2.

The petition having been heard in the manner required by law and the Board of County Commissioners having duly examined said petition, and having heard evidence concerning the same, and considered all objections to the same, it is ordered by the Board of County Commissioners, that the petition be, and the same is, hereby, granted; and the Board of County Commissioners further finds that the work proposed in said petition to be done will be useful for agricultural or sanitary purposes to the owners of land within the proposed district; and the Board of County Commissioners also finds that the persons who have signed said petition are of lawful age and are a majority of the adult land owners, representing a major portion (more than one-half) of the lands proposed to be affected by such proposed work. And the Board of County Commissioners further finds that the said Drainage District to be known as, and hereby named Cache County Drainage District Number 2, the lands to be included in which are all situated in the County of Cache, State of Utah, and the boundaries of which said district are hereby fixed and described as follows:

Beginning at the southwest corner of Section 15 Township 12 North Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running thence north one mile, thence east one-half mile, thence north one-fourth mile, thence east one-fourth mile, thence north one-half mile, thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile, thence north one-half mile to the south quarter corner of the northwest quarter of Section 3, Township 12 North, Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence west one and three-fourths miles; thence south one-half mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one and one-fourth miles; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence north onefourth mile; thence west one-half mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-half mile; thence north three-fourths mile; thence east one-half mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence south one-fourth mile; thence west one-fourth mile; thence south onefourth mile; thence west one-half mile to the center of Section 26,
Township 13 North Range 1 West of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian; thence
south one and one-half miles; thence east one-half mile; thence north one mile; thence east one mile; thence south one mile; thence east one-half mile; thece south three-fourths mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south three-fourths mile; thence west one mile; thence south one-half mile; thence west one-half mile; thence south one mile to the south quarter corner of Section 14, Township 12 North Range 1 West of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, thence east one-half mile thence south one-half mile; thence east one and one-fourth miles; thence north one-fourth mile; thence east one-fourth mile; thence north one-fourth mile; thence east two and one-half miles to the point of beginning.

enclosing an area of approximately 8400 acres; and a more particular description of the lands proposed to be included within the boundaries of the proposed drainage district is as follows:

# In Township 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base & Meridian

West half of southwest quarter section 3 South half Section 4 SE 1/4, SW 1/4 of SW 1/4 Sec. 5 E 1/2, S 1/2 of SW 1/4 Sec. 6 All of Sec. 7 All of Sec. 8
All of Sec. 9
W 1/2, SW 1/4 of NE 1/4, NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec. 10
All of Sec. 16 All of Sec. 17 All of Sec.

SW 1/4 of NW 1/4 and N 1/2 of NW 1/4 of Section 19

18

In Township 13 North, Range 1 East Salt Lake Base & Meridian W 1/2, SE 1/4 and the SW 1/4 of NE 1/4 Sec. 31

## In Township 13 North Range 1 West Salt Lake Base & Meridian

S 1/2 of SE 1/4 Sec. 24 S 1/2 of NW 1/4 and NE 1/4 of NW 1/4, SW 1/4, S 1/2 of SE 1/4 Sec. 25 SE 1/4 Sec. 26 E 1/2 Section 35

## In Township 12 North Range 1 West Salt Lake Base & Meridian

S 1/2 Sec. 12 All of Sec. 13 E 1/2 Sec. 14 N 1/2 Sec. 24

is duly established as provided by law,

And the following named persons are to be known as the Board of Supervisors for the terms set opposite their names:

E. A. Parson, of King, Utah, for the term of three years Charles G. Hyde of Hyde Park, Utah, for the term of two years A. V. Reese of Bensen, Utah, for the term of one year.

ATTEST:

County Clerk of Cache County.

Board of County Commissioners

The above resolution was adopted this 8th day of September, A. D. 1921.

Ha Theres

William Munoy Commissioner

W.A

ATTEST:

County Clerk

Commissioner Joseph

The motion was seconded by Commissioner A. W. Hyer and after due discussion the question was upon the passage of the motion. The vote taken by the Commissioners and showed the following:

Commissioner A. W. Hyer
William Murray
H. A. Theurer

Whereupon the motion was declared to be unanimously passed. Dated this 8th day of September, 1921.

Hilliam Muna

ATTEST:

WH. Chugg

County Clerk

The foregoing minutes are hereby approved as correct.

ATTEST:

Chairman

#### RESOLUTION

WHEREAS on the 11th day of April, 1921, a petition signed by R. E. Butler and 45 others dated April 11, 1921 was duly presented to this Board at its regular meeting held April 11, 1921, which petition was duly filed on said date and Thursday the 19th day of May, 1921, at the Commissioners Room at the County Court House fixed as the time and place for hearing said petition, and due notice thereof given as prescribed by law, and

WHEREAS, on the said 19th day of May, 1921, this Board duly met, heard the said petition and adjourned the said hearing to the 23rd day of May, 1921, at which time the commissioners found that the total acreage of land was 3879 acres and signers of the petition owned 2060 acres of said total and that the total number of signers within said district was 77 and that the petition was signed by 45 land owners in the said district, and,

WHEREAS, it is now made to appear to this Board of County Commissioners that an error was made in such finding, that a number of signers of said petition were not owners of land within the proposed district as described, but were owners of land which were in fact outside of the district as proposed by the said petition and which said names with their respective acreage were through error and misunderstanding and oversight on the part of this commission and the said petition counted in said findings, and,

WHEREAS, in truth and in fact the said petition was not signed in the manner and form as required by law.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, and the said Board hereby finds as follows:

- 1. That the petition herein referred to as filed herein on April 11th, 1921 was not signed by a majority of the land owners within the proposed boundaries of said district and was not signed by the owners of a majority of the lands within the proposed district and that this Board of County Commissioners were without jurisdiction to make and enter its findings made on May 23rd, 1921 or its proclamation on the said day declaring the district created.
  - 2. Be it further resolved that the said proclamation be and the

H. a Theurer Chairman

ATTEST:

W.H.Chugg.

Minute Entry of Resolution of backe bounty Drainage District #2

> Filed nov-27th 1922 WHChugg blerk

> > Recorded BRJPg.464

to be

In the Matter of the Cache County Drainage District Number 2.

SUPERVISORS REPORT.

TO THE HON. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY UTAH.

Comes now the Board of Supervisors of the Cache County
Drainage District Number two, and hereby makes and files with the
Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, its findings and
report pursuant to the provisions of section 2053 and section 2054,
Chapter 41 Session Laws of 1919, as amended by Chapter 47 of the
Session Laws of Utah 1921.

Supervisors of the Cache County Drainage District Number Two, employed as engineers of the said District, Humphreys Brothers, of Logan, Utah, with Hays, Sloan and Lewis, of Boise, Idaho as associates engineers, and that this Board of Supervisors with the engineers having examined all of the lands proposed to be drained or protected, and the lands over or upon which the work is proposed to be constructed; that the said engineers have made a complete survey and maps of the lands within the said district as well as exhaustive investigation of sub-surface conditions both with reference to the soil and to the ground water; that the said engineers have made a detailed written report to the Board of Supervisors of the results of their survey and investigations, and a copy of said report is submitted to the County Commissioners with this report for their reference and further information.

That from the said examination by the supervisors and report of the said engineers, this Board makes the following findings and report:-

"(a) Whether the proposed work and the proposed location thereof are in all respects proper and feasible; and if not, what is or are so".

The drainage system proposed in the petition for the organization of the district is feasible and proper.

Some of the drains on account of flat slopes and quicksand and large discharge, necessarily should be open ditches and concrete tile may properly be substituted for clay tile under certain conditions.

The primary system consts of the following drains:
DESCRIPTION OF THE BENSON-HYDE-PARK DRAINAGE.

An open ditch emptying into the Swift slough at a culvert on County road approximately 500 feet South of the S.E. Corner of Section 14, Tp. 12 North, Range 1 W. and running thence South along east line of County road to North line of right of way of O.S.L. Railway; thence Southeasterly along said north line of right of way to its intersection with east and West center line of section 34, twp. 12

N. range 1 West; thence east approximately 3/4 mile to S.4 corner of NW4 Sec. 19. Twp. L2

N. Range 1 E.

No.1-A.N.

An open drain emptying into Drain No. 2-N
near the center of the SEt of section 18, twp. 12
North range one E. and running thence South
the mile; thence East along South line of sec.
18 and 17 said twp. and range to South the corner of sec. 17. twp. and range aforesaid.

No. 1-B.N.

An open drain emptying into Drain No. 1-A-N near the St cor. of SEt sec. 18. twp. 12 N.

Range 1 East and running thence West t mile; thence South t mile to the Et cor. of NWt sec. 19, twp. 12 N. Range I. E.

No. 2 -N

An open drain. Beginning in the Swift slough near Culvert on County road at a point approximately 500 feet South of the Southeast corner section 14 twp. 12 N. Range 1. W. and following the meanderings of said slough in a northeasterly direction to a point approximately mile South of center of section 18, twp.

13 N. Range 1 E., thence East approximately 2½ miles to State Road.

No. 3 -N
An open drain emptying into No, 2-N at a point approximately 500 feet South of S.E. cor Sec. 14 twp. 12 N. Range 1 W. and running thence North 1 mile to N. E. cor, of sec. 14; thence East approximately 4 miles to the S.E. cor. Sec. 9, twp. 12 N., Range 1 E. at State Road.

No. 4 -N.

An open drain emptying into Drain No. 3-N
at approximately the S.E. Cor. of Sec. 12 Twp.
12 N., range 1 W. and running thence North 2 mile
to Et cor. said sec. 12; thence East 1th miles to the State corner NWt sec. 8. twp. L2 N. Range 1 E.

No. 5 -N

An open drain beginning in Hopkins slough at
Culvert on County Road near N.W. Cor.
sec. 7. twp 12 N. range 1 E. and following the
meanderings of said slough in a easterly direction
to a point near the Et cor. of the SEt sec. 6., said twp
and range; thence Southeasterly approximately
5/8 miles to the Et cor. NWt sec. 8; thence Easterly
approximately 2 miles to Nt cor. sec. 10 said twp and range.

No. 5 - A.N.

A tile drain emptying into Drain

No. 5 - N at a point near the center of

NW of NW sec. 10 twp. 12 N range I E. and

running thence in a southeasterly direction

approximately 1 mile to the St cor. sec, 10.

said twp and range.

No. 5- B-N.

A tile drain emptying into drain No. 5-N at a point near the center of NW4 of NW4 sec. 10. twp. 12 N., Range 1 E. and running thence in a northeasterly direction approximately 3/8 mile.

No. 6- N.

An open drain emptying into Drain No. 5-N near the Wt cor. of SWt sec. 5. twp. 12 N Range 1 E. and running thence northeasterly up the channel of slough approximately 3/8 mile to a point near the center of NEt of SWt said sec. 5, thence East approximately 1-5/8 miles to State Road.

No. 6-A-N.

A tile drain emptying into drain No. 6-N at a point approximately 1/8 mile South of Et cor, sec. 4. twp. 12 N. Range 1 E. and running thence northeasterly 1/8 mile.

No. 6- B-N.

A tile drain emptying into Drain No. 6-N at a point approximately 1/8 mile South of Eq cor. Sec. 4. twp. 12 North range 1 E. and running Southeasterly 3/8 mile.

No. 7-N.

An open Drain emptying into Drain No. 5-N at a point approximately 1/8 mile South of Ni cor. of SEi sec. 6. twp. 12 N., Range 1 E., thence in a northerly direction 1-3/8 mile.

No. 8 - N.

An open drain emptying into Drain No. 7-N at a point near center of SW of NE to sec. 6. twp. 12 N. Range 1 E. and running northwesterly mile to a point approximately 700 feet west of St cor. sec. 31. twp. 13 N. range 1 E., thence North 3/4 mile.

No. 9 -N.

An open drain emptying into Drain No. 10-N at a point 3/8 mile east of the center of sec. 26. twp. 13 N, range 1 W. and running thence South 5/8 mile; thence Southerly 7/8 mile to a point approximately 1050 feet east of the St cor. of sec. 35, said twp and range.

No. 10 -N.

An open drain emptying into slough near the center of section 26, twp. 13 North range 1 W. and running thence East 2 mile; thence northeasterly 3/4 mile; thence E. 2 mile to the N.E. Cor. of se. 25, said twp and range.

4. No. 11-A-B-C etc N. Tile drains beginning in drains Nos l-N, l-A-N l-B-N, 2-N, 4-N, 5-N, 5-A-N, 5-B-N, 6-N, 6-A-N, 6-B-N, 7-N, 8-N, 9-N, and l0-N 3-N, and running as becomes necessary. " (b) The probable cost of the work mentioned in the petition including all incidental expenses, and the cost of the proceedings therefor", The probable cost of the proposed work including all incidental expenses and costs of organization will be \$394,000.00 "(c) The probable annual cost of keeping the same in repairs after the work is completed." The probable annual cost of keeping the system in repair based on the construction of both the primary and secondary systems will be \$2,410.00. "(d) What lands will be injured by the proposed work, and the probable aggregate amount of all damages such lands will sustain by reason of the laying out and construction of such work; and what lands, if any, should be excluded from the district." That ho lands will be injured by the said drainage system except that there will be required a strip of land 100 feet wide taken for right of way for the open ditches. There will be no damage caused by the construction of the closed drains as they are all on land which is practically non-productive at the present time. The aggregate amount of the damages will be \$18,600.00. There should be no lands excluded from the District. What lands will be benefitted by the construction of the 11 (e) proposed work, and whether the aggregate amount of benefits will equal or exceed the cost of constructing such work, including all incidental expenses, costs of proceedings, and damages." All of the lands in the district will be benefitted by the construction of the drainage system, except the lands taken as a right of way for the open ditches as set forth in D above. The aggregate amount of the benefits will exceed the total cost of constructing the drainage system, including all incidental expenses, costs of proceedings and damages. The aggregate amount of the benefits will be \$840,000.00.

"(f) Whether the proposed district as set out in the petition filed will embrace all the lands that may be damaged or benefitted by the proposed work; and if not, to report what additional lands will be so affected."

The district as proposed in the petition will embrace all the lands which will be benefited by the construction of the drainage system.

The Board of Supervisors having found that the benefits derived from the said drainage system will exceed the costs and expenses of the construction, maintenance, and damages, and respectfully requests that this report be accepted by the Board of County Commissioners in the manner and form prescribed by law.

Dated this 27 hay of November 1922.

CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

President and Supervisor.

Secretary and Supervisor.

Treasurer and Supervisor.

Minutes of the meeting of the regular session of the Board of County Commissioners held this 27th day of November 1922, at 2 O'clock P.M. the following commissioners being present:

Commissioner Theurer, Commissioner Hyer, and Commissioner

The Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 3, having duly presented their report in writing to the Board of County Commissioners as required by the provisions of Section 2053, and section 2054, Chapter 41 Session Laws of Utah 1919, as amended by Chapter 47 of the Session Laws of Utah 1921, and the Board having discussed the said report and duly examined and considered the same, it was moved by Commissioner Hyer and seconded by Commissioner Murray that the following resolution be adopted by the Board of County Commissioners:-

#### RESOLUTION.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Cache County
Drainage District Number 2, have heretofore presented to the
Board of County Commissioners their report in writing as provided in
Section 2053 and section 2054 of Chapter 41 of the Session Laws of
Utah 1919, as amended by Chapter 47 of the Session Laws of Utah,
1921, a copy of which said report is hereby filed with the official
files in the office of the County Clerk in the County Court
House of Cache County, Utah, and the Supervisors having reported:

- 1. That the drainage system proposed in the petition and the organization of the District is feasible and proper.
- 2. That the probable cost of the work mentioned in the petition including all incidental expenses and the cost of the proceedings therefor, is the sum of \$394,000.
- 3. That the probable annual cost of keeping the same in repair after the same is completed will be the sum of \$2,410.00.
- 4. That no lands will be injured by the said drainage system, except that it will require a strip of land 100 feet wide taken for a right of way for the open drains. That the aggregate amount of the damages done by said drainage system will be the sum of \$18,600.00, and that there should be no lands excluded from the said district.
- 5. That all of the lands in said district will be benefitted by the construction of the drainage system, except the lands taken as a right of way for the open ditches as set forth above. That the aggregate amount of the benifits will exceed the total cost of constructing the drainage system, including all incidental expenses, cost of proceedings and damages. That the aggregate amount of the benefits will be the sum of \$840,000.
- 6. That the district as proposed in the petition will embrace all of the lands which will be benefitted by the construction of the drainage system. That the Board of Supervisors recommend that the report be accepted by the Board of County Commissioners, in the manner and form prescribed by law, Now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the report of the Board of Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, be and the same is hereby accepted and ordered filed as a part of the records of said District.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the findings of said Board of Supervisors as set forth in said report be and the same is hereby approved and ratified.

hereby find that the said work proposed in said petition and the proposed location thereof are in all respects proper and feasible, and that the probable cost of said work including all incidental costs and the costs of organization will be the sum of \$394,000; that the probable cost of keeping the same in repair after the work is completed will be the sum of \$2,410.00; that the aggregate amount of damages sustained by the said lands due to the construction of said drainage system, will be the sum of \$18,600.00, and that no lands should be excluded from the said district; that the aggregate amount of the benefits derived from the construction of the said drainage system will be the sum of \$840,000; that the district as proposed in said petition will embrace all of the lands which will be benefitted by the construction of the drainage system.

Dated at Logan, Utah, this 27 day of 760v.

1922.

BY SO GOUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

BY SO GOUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

CHAIRMAN.

W W STONER

Roll was called upon the adoption of the above resolution , all Commissioners voting yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared adopted by Chairman Theurer.

Thereupon Commissioner Murray, moved the adoption of the following resolution:

#### RESOLUTION.

WHEREAS, it has been called to the attention of the Board of County Commissioners that A.V. Reese, one of the Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, has held office for a period of one year, the same being the term of office of the said A.V. Reese, and that the term of office of the said A.V. Reese has expired, now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, and Ordered, that the said A.V. Reese be appointed supervisor of the Cache County Drainage District Nu,ber 2, for a period of three years, and that said A.V. Reese, be required to qualif y as required by law as such officer.

The above resolution was seconded by Commissioner Hyer, all members present voting yes.

A.V. Reese, was thereupon declared appointed to the office of Supervisor of Cache County Drainage District Bumber 2, for a period of three years, by Chairman Theurer.

Dated this 27 day of Movember 1923.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONES.

BY CHAIRMAN.

IN THE MAtter OF CACHE COUNTY DEALNAGE DISTRICT NUMBER 2.

ASSESSMENT ROLL OF BENEFITS AND DAMAGES.

TO THE POARD OF COUNTY COUNTSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH.

Board of Supervisors of said Cache County Drainage District

Number 2, held at the offices of said Supervisors at Logan,

Utah, on the Aday of February 1923, and the
said Supervisors after having therefore viewed each tract of
land within said District, and after having considered all the
damages and benefits that each particular tract of land will
receive from the construction and maintenance of the said
proposed drainage system, assessed each tract of land in
accordance with the benefits to be received by it, after having
made proper allowance for any damages to any such tract of land.
A copy of the proceedings at said meeting is as follows:

Minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, held at the offices of said Supervisors at Logan, Utah, on the stay of February 1923,

There were present Supervisors, John Lee, A.V. Reese, and E?A. Parsons.

The poard of Supervisors having heretofore viewed each tract of land within the said drainage district, now proceeded to the consideration of all of the damages and benefits that each particular tract of land will receive from the construction and maintenance of the drainage system proposed for said district, whereupon the following preamble and resolution was duly now

seconded and carried:-

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors have viewed each tract of land within said district, and have carefully considered all the damages and benefits that each particular tract of land will receive from the construction and maintenance of the drainage system proposed to be constructed, and have made proper allowances for damages to each such tract of land.

BE IT NOW RESOLVED, by the said Board of Supervisors that each tract of land be and hereby is assessed in accordance with the benefits to be received by it, proper allowance for damage to such land having been made in the sums hereinafter set opposite the names of the land owners whose names appear herein, with a description of the land owned by each, the number of acres of each tract, and the amount of the assessment.

Name and Address.

Description of land.

No. of

Acres. Total Assessment.

### BENSON DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 2.

#### Name of Owner

Rauben A. Perkes

Benifits assessed at the me of floor per a tan levisa at 1118.

Hyde Park

Hyde Park

Sec. 3, T. 12 N., R. 1 E. S.L.M. Builds Acreage perpere Beginning at the northeast corner of Lot 3, Block 10, Plat "B" Hyde Park Farm Survey; thence south 48 rods; thence west 2 rods; thence north 17 rods; thence west 50 rods to State Road; thence north along said Road 31 rods; thence east 52 rods to beginning, containing... \$150 = 10.28 \$1,542 = Also, beginning at a point 31 rods south of a point 2 rods west of the northeast corner of Lot 3, Block 10, Plat "B" Hyde Park Farm Survey; thence west 50 rods to State Road; thence south along said Road 17 rods: thence east 50 rods; thence north 17 rods to beginning, contain-· \$15000 5.3 \$79500

Charles J. Drury
Benefita ascessed at
the rate of 100
per are tax levie
at the rate of 15

Hyde Park

John J. Lamb

per across tax
levies at the rate
of 15 mills

Heyder ack

Lars Christensen

Benefits assessed at the rate of 5 mils.

Hyde Park

Beginning at a point 34.6 rods east of the southwest corner of Lot 23, Block 3, Plat "A" Hyde Park Farm Survey; thence east on south line of said lot 29 rods; thence north 18 rods; thence west 29 rods; thence south  $18\frac{1}{2}$  rods to beginning, containing.....

Name of Owner Herbert Seamons George Seamons Besessed. acre ed at the defark Man James W. Perkes Benefits assessed yde Park Wah Thomas Matkin A. C. Reeder Benefits assessed the rate of \*100. per acre, tax

Description of Parcel

Acreage

\$ 55000

Sec. 3, T.12N., R.1E. S. L. M. Con. pulaue

Beginning at a point 340 ft. south of the northwest corner of Lot. 22. Block 3. Plat "A" Hyde Park Farm Survey; thence south 530 ft.; thence east 360 ft.: thence northerly 540 ft. to a point east of beginning; thence west 380 ft. to beginning, containing....\$12524.40

Beginning at the northeast corner of Lot 20. Block 3. Plat "A" Hyde Park Farm Survey; thence west 44 rods; thence south 20 rods; thence east 44 rods: thence north 20 rods to begin-

All of Lot 19, Block 3, Plat "A" Hyde Park Farm Survey, containing ... \$150008.25\$12.37.50

Beginning at the northwest corner of Lot 18. Block 3. Plat "A" Hyde Park Farm Survey; thence east 65 rods; thence south 82 rods to the south line of said section 3; thence east 78.5 rods; thence south 5 rods; thence west 144 rods; thence north 15.44

rods to beginning, containing..... \$150 28.70\$130500

John E. Lee te of 100, tax the rate

the rate

Cache County

ts assessed acre. tax at the rate cf/15 mills

Beginning at a point on the south side of a field street 1.25 chains south of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section: thence east on the south side of said street 3.4 chains to west side of County Road; thence south along said County Road 10 chains; thence west 3.15 chains; thence north 10 chains to beginning, containing..... \$150 3.28 \$492 00

A 6 rod street running north and south through the west half of the southwest quarter of said section, contain-..\$150006.0390000

Name of Owner John E. Lee at 100 per acre 00 per acre, rate of 15 Hyde Park Utah Benefits assessed at the rate of tax fied at the rate of 15 mills

Hyde Park Utah Geo. S. Daines Penefits essessed rate of tax leved at the tate of 15 mills Hyde Park Utah Benefits assessed fate of per acre, tax levied at the rate of 15 mills. Hyde Kark Utah Louisa E. Seamons Benefits as essed at the at the rate of 15 mills. de Park Utah O.S. L. Railway Co. Renefits assessed the rate of levied at the et Lake City

Description of Parcel Acreage Sec. 4, T. 12 N., R. 1 E. S. L. M. per dere Beginning at a point 10.5 chains south of a point 3 chains east of the northwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section: thence east 5.0 chains; thence south 20 chains: thence west 5.0 chains: thence north 20 chains to beginning, containing..... \$1250 10.0 \$125000 Charles L. Ashcroft Beginning at a point 11.6 chains west of a point 1.25 chains south of the northeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section: thence south 10 chains; thence west 10.20 chains: thence north 10 chains to south line of Street; thence east 10.20 chains to beginning, contain-\$1500010.20\$153000 Beginning at the southwest corner of the southeast quarter, thence east 3 rods; thence north 20 rods; thence west 41 rods: thence south 20 rods; thence east 38 rods to begin-\$125005.12864000 ning, containing...... Beginning at a point 21.6 chains east and 50 links south of the northwest corner of the south half of the southwest quarter of said section; thence south 16 chains; thence east 5 chains; thence north 16 chains; thence west 5 chains to beginning, \$/2500 8.0 \$1000 containing....... Beginning at a point 133 rods east of the northwest corner of the south rate of \$100, half of the southwest quarter of said section; thence east 21 mds; thence south 50 rods; thence west 21 rods: thence north 55 rods to beginning, 8/25 20 7.21 8901.25 containing...... A strip of land 4 rods wide extend-

ing 2 rods on either side of a centre line running north and south through

the southwest quarter of said section

4, containing.....\$150004.00 \$600 00

Name of Owner

Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL A

Sec. 4, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued. perface

George Chambers
Benefits/assessed
at the vate of
\$100, for acre,
tax level at the
pate of 5 mills
withfield Wah

William Scrowthers
Benefits assessed
at the rate of
#100, pr acre,
tax levied at the
rate of 15 mills.

Smithfield Wetah

William Chambers
Penerits assessed
at the rate of
\$100 per acre,
tax levied at the
rate of 15 mills.

Smithfield Wah

Charles J. Drury
Benefits assessed
at the rate of
\$100. For acre,
tax event at the
rate of 5 mills.

HydeParkutah

Mary A. Chambers
Benefits assessed
at the rate of
100, or sore
tax levied at the
rate of 15 mills.
unthfield Wah

## Sec. 4, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued.

Alfred W. Chambers
Benefits assessed
at the rate of
\$100, or sore,
tax revied at the
rate of 15 mills
furthfield utah

Frank Follett

Benefits seed at the rate of 15 mills.

HydePark Wtah

Samuel P. Nilson

Benefits a sessed at the rate of \$100, er acre, tax letied at the

Sasaithfield Utah

Benefits assessed at the rate of \$100 er acre, tall levied at the rate

Smithfield Utah

James A. Cragun
Benefits assessed
at the reterm 100
per abret at the rate
of 15 mills 7, 5 &

Smithfield Utah Theopholus Chambers Jr Wallace Cragun

Wallace Cracun
Benefits as asset
at the rate of
\$100, or acre,
tax levied at the
rate of 1 mills.

Provortah

Beginning at the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence east 7 chains along street; thence south 20 chains; thence west 7 chains to west line of southwest quarter of said section; thence north 20 chains to beginning, containing..... \$125214.0

Beginning at a point 37 links south of a point 14 chains east of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence west 3 chains; thence south 20 chains; thence east 3 chains; thence north 20 chains to beginning, containing.....\$12526.0 \$75022

Beginning at a point 37 links south of a point 14 chains east of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence south 20 chains; thence east 3 chains; thence north 20 chains; thence west along the south line of st. 3 chains to beginning, containing....3/2526.0 \$750 \$\infty\$

Description of Parcel

Acresge TOTAL TA

\$112500

Theophilus Chambers

Menefile Assessed at the rate of \$100, per sore, tax levied at the rate of 5 mills.

Smithfield Utah

William H. Follett

Benefita association the late of 15 mills.

Hyde Park Utah

Robert Hopkins
Benefits assessed
at the rate of
\$100 for acre,
tax level at the

Hyde Park With Francis C. Lee

Benefits assessed at the nate of \$100, no acre, tax levied at the rate of 15 miles

Hyde Fark

Sec. 4, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued. Benifits

Beginning at a point .37 chains south

Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL Benifits Sec. 4, T. 12 N., R. 1 E. continued pulacre

Francis C. Lee

Benefits assessed at the rate of \$100, per accept tax levied to the rate of 15 mills

Hyde Fack litah

Also, beginning at the northeast corner of south half of the southeast quarter of said section; thence west 5 rods to slough; thence southwesterly along slough 24.6 rods; thence east 19 rods; thence north 20 rods to beg-\$ 22500 Also, beginning at a point 20 rods south of the northeast corner of south half of the southeast quarter of said section; thence west 19 rods to slough; thence southwesterly on slough 35 rods: thence east  $45\frac{1}{2}$  rods; thence north 34 \$1500 4.83 \$724.50 rods to beginning, containing..... \$150 e7.5 \$ 1125 Also, beginning at a point 11.25 chains south of northeast corner of the south-east quarter; thence south 8.75 chains; thence west 11.72 chains; thence north 8.97 chains; thence east 11.85 chains 

Reuben Perkes

Benefit assessed at the tax levild at the

Beginning at a point 5 rods north of the southeast corner of said section: thence west 80 rods; thence north 141 rods; to beginning, containing..... \$12527.12 \$890 0 Also, beginning at the southeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence north 5 rods: thence westerly 80 rods; thence south to section line 7 rods: thence east 80 rods 

Edgar Nilson) Milo Nilson )

Renefita assessed acre,

withfuld winh Lorenzo Toolson

Benefits assessed loo, per ecfe, tax levied et the wate of 15 mills.

Beginning at a point 50 links south of the northwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence east 3 chains; thence south 10 chains: thence west 5 chains; thence north 10 chains; thence east 2 chains to begin-

Beginning at a point 50 links south and 3 chains east of the northwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence east along south line of street 5 chains; thence south 10 chains; thence west 5 chains; thence north 10 chains to beginning, containing.....\$125005.0 \$62500

-7-

Charles R. Ashcroft

Penefits assessed at the rate of \$100, per are, tax levied at the rate of 15 mills.

Hyde Park Corp.

Benefity assessed at the rate of \$100, e, tax t the

Description of Parcel

Sec. 4, T. 12N., R. 1E, continued Benifits

Acreage

11.78 \$1767=

Beginning at a point 1.25 chains south of the northeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence west 11.6 chains; thence south 10 chains: thence east 11.85 chains; thence north 10 chains to 

Beginning at a point 3 rods east of the southwest corner of the south-east quarter, thence east 24 rods; thence northerly 32 rods; thence west 22 rods; thence south 32 rods to beginning, containing...... \$50.24.96

Beginning at the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of said section: thence east 3.08 chains; thence north 9.5 chains; thence west 3 chains to west line of southeast quarter; thence south on

said line 9.5 chains to beginning, conta ining.....\$ 12542.89 \$36/25

Beginning at a point 11.6 chains west of a point 1.25 chains south of the northeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence south 10 chains; thence west 10.2 chains; thence north 10 chains arthak@xxhakes; thence east along south line of street 10.2

chains to beginning, containing..... \$1250010.2 \$127500

Beginning at a point 6.75 chains east C. of J.C. of L.D.S. of the southwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section: thence east 3.2 chains; thence north 2.49 chs.: thence east 10.12 chs. to forty line; thence north 5 chs. to a pt. 7.49 chs. north of southeast cor. of southwest quarter of southeast quarter of said sec.; thence southeasterly 8.2 chs. to slough; thence up said slough northeasterly .6 chains; thence west 4.62 chs.; thence north 12.5 chs, thence west 14.92 chs.; thence south 10.55 chs.; thence west 5.0 chs.; thence north 10.55 chs.; thence West 3.08 chs.; thence south 15 chs.; thence east .75 chs.; thence north 3 chs.; thence east 5.5 chs.; thence southeasterly 8 chs. 

-8-

Description of Parcel

Sec. 4, T. 12N., R. 1E, continued.

· Benifito pulacre

Hyde Park Corp. C. of J. C. of L. D.S.

100, or acre, tax evied of the rate 1 15 mills.

Cache County

Benefity assessed at

Also, beginning at a point 11.25 chains south and 11.85 chains west of the northeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence south 8.97 chains; thence west 20.68 chains; thence north 9.35 chains; thence east 20.40 chains to beg., 

A strip of land 3 rods wide extending east and west through the north half of the rate of 100, per the south half of said section, containable the rate of 15 mills ing.....\$150.0 \$900 20

## Description of Parcel

## Sec. 5, T. 12 N., R. 1 E. S.L.M.

John P. Toolson Penefits assessed at the rate of \$100, per acres tax levied at the ate of 15 mills. brithfield Wtak

Beginning at a point 10 chains north of a point 35.6 chains east of the southwest corner of the north half of the southeast quarter of said section; thence north 10 chains; thence west 10 chains; thence south 10 chains; thence east 10 chains to the beginning, con-

Peter Hansen

Benefita assessed furthfield Utah

Beginning at a point 35.6 chains east of the southwest corner of the north half of said section; thence north 10 chains: thence west 10 chains; thence south 10 chains; thence east 10 chains

to the place of beginning, containing \$125000 Beginning at a point 16.2 chains east

Thomas H. Chambers Benefits assessed at the rate of \$100 per acre, tax levied at the rate of 15 mills

along the south line of streetfrom nor thwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence south 10 chains: thence east 5 chains: thence north 10 chains; thence west 5 chains to the place of beginning, containing. \$/2505.0 \$62500

withfield Utakalso, beginning at a point 15.6 chains north half of the southeast quarter of said section; thence north 10 chains; thence east 10 chains: thence south 10

chains: thence west 10 chains to the place of beginning, containing.....\$ 125000 \$ 125000

\$62500

Parley Chambers

Benefita assessed at the persone, levied at the of 15 mills mithfield

Beginning at a point 21.2 chains east along the south line of street from the northwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence south 10 chains: thence east 5 chains: thence north 10 chains; thence west 5 chains to the place of beginning, containing \$/2525.0

Wm. A. Sorenson Beginning at a point 6 chains east of a point.63 chains north of the north-Benefits assessed west corner of the southeast quarter at the of said section; thence south 5 chains; tax levid at the

thence east 10 chains; thence north 5 chains; thence west 10 chains to begin-

mithfield Utah

-10-

quarter of said section, containing.. \$30040.0 \$2000

Lalt Lake Ceity Utah Yo New Grand Hotel

anefits assessed

Description of Parcel Acreage TOTAL TAX Name of Owner Sec. 6, T. 12 N., R. 1 E. S.L.M. Beginning at the northeast corner of Marie Fonnesbeck said section; thence south on the east Benefita assessed line of said section 31 rods; thence at the date of west 16.9 rods to east line of street; sore, tax thence north on said east line of st. the rate to a point west of beginning; thence 15 milde. east 15.8 rods to beginning, containmithfield Utah Beginning at a point 31 rods south of Foster J. Gordon fits assessed the northeast corner of said section; thence west 17.1 rods; thence south 20 rods; thence east 16.7 rods; thence r acra north 20 rods to beginning, containing \$/252.11 \$263 25 ild Wah lfred W. Chambers Beginning at a point 27.45 chains north of the southeast corner of the northuthfuld Utah east quarter of said section; thence west 17.5 rods; thence south 36.6 rods, thence east 17.5 rods to beginning, containing...... 15 mills. Beginning at the southeast corner of the James Thornley northeast quarter of section 6, west 21 Unrods; thence north 36.6 rods; thence east 21 rods; thence south 36.6 rods to \$60000 Beginning at a point 36.6 rods north of Mary A. Chambers the southeast corner of the northeast seasa ad quarter of said section; thence west 19.25 rods; thence north 36.6 rods; acra thence east 19.25 rods; thence south \$55000 36.6 rods to beginning, containing. \$12524.4 John Matthews Beginning at a point 5 chains west and 7.5 chains south along the west line Benefits assessed street from the northeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence tax levied at the west 40 rods; thence north 28.8 rods to north line of said section; thence east mithfield R7. DNo/ 40 rods to west line of street; thence south on west line street 30 rods to \$36750 Also, beginning at a point 5 chains west and 7.5 chains south along west line"street from the northeast corner of said section; thence west 10 chains; thence south 2 chains; thence east 10 chains; thence north along west line st. 2 chains to beginning, con. 01000

-12-

Description of Parcel

Name of Owner

Sec. 6, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued.

Builit perkere

Acreage

\$ 3000

James A. Hind withfield With

Beginning at a point 9.5 chains south of a point 5 chains west of the northeast corner of the northeast quarter; sd. sec.; thence west 10 chains: thence south 6 chains; thence east 10 chains; thence north 6 chains to beginning, containing 5006.0

acre withfield Utah James A. Hind Ruby S. Nelson

Beginning at a point on the west line of street 15.5 chains south of a point 5 chains west of the northeast corner of said section: thence west 10 chains; thence south 5.75 chains; thence east 10 chains; thence north along west line of street 5.75 chains to beginning, con-

Bapefits assessed viol at the 15 miles.

Beginning at a point 15.0 chains south of a point 15 chains west of the northeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence south 4 chains; thence west 10 chains; thence north chains; thence east 10 chains to begingso=4.0 \$ 200 = ning, containing......

Francis Annie Roskelley

Penefits assessed

Beginning at a point 21 rods west and 56 rods north of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence west 42 rods; thence northwesterly 26 rods; thence east 42 rods; thence south 26 rods to beginning, containing......\$5006.6

ho rate Jos. C. Jorgensen

Beginning at a point 21 rods west and 40 rods north of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence west 42 rods; thence north 16 rods; thence east 42 rods; thence south 16 rods to beginning, con-\$21000 Also, beginning at a point 21 rods west and 40 rods north of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence west 82 rods; thence south 42 rods to the south line of the northeast quarter of said section: thence east 82 rods; thence north 40 rods to beginning, containing.... \$50 21.01 \$1050.50

## Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL To

Sec. 6, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued.

Wm. G. Reese

Smithfield Wthh
R. 7. Deneil is assessed
at the rate of

\$100, perface,
tax lavid at the
rate of 15 mills.

Beginning at a point 25 rods east of the northwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence south 60 rods; thence west 80 rods; thence north 60 rods; thence east 55 rods to \$150000 Also, beginning at a point 14.5 chains south of a point 25 chains west of the northeast corner of said section; thence west 10 chains; thence south 10 chains; thence east 10 chains; thence north 10 chains to beginning, contain-\$5000 Also, beginning at a point 101 rods south of the north quarter corner of said section; thence east 18 rods; thence north 8 ft; thence east 40 rods; thence south 17.52 rods; thence west 58 rods; thence north 17.52 rods to 

A. V. Reese

Benefits assessed

at the face of

100, fr acre,
tax lefted at the

finithfield Wah

1.7.11.No

Thomas H. Reese

Benefits assessed at the rate of 15 mills.

mithfield Utah

Acreage To Description of Parcel Name of Owner Sec. 6, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued. Beginning at a point 160 rods east Thomas H. Reese and 186.52 rods north of the south-Benefits assessed at the rate of west corner of said section; thence north 15.25 rods; thence east 53.43 per acre, prate of 15 mills withfield Zetah rods; thence south 15.25 rods; thence west 53.43 rods to beginning, con-\$ 25500 taining...... \$ 50 2 5.1 Beginning at a point 16 rods east and 14.84 rods north of the southwest corner of said section; thence enefits assessed north 19 rods; thence east 144 rods per ace, tax lavied to the quarter section line; thence an the rate of 15 south 19 rods: thence west 144 rods \$855 00 to beginning, containing...... \$50017.1 mills Luithfield Walass beginning at a point 160 rods R.7. D. Mo1 southwest corner of said section; thence north 15.43 rods; thence east 53.43 rods; thence south 15.43 rods; thence west 53.43 rods to beginning \$5000 5.15 \$25750 containing..... Beginning at a point 16 rods east R. O. Reese and 51.84 rods north of the southwest Benefics assessed corner of said section; thence north rate of at the 20 rods; thence east 144 rods; thence r acre, south 38 rods; thence west 117 1/3 tax evild at the rate of 15 mills. rods; thence north 18 rods; thence west 26 2/3 rods to beginning, conwithfield Utah taining...... \$50231.85 \$1592 50 Also, beginning at a point 160 rods east and 159.1 rods north of the southwest corner of said section; thence north 11.9 rods; thence east 53.43 rods; thence south 11.9 rods; thence west 53.43 rods to beginning, containing... \$50 4.0 \$200 00 Beginning at a point 20 rods south of C. A. Reese the north quarter corner of said sec-Benefits a sessed tion; thence east 14 fods; thence south at he rate of 100, per acre, tax levied at the rate of 15 mills. 35/5 rods; thence west 14 rods; thence north 35,6 rods to beginning, containing.

Chas. D. Reese Smithfield Utah Description of Parcel Section 6, 12 1 East

Beginning at a point 51.9 rods North of the Southeast corner of lot 3 in Section 6; thence E. 14 rods; thence North 14.1 rods; thence West 14 rods; thence South
14 rods, to the place of
beginning.containing..... \$5000 Acerage Total

1.24 86200

Sidney M. Reese withfield Utah

Beginning at a point 30.5 rods North of the Southeast corner of lot 3, in said section, and running thence East 14 rods; thence North 21.4 rods; thence West 14 rods; thence South 21.4 rods to the place of beginning, containing..... \$50 00

1.8789350

Ruby S. Nelson Smithfield Utah

Beginning at a point 19 chains South of a point 15 chains West of the North-east corner of said section, and running thence South 1 chain; thence West 10 chains; thence North 1 chain; thence East 10 chains, to the place of beginning, containing..... \$500

1.0 \$500

	Name of Owner	Description of Parcel Acres	age	TOTAL TAX
1	P. U.C.	Sec. 6, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued. Benifits		Benifito
7	Reynold G. Reese  C. A. Reese  Benefits, assessed  at he rate of  low acre,  pax evied at the  Sinithfield-Utah	Also, beginning at a point 84 rods south of the north quarter corner of said section; thence east 18 rods; thence south 17.2 rods; thence west 18 rods; thence north 17.2 rods to	9	\$9500
7	Thomas H. Reese	Beginning at a point 45.5 rods north of a point 16 rods east of the south-west corner of said section; thence east 26 2/3 rods; thence north 18 rods; thence west 26 2/3 rods; thence south	V	
*/	Christian W. Ander- Banefits assesson	The southeast quarter of said section, containing		\$800000
	and the motion	Beginning at a point 16 rods east of the southwest corner of said section; thence north 80 rods; thence west 3 rods; thence south 80 rods; thence		
	AORE COUNTY *** Benefits assessed at the rate of 100, per acre, tax	east 3 rods to beginning, containing \$15000 1. Also, a 2 rod street running north and south through the east part of the northeast quarter of said section, con-		\$3000
	levied at the rate of 15 mills.	taining	0	p 300 —
		Sec. 7, T. 12 N., R. 1 E. S. L. M.		
	Swithfield	Beginning at a point 15 rods east of a **Mobile point 27.5 rods south of the northwest **J2500 corner of lot 1 of said section; thence**J5000 east 160 rods; thence south 40 rods; thence west 160 rods; thence north 40 rods to beginning, containing	10 '	\$ 15000
~	fuithfield Utah	northwest quarter of said section, less	48.5	12130
	Philip Purser  Benefits assessed at he rate of 10 per cre, tax levie	Lot 2 of said section, less road, con- \$/250 taining	28.3	2 \$ 1500 E
	Logan Wich			

#### Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TAX

## Sec. 7, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued.

Benefit ass seed at the rate of tax levied at the rate of tax levied at the rate of 15 mills.

67 . 4

M40

A. V. Reese

Benefits asserted at the rate of \$100, per acre, tax levied at the rate of 15 mills. Smithfield Utah A.7. D#/

Beginning at a point 54.10 chains south 89°20' east of a point 2.72 chains north of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence south 1° 04' west 18.10 chains; thence south 89°16' east 30.07 chains: thence north 00°13' west 18.10 chains; thence north 89°16' west 29.63 chains to beginning, containing...\$5000 54.03 \$2701 20 Also, beginning 0.73 chains west of a point 2.72 chains north of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section: thence south 39.46 chains; thence south 89°10' east 9.4 chains; thence north 33.9 chains; thence north 51° west 9 chains; thence west 2.3 chains to beginning, containing. \$50 = 35.0 \$1750 00

Beginning at a point 44.10 chains east \$/25 \(\circ\)/0 ain. \$/250\(\circ\)
of a point 2.72 chains north of north- 50\(\circ\), 25 " \$/250\(\circ\)
west cor. of southwest quarter of said
sec.; thence south 1°04' west 31.36 chains;
thence south 51° east 12.67 chains; thence
north 1°04' east 39.37 chains; thence north
89°32' west 9.9 chains to beginning.con.. 35\(\circ\)

Swithfield
RADY Chas. L. Reese
Swithfield Wah
RAHI

## Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TAX

## Sec. 7.T. 12N., R. 1E. continued.

Chas. L. Reese Penafits assessed at the rate of per acre, of 15 mills ithfield Utah Thomas H. Reese

Also, beginning at a point 27.10 chains east of a point 2.72 chains north of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence south 1°04' west 11.78 chains; thence east 17 chains; thence north 1°04' east 11.78 chains: thence west 17 chains to 

talled at the

Beginning at the northeast corner of said section; thence south 22 rods 71 \$12500 10ams \$12500 ft.; thence west 160 rods; thence north 5000 124 acros 620 22 rods 72 ft.; thence east 160 rods to beginning, containing..... Also, beginning at a point 4.6 chains east of a point 2.72 chains north of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence south 51° east 49.89 chains; thence north 1° 04' east 19.58 chains; thence west 17 chains; thence north 1°04' east 11.78

chains; thence west 222 chains to 

C. D. Reese

Benefits assessed at the mate of tax levied at the rate of 15 mills. withfield Ulah 7.8#1

Beginning at a point 9 chains south 51° east of a point 3.3 chains east of a point 2.72 chains north of the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence south 33.9 chains; thence east 12.05 chains; thence north 24.18 chains; thence north 51° west 16.5 chains to beginning, containing..... \$50 0 35.0 \$1750 00

Charles L. Reese

Benefits assessed 100, per acre, leviso at the te of 15 mills.

Beginning at a point 22.5 chains east and 3.2 chains north of the southwest corner of the southeast quarter of tax said section; thence north 86 rods; thence north 89°16' west 37.2 rods; thence south 1° west 86 rods; thence withfield Wah east 37.2 rods to beginning, contain-

ing.....\$50 20.0 \$1000 20

Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL

Sec. 7. T. 12N., R. 1E. continued per sure

T. H. Reesect, al

Parley a. Reese Swithfield Utah

Cache County

Benefits assessed at the rate of \$100, per acre, tax levied at the rate of 15 mills. Beginning at a point 26 rods 4 ft.
south of a point 16 rods east of
the northwest corner of said section;
thence east 160 rods; thence south
20 ft.; thence west 160 rods; thence
north 20 ft. to beginning, containing \$50 ≈ 1.21 \$60 50

A 4 rod street running east and west through the south part of the northeast quarter of said section, containing...... 4.0 Also a 3 rod street running east and west through the south part of the northwest quarter of said section, containing...... .3.0 Also a 4 rod street running north and south through the west part of the northwest quarter of said section, containing...... 4.0 Also a 3 rod street running north and south through the west part of the southwest quarter of said section, containing..... 3.0 That part of Logan, Benson cut-off 20,6 au \$80900 road running through the south half\$15000 of said section, containing..... 6.6

Description of Parcel Section 7- 12 1. East

Acreage

Parley A. Reese Smithfield Utah

Beinfels Beginning at a point 16 rods East and 1 rod 52 feet South of the Southwest corner of section 6, twp. 13 North Range 1 East Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running thence East 144 rods; thence South 21 rods 2 feet; thence East 19-1/3 rods; thence South 3 rods 13 feet; thence West 163-1/3 rods; thence North 24 rods 15 feet, to place of beginning, containing.....

85000

22.9\$11450

ALSO, beginning at the South-west corner of section 6, twp. 13 North Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridiah, and running thence East 13 rods to the West boundary of the County Road; thence South 80 rods; thence West 13 rods; thence North 80 rods, to the place of beginning, containing.....

\$500

6.5 \$325 =

Reynold G. Reese Figurthfield Utah

Beginning at a point 179-1/3 rods East and 22 rods 72 feet South of the South-west corner of section 6, twp. 12 North range one East and running thence East 35-1/6 rods; thence South 44 rods 15 feet; thence West 35-1/6 rods; thence North 44 rods 15 feet, to the place of beginning, containing..... \$ 5000

9.87849350

Gladys Reese Smithfield Utah

Beginning at a point 314.5 rods East and 22 rods 72 feet South of the South-west corner of section 6, twp. 12 North range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running thence E. 35-1/6 rods; thence South 44 rods; 15 feet; thence West 35-1/6 rods; thence North 44 rods 15 feet to place of beginning, containing..... \$50 00

9.87 849320

Description of Parcel

Acreage

Suel E. Lamb

Hyde Park Utah Sec. 9, T. 12N., R. 1 E. continued. Builto per have

Beginning at the southwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence north on the section line 36.3 rods; thence east 24.42 rods; thence south 36.24; thence west 24 rods to Also, beginning at the northwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence south 1.50 chains: thence east 1.87 chains: thence south 3 chains: thence east 4.13 chains: thence north 4.50 chains to section line; thence west 6 chains: thence north on center line of said section 42 rods: thence east 12.5 rods; thence northeasterly 51 3/4 rods to the south line County Road and to a point 15 rods east of the center line of said section: thence west 15 rods to center: still west along south line of County Road 16.5 rods; thence south 103 rods to a point due west of a point 6 rods south of beginning; thence east 16.5 rods to center line of said section; thence north 6 rods to beginning, containingsocials.0 \$9000

Hyde Park Utah Beginning at the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence so uth 23.6 rods; thence north 88°25' west 8.8 rods; thence so uth 2°20' west 4.20 chains; thence north 88°25' west 16.46 chains; thence north \$/25 and Odurun \$1250 and 2°30' east 11.40 chains; thence north \$50 and 11.12 and 556 and 55' east 12.22 chains; thence north 87°35' east 12.22 chains; thence north 87°35' east 24.8 rods to a point on the east line of said northeast quarter 13 rods north of beginning; thence south 13 rods to beginning, containing \$1.12

Mary A.D. Morrell et al Hyde Park

Beginning at a point on the Co. Road 78 2/3 rods east of a point 66 rods south of northwest cor. of northeast quarter of said sec.; thence south 55 rods; thence east 13 1/3 rods; thence north 54 rods; thence west 13 1/3 rods along road to beginning, containing \$60 \text{227} 4.54 \$\frac{1}{227}\$

## Description of Parcel

Acreage

Sec. 9, T. 12N., R. 1 E. continued.

Wilford G. Daines Hyde Kark Titah

Beginning at a point 62 rods east and 66 rods south of the northwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence south 53 1/3 rods; thence east 8.35 rods: thence north 53 1/3 rods; thence west along County Road 8.33 rods to beginning, containing. 550 2 3.12 \$156 00 Also, beginning at a point 68.45 rods east of the northwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence southwesterly 60 rods more or less to the north line of County Road and to a point which is 66.45 rods east of the west line of said northeast quarter of said section; thence east along the north line of said County Road 13.54 rods to subdivision quarter section line; thence north 60 rods to the north line of said sec .: thence north 9.97 rods: thence west 11.54 rods to a point northeasterly from beginning; thence southwesterly 9.97 rods to beginning, containing. \$50005.45 \$27250

Charles R. Ashcroft Beginning at a point 46 rods south of the northeast corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence west 80 rods; thence north 8 rods to begin-Less O. S. L. Right of Way.

Beginning at a point 54.5 rods east of a point 42 rods north of the southwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence east 8.8 mds; thence north 50 rods; thence west 8.8 mds; thence south 50 rods to beginning, con-\$ 13750 

Reuben Perkes

Beginning at the northeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section: thence west 80 rods; thence south 26.5 rods; thence east 80 rods; thence north 26.5 rods to beginning, containing..... \$50213.56 \$6782

Description of Parcel Section 7-12 1. East

Benifets peraire

Total

Sidney M. Reese Swithfield Wah

9.8714932

George W. Reese Smithfuld utah

Beginning at a point 284-5/6 rods East and 32 rods 7½ feet South of the Southwest corner of section 6, twp. 12 North Range 1 East of the Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running thence east 35-1/6 rods; thence South 44 rods 15 feet; thence West 35-1/6 rods; thence North 44 rods 15 feet, to the place of beginning, containing..... \$50 @

9.87849350

Ella Cantwell Smithfield Utah

Beginning at the North-east corner of maximum the South-east quarter of the northeast quarter of section 7, and running thence West 10 chains; thence South 17.23 chains more or less to the North side of County Road; thence South 89 20° East 10 chains to the East line of section; thence Worth 17.44 chains to the place of beginning, containing..... \$502

17.338866 50

Sarah A. Petty
Smithfield Utah

Beginning at a point 10 chains
W of the northeast corner of the
Southeast quarter of the northeast
quarter of section 7, and running
thence W. 10 chains; thence South
17.02 chains more or less to the North
line of County Road; thence S. 89
30' E. along the said County Road
10 chains; thence North 17.23 chains
to the place of beginning, containing 50 = 17.238862

## Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TA

\$50000

Henry H. Seamons
Hyde Park

George Z. Lamb
et al
Affle Fark
of Long L. Morse

Beginning at a point 48 rods north

John L. Morse

and  $13\frac{1}{2}$  rods west of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; themce west 20 rods; thence north 48 rods to County road; thence east 20 rods; thence south 48 rods to beginning, containing....\$50 \simes 6.0 \$300 \simes 1300 \simes 13000 \simes 1300

James E. Morse

Hyde Fark

Villah

Hyrum Morse
Hef Lefark

Beginning at a point 48 rods north and 3 1/3 rods west of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence west 10 rods; thence north 48 rods to the County Road; thence east 10 rods; thence south 48 rods to beginning, containing.

## Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TO

Sec. 8, T. 12N, R. 1 E. continued.

Benefits Binifits

William Balls

Bayits Despite

Logist Stack

tax by Jakhe

rate Clark

\$139500

Abraham Smith
Benefits assessed
at fine rate of
Utah hills.

Beginning at a point 24 rods north of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence west 52 1/3 rods; thence north 24 rods; thence east 52 1/3 rods; thence south 24 rods to beginning. containing.....35008.0

.0 \$400€

Annie M. Hancey
Hyde Park

\$40000

James W. D. Hurren
Hyde Park

1 Viltah

1 viltah

1 at of 15 mills.

Beginning at a point 24 rods east of the northwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence east 66 rods; thence south 59 rods to County Road; thence southwesterly along said road 43 rods; thence north 40 rods; thence west 30.5 rods; thence north 50 rods to beginning, containing \$25.5 \$1275

Joseph Waite

Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TAR

Benefits

Sec. 8, T. 12N, R. 1 E. continued. Benefits Beginning at the southeast corner

of the northwest quarter of said section; thence west 19.6 chains; thence northeasterly along road to a point approximately 12.5 chains north of beginning; thence south 12.5 chains to beginning, containing..... \$50 00 15.0

\$75000

Hansine J.

Christensen

Beginning at a point 67.5 rods west of a point 65 rods south of the northeast corner of the northwest quarter of said section; thence west 12.5 rods; thence south 80 rods; thence east 12.5 rods; thence north 80 rods to beginning, containing... \$50 4 6.25 \$3/2 50 Also, beginning at a point 55 rods south from the northeast corner of the northwest quarter of said section; thence west 34 rods; thence north 40 rods; thence west 62 rods; thence south 50 rods: thence east 27 rods; thence south 80 rods to County Road: thence northeasterly following north line of said road 81.2 rods to a point on the quarter section line south of beginning; thence north 45 rods to beginning, containing.....

\$235000 .... \$500047.0

Suel E. Lamb

Reese

Beginning at the northeast corner of the northwest quarter; thence south 55 rods; thence west 34 rods; thence north 55 rods; thence east 34 rods to beginning, containing... \$500 11.70 \$585 00

Beginning at the northwest corner of said section; thence east 126 rods; thence south 15 rods; thence west 62 rods; thence south 50 rods; thence east 16 rods; thence south

40.36 rods; thence west 80 rods; thence north 105.36 mods to beginn-

#### Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TA

21.0

Benefits

Charles A. Reese
Benefits assessed
at the rate of
\$100, per acre, tax
Ievied at the
rate of 15 mills.

Beginning at a point 105.36 rods from the northwest corner of said section; thence east 80 rods; thence south 39 51 80 rods; thence east 12.50 rods; thence south 2 rods; thence west 92.50 rods; thence north 41 51/80 rods to beginning, containing.

Beginning at the northeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence south 80 rods; thence west 64 rods; thence north 80 rods; thence east 64 rods to beginning, containing \$50.232.0

Beginning 52 rods east of the northwest corner of the southeast quarter; thence east 44 rods; thence south 80 rods; thence west 44 rods; thence north 80 rods to beginning, containing \$50-22.0 \$1100

Beginning at a point 48 rods north of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence north 48 rods; thence west 2 rods; thence south 48 rods; thence east 2 rods to beginning containing

The southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of said section, containing. \$50240.0 \$2000 =

Beginning at the northwest corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence east 20 chains; thence south 17 chains; thence west 16.98 chains; thence south 2.78 chains; thence west 3.02 chs. to west line of said sec.; thence north 20 chains to beginning, containing... \$50\alpha 35.1 \$1755\alpha\$

Hyde Park

John E. Lee Hyderark Levi Utah Geo. S. Daines Heldo Punh

Chresten C. Lee

Hydelark

Levilltakrate

of 10 mills.

William H. Thain Cogair the William H. Thain

Philip Purser\*\*\*\*\*

Book Log div

tax levetate re

of 15

8/30000

Acreage TOTAL TAX Description of Parcel Name of Owner Sec. 8, T. 12N, R. 1 E. continued. Benefits G. Reese Beginning at the southwest corner of said section; thence east 20 chains; thence north 23 chains; thence west 16.98 chains; thence south 2.78 chains; thence east 3.02 chains; thence south 8224600 20 chains to beginning, containing. \$50 44.92 The south half of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of said. \$100000 The north half of the southeast quar-Rees ter of the southwest quarter of said section, containing...... \$50020.0 \$1000 00 Beginning at the southwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence east 54.5 rods; thence north 74 rods to County Road; thence southwesterly along said County Road 60 rods to a point north of beginning: 5 mills: thence south 54 rods to beginning, containing.....\$50~22.83 9114150 Abraham Smith Beginning at the northwest comer of the northeast quarter of said section: thence south 66 3/4 rods; thence east 24 rods; thence north 66 3/4 rods; thence west 24 rods to beginning, constaining.....\$50010.0 950000 Cache County All that part of the Benson-Hyde Park ts seeseed Zate of er acre,

to of 15 mills.

Description of Parcel Section 8, 12 1 East

Acreage

Chas. D. Reese

Smithfield Wah

Beginning at a point 105-29/80 rods South of the Northwest

corner of section 8, twp. 13 North Range 1 East, and
running thence South
41-51/80 rods; thence East
92½ rods; thence North
2 rods; thence West 12½
rods; thence West 12½
rods; thence West 80 rods, to the
place of beginning, containing... No 22 21.00%

Benifils Total perdere Built

## Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL TAX

# Sec. 9, T. 12 N. R. 1 E, S. L. M. Benefils

Hydefark Woolf Park

Hyde Park

Beginning at a point 19.88 rods east of a point 18 rods south of the north-west corner of the southeast quarter; thence east 15.44 rods; thence south 61 rods; thence west 15.44 rods; thence north 61 rods to beginning, containing \$50.25.75

Heber Balls
Hyde Park

Hydl Pau

James Balls
Hydelark
Utah

Description of Parcel

Acreage TOTAL

Lars C. Petersen Hyde Park

Sec. 9, T. 12N., R. 1E. continued. Benefits Beginning at the southeast corner of the southeast quarter of said section;

thence west 40 rods; thence north 40 rods; thence east 40 rods; thence

\$50000 south 40 rods to beginning, containingsocio.0

Chas. J. Drury

Beginning at a point 40 rods east of a point 40 rods north of the southwest corner of the southeast quarter of said section; thence east 40 rods; thence north 39 rods; thence west 40 rods; thence south 39 rods to beginning, con-

taining.....

Hyde Park

Charles R. Ashcroft Beginning at a point 54 rods south of the northeast corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence west 80 rods; thence south 5.5 rods; thence east 80 rods; thence north 5.5 rods to less O. S. L. Right of Way. Also, beginning at a point 59.5 rods south of the northeast corner of the southwest quarter of said section; thence west 80 rods; thence south 6 rods; thence east 80 rods; thence north

6 rods to beginning, containing....\$5000 3.0 \$15000 less O. S. L. Right of Way.

Sarah Hymas Bates Willow Daines

Beginning at a point on the County Road 72 rods east of a point 66 rods south of the northwest corner of the northeast quarter of said section; thence so uth 55 1/3 rods; thence east 6 2/3 rods; thence north 55 1/3 rods; thence west 6 2/3 rods to beginning, containing......\$5002.29

George Z. Lamb

Beginning at the northwest corner of the nor theast quarter of said section; thence south 60 rods to north line of County Road; thence east along said road 42.78 rods; thence north 60 rods to north line of said section still north 9.97 rods; xx nexthxlinexefxsaidxsection thence west 3.475 rods; thence south 9.97 rods to north line of said section; thence west 39.5 rods to beginning, containing... \$50216.0 \$80000

-26-

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF UTAH, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CACHE.

In the Matter of the Cache County Drainage District Number 2.

STATE OF UTAH, ) (ss. County of Cache.)

Lillian McCulloch of Logan City, in the County of Cache, being duly sworn, says: That she is a citizen of the United States over the age of 21 years, and that she is not interested in nor a party to the Cache County Drainage District Number 2, that on said 8th. day of February A.D. 1923, she deposited in the United States Post Office, at Logan City, copies of said annexed notice, postage thereon prepaid, one addressed to each of the following named persons at his respective place of residence as set forth opposite his name, to-wit:

Hyde Park, Utah. Reuben A. Perkes, Charles J. Drury, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. John J. Damb Lars Christensen, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah Herbert Seamons, James W. Perkes, Hyde Park, Utah. M. C. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Charles L. Ashcroft, Hyde Park, Utah.
Geo. S. Daines, Hyde Park, Utah.
P. C. Chambers, Hyde Park, Utah.
Louisa E. Seamon Thomas Matkin, Hyde Park, Utah.
Hyde Park, Utah
Hyde Park, Utah.
Salt Lake City, Ut. O.S.L.R.R. CO. George Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. William Scrowther William Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Chas. J. Drury, Mary A. Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. Alfred W. Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Frank Follett, Samuel P. Nilson, Smithfield, Utah. Calvin Cragun, Smithfield, Utah. James A. Cragun, Smithfield, Utah. Theopholus Chambers, Sr. Provo, Utah. Theopholus Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. William H. Follett, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. G. E. Seamons, Francis C. Lee, Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah. Francis C. Lee, Hyde Park, Utah . Reuben Perkes, Edgar Nilson, Milo Nilson, Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Lorenzo Toolson, John E. Lee, Geo. S. Daines, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Joseph Forrester, Smithfield, Utah. Chas. R. Ashcroft, Hyde Prk, Utah. C. of J. C.of L. D. S. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park Corp. of Hyde Park, Utah. C.of J.C.of L.D.S. Cache County, Logan, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. John P. Toolson, Peter Hansen, Thos. H Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. Parley Chambers, Smithfield, Utah. Wm. A. Sorenson, Wm. A. Sorenson, Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Geo. Chambers, Hyde Park, Utah. Chas. J. Drury, Samuel P. Nilson Smithfield, Utah. Richard Richardson, Smithfield, Utah. Jos. J. Richardson, Salt Lake City, Utah . New Grand Hotel. Marie Fonnesbeck, Smithfield, Utah. Foster J. Gordon, Smithfield, Utah. Alfred W. Chambers, Smithfield, Utah.

James Thornley, Smithfield, Utah. Mary A. Chambers, Smithfield, Utah.

John Matthews,

James A. Hind, John T. Hind, James A. Hind, Francis Annie Roskelley,

Wm. G. Reese, A.V. Reese, Thomas H. Reese, Thomas H.Reese, A.J. Reese, R.O. Reese, C.A. Reese, Chas D. Reese, Sidney M. Reese, Ruby S. Nelson, Reyhold G. Reese, Thomas H Reese, Christian W. Ander-

Cache County, George Cowley, Emil Berger Philip Purser, A. V. Reese, W. G. Reese, Chas.L. Reese, Chas.L. Reese, Thomas H. Reese, C. D. Reese, Charles L. Reese, T. H. Reese, Parley A. Reese, Cache County, Sidney M. Reese George W. Reese, Ella Cantwell, Sarah A. Petty, Henry H. Seamons, George Z. Lamb, John L. Morse, James E. Morse, Hyrum Morse, William Balls, Abraham Smith Annie M. Hancey, James W.D. Hurren, Hyde Park, Utah. Joseph Waite, G. F. Ashcroft, Hansine J.

Christiansen, Suel E. Lamb, Thomas H. Reese, Abigal G. Hyde, John E. Lee, Geo. S. Daines, Chreston C. Lee, William H. Thain, Philip Purser, W. G. Reese, John W. Rees, Jesse T. Rees, Royal E. Lee, Abraham Smith, Cache County, Chas. D. Reese,

Smithfield, Utah R.F.D.# 1. Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah.

Smithfield, Utah. Jos. C. Jorgensen, Smithfield, Utah. R.F.D. # 1.

Smithfield, R.F.D #1. George Z. Lamb, Smithfield, R.F D. #1. Wilford Daines, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Suel E. Lamb5 Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Henry E. Hancey Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Henry E. Hancey, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Mary A.D. Morell, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. et al, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1 Wilford G. Daines, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Chas. R. Ashcroft, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Chas. G. Hyde, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Reuben Perkes, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Reuben Perkes, Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Reuben Perkes,

Logan, Utah. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Chas. G. Hyde,
Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Chas. G. Hyde,
Logan, Utah. Chas. H. Balls,
Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Jas. W.D. Hurren,
Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Nellie M. Hancey, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Nellie M. Hancey, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Abigal Hyde, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Chas. G. Hyde, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. L. E. Ballam, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Robert Daines, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Robert Daines, Smithfield, R.F.D#1. George W. Seamons, Smithfield, R.F.D# 1. Moses Jensen, Locan, Utah. Logan, Utah. Willard Du Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Fred Duce

Parley A. Reese, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Mary E. Duce, Reynold G. Reese, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1: Andrew Woolf, Smithfield, R.F.D. # 1 Sarah J. Purser, Smithfield, R.F.D. # 1. James W. Perkes, Smithfield, R.F.D. # 1. John E. Lee, Smithfield, Utah.
Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Pakr, Utah. Bogan, Jutah. Eogan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Hyde Bark, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1.

Andrew Woolf, John W. Matkins, Heber Balls, Joseph B. Daines, James Balls, Lars C. Petersen, Chas. J. Drury, Chas. R. Ashcroft, Sarah Hymas Bates,

Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Rebuen A. Perkes, Louisa E. Seamons, Christen C. Lee, Christen C. Lee,

Willard Duce,

Amalgamated Sugar

Company,
Amal. Sugar Co.
John J. Lamb,
Frank C. Lee, Fred Anderson, Chas. R. Ashcroft, Hyde Park, Utah. Jas. H. Perkes, Hyde Park, Utah. William A. Seamons, Hyde Park, Utah. Francis C. Lee, Hyde Park, Utah. Elizabeth H. Hyde,

Et al Chas. J. Brury Geo. S. Daines, Geo. S. Daines,

James W. Seamons Hyde Park, Utah. Simpson Thurston, Hyde Park, Utah. Joseph Waite, James W. Perkes, Andrew Woolf, George S. Daines, Hyde Park, Utah. George F.Ashcroft, Hyde Park, Utah.
O. S. L. R.R. Co. Salt Lake City Utah.
John W. Matkin, Smithfield, R.FD.# 1.

Cache County, Logan, Utah.

Hyde Park, Hyde Park, D. Hyde Park, Uta Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Logan, Utah. Logan, Utah.
Hyde Park, Utah.
Hyde Park, Utah.
Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah;

Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah.

Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, IUtah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah.

Jas W.D. Hurren, Hyde Park, Utah.

John Balls, Hyde Park, Utah Duce Brother, Hyde Park, Utah. Benjamin Hymas, Hyde Park, Utah. Duce Bros. Hyde Park, Utah. Albert Kirkby Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Fred Duce , George Purser Sr, Hyde Park Utah. Robert Carver, Andrew Woolf, Hyde Park, Utah. Stephen Thurston, Hyde Park, Utah. John H. Anderson Stephen Thurston, Hyde Park, Utah. Arthur G. Ames, George D. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. Robert Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. George D. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. Robert Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. Stephen Thurston, George D. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. George D. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. George D. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. Gache County, Logan, Utah. John M. Duce, Hyde Park, Utah. John Duce, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. John F. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. John E. Lee, John E. Lee, John E. Lee, Hyde Park, Utah. John E. Lee, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. John E. Lee, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Rosel H. Hyde,
George F. Ashcroft,
Andrew Woolf,
Francis C.Lee,
Stephen Thurston
Ruby Woolf,
Lydia J. Daineset alHyde Park, Utah.
Albert Kirby
Albert Kirby
Kirsten Hansen,
John Duce, et al
William A. Seamons,
Hyde Park,
Joseph B. Daines,
G.F. Ashcroft,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Joseph B. Daines
G.F. Ashcroft,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Park,
Jutah.
Geo. S. Daines
G.F. Ashcroft,
Hyde Park,
Hyde Par Christian C.Lee, Hyde Park, Utah.

U. I. C. R.R 60. Logan, Utth.
Cache County, Logan, Utah.
Hyde Park Town Cor/ Hyde Park, Utah.
Hyde Park Town Corp. Hyde park, Utah.
Hyde Park Town Corp. Hyde park, Utah.
Martin C. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah.
Geo. D. Reeder, Hyde Park, Utah.
Stephen Thurston Hyde Park, Utah.
William Balls, Hyde Park, Utah.
William Balls, Hyde Park, Utah.
Hyde Park Christian Larson Hyde Park, Utah. Mattie Matthews, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1.

Ezra Ames
John J. Ormond Logan, Utah:
R.F. D. # 2.

William G. Rossin Matthews, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1.

William G. Rossin Matthews, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1.

William G. Rossin Matthews, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1.

A. W. Ensign,
Henry S. Seamons,
Archie Woolf,
J. W. Hurren,
Lars C. Peterson John H. Kemp, William Balls , Wm. Andrews, Logan, Utah.
Cache County Logan, Utah.
Logan& Benson Canal Co. Logan, Utah.
A. V. Reese, Smithfield, R.F.D#1.
Richard O. Reese, Smithfield R.F.D.#1. George W. Reese, Ella Cantwell, Ella Cantwell, Smithfiled, Utah. Sarah A. Petty, Smithfield, Utah. Eupehemia Griffiths, Smithfield, Utah. Moses M. Reese, Florence Rees Roundy Sarland, Utah. Logan & Benson Canal Co. Logan, Utah. Mafius J. Falstev, Smithfield, R.F.D.#1
Catherine Vandewood, Blackfoot, Idaho.
Thos. Smart, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Lorin A. Merrill, Logan, Utah.

A. W. Ensign, Logan, Utah.

Henry S. Seamons, Hyde Park, Utah.

Archie Woolf, Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah. North Logan, Utah. Hyde Park, Utah.

Smithfield, R.F.D #1. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Smithfield, R.F.D #1. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F. D#1. Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Smithfield, R.D.D.# 1 Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Logan, utah. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Logan, Utah

Company,

Annie M. Toombs,

E.A. Parsons,

Mary E. Farrell, Howard Reese, Mary Ann McCracken, W. J. Funk, Asa D. Weeks Parley F. Nilson Parley Nilson Marie Fonnesbeck Andrew Munk4 Lionel Munk Gottfired Beutler John T. Carlisle, Chas. H. Reese, Reynold G. Reese, Parley A. Reese, John Matthews, cache County, Lola Albiston, Geo. Griffiths Jesse T. Rees John W. Rees, Florence Rees Roundy, North Logan, Utah. Marius J. Falslev, Jesse T. Rees Benson Corp. Church Jesus Christ of L.D.S.Smithfield, R.F.D#1.

Mary A. Cook

Wm. Cantmull,

Marius J. Falslev, Smithfield, R.F.D#1.

Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Marius J. Falslev, James C. Maughan Philip Purser, Albert Riggs, Lewis B. Riggs, Albert B. Riggs, John G. Ricks, Silas Ricks Cache County (Roads) Loga & Benson Canal Co. Sogan, Utah. Wallace Ricks, Albert Riggs, Marinus Anderson W.W. Roundy, John W. Ricks and J. G. Ricks, Ann Nelson Ann Nelson J. W.Ricks, and J. G. Ricks, James Baugh, C. P. Aylworth James Baugh, et al Cache County, Logan, Utah.
Jas.Baugh & Wm.Baugh, Logan, Utah.
O: S. L. R.R. Salt Lake City, Utah. O: S. L. R.R. Jos. R. Hebaus, Cache County O.S.L. R.R.
Smith-Miles Farm
Cache County (Roads)
Ann M. Thompson Wm. Toombs, A. Munk, Abraham Jorgensen, Cache County,

Smithfield, U.h. Cache County, Smithfield, H.F.D#1.U. I. C. R.R.Co. Smithfield, Utah. Jonathan Smith Smithfield, R.F.D.#1
Smithfield, Utah. West Cache Irrigation Smithfield, Utah. Smithfield, Utah Smithfield, Utah. E.A. Parsons, Smithfield, R.F. D.#1.

Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Cache County, Logan, Utah.

Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Abraham Joregensen, Logan, Utah.

San Francisco, Calif. Andrew Munk Smithfield, R.F.D#1.

Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Cache County, Logan, Utah.

Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Cache County, Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Smithfield, R.F.D. #1. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, Utah Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Smithfield, R.F. D#Al. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Smithfield, R.F.D#1.

Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.D#1. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Smithfield, R.F.D#1: Smithfiled, R.F D.#1 Smithfield, R.F.D.#1 Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, Utah.

Smithfield, R.F.D. #1 Smithfield R.F.D #1. Smithfield, R.F.D #1

Smithfield, R.F.D.#1. Logan, Utah. Sandy, Utah. Logan, Utah. Salt Lake City, Utah. Logan, Utah. Salt Lake City, Utah. Trenton, Utah. Logan, Utah. Logan, Utah. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, Utah. Logan, Utah.

Logan, Utah. Ogden, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.Dl

Trenton, Utah. Logan, Utah. Smithfield, R.F.

Culloul

Subscribed and sworn to before me this Sth. day of February A.B.1923.

of District Court.

## IN THE MATTER OF CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

ORDER FIXING TIME FOR THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SITTING AS A BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF ASSESSMENTS OF BENEFITS IN CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Cache
County Drainage District Number two, has heretofore presented and
filed with the County Clerk its assessment roll of benefits and
damages in Cache County Drainage District Number two and has
requested the Board of County Commissioners to appoint a time
when the said Board of County Commissioners will sit as a Board of
Equalization of assessment of benefits in said Cache County Drainage
District Number two. Now therefore, upon motion of Ernest T. Young,
Attorney for the Board of Supervisors,

It is Hereby Ordered, that Tuesday the 27th day of February 1923, at 10 O'clock A.M. be fixed as the time and place when said Board of County Commissioners will sit as a Board of Equalization of said assessment of benefits and damages in Cache County Drainage District Number two .

It is further Ordered that the Clerk give notice in the manner provided by law, not less than fifteen days previous to the said 27th day of February 1923, to each of the property owners on said District, notifying them of the time and place of said meeting, and of the number of acres assessed to them and of the amount of benefits assessed to them by said Board of Supervisors.

Dated this & day of February 1983.

CLERK.

DEPUTY CLERK.

Protests from District No. Two. Reuben A. Perkes protests on 13 acres on the grounds that it has a natural drain and would receive no benefits. And on 23.5 acres which is already tiled drained with 6 inch tile. Lars Christensen protests on the grounds that the drain runs below his land and he could receive no benefits from it. M. C. Reeder complains that the acreage assessed agaist him is in excess of his holdings. P. C. Chambers complains that his land is assessed boo high and misclassed, as ten acres are assessed at \$125.00 when it should be in the \$50.00 class. He has 5 acres in section four (4) assessed at \$125.00 which should be assessed at \$50.00. Oregon Short Line Railroad Company , represented by E. M. Sayyer, says his Company is assessed with 35.68 acres at \$5652.00 and he claims that the acreage should not ex ceed 2715 acres as that as as much as could possibly receive any benefits. He also contends that drainage is in part made necessary by reason of irrigation above the tracks. The Out of 13,500 feet in this district, 8,000 feet would receive some benefits. If the primary laterals on the main lines of the drain were under the track in four places, they will accept this assessment on 27.5 acres at the maximum A grade for expense incurred. Hansina Christensen protests that she has only 45.5 acres which does not need draining and she is assessed with 47.00 acres and 6.25 acres. A. M. Toombs protests that she does not need to drain, nor want to drain. Mrs. A. L. Farrell protests on 20 acres. She claims that she will not be benefited. Mrs. John W. Reese protests on 71 acres. That she doesn't need draining and would receive no benefits. F. C. Lee protests that he is assessed too much land and that his land is now tiled drained and he would receive no benefits . U. I. C. Railroad Company represented by D. L. Stine, P.H. Mulchay, and H.C. Errett protests on the gounrds that they would not be benefited. They have a strip only 66 feet wide for a right-of-way and they request the elimination of their right-of-way from the district, but they would be willing to place culverts under their track , although their road bed is adequately drained. James Hind protests that his lands do not need draining and he would receive no benefits. A. J. Reese protests that his land does not need ndraining and he would receive no benefits. R. L. Reese protests that hisland would not justify the expense of draining. Christian Larsen protests on 20 acres ; that his valuation is too highamounting to double the value of the land.

C. W. Anderson protests and claims that he is assessed with 160 acres of land while 40 acres is all that he has that needs draining.

George Cowley protests that on 10 acres he has a private drain and this ten should be eliminated from the district and he has 25 acres assessed at \$125.00 per acre and which is three times as much as the land is worth. He recommends & blanket assessment to pay the preliminary costs .

Joseph R. Hebaush protests that his land does not need draining; he would receive no benefits.

E. Berger protests that he is assessed with 2 acres more land than he has, and that the valutation to too high .

William Balls protests that he would receive no behefits on 14 acres west of the Railroad as the soil is too shallow.

Phillip Purser protests that he has a tile drain which drains about one half of his land, therefore he would receive no benefits .

Charles L. Reese complains that 10 acres of his land is assessed at \$125.00 and should be in the \$50.00 class.

Lester Morse protests that the assessments of benefits are too high . That he would be benefited on the north side of his land but not on the south.

Joseph P. Daines protests that he has 13 acres already drained and consequently would receive no benefits.

Clyde Daines protests on the grounds that his land is already dreained.

R.H. Alliston protests that hisvaluation is too high .

Abraham Smith protests that he will receive no benefits and asks that his land be eliminated from the district.

- J. W. D. Hurren protests that he is assessed with 25 2 acres which should be 18 acres. The excess being caused by his having to obtain deeds to correct the description in his original deed.
  - G. F. Ashcroft protests that he assessed on 15 acres and has only 10.

Christian C. Lee protests his valuation is too high. The land is mis-graded.

R. E. Dee protests that his valuation is too high.

Heber Balls protests on 7.5 acres and claims he would receive no benefits.

Charles Balls protests that on his 15.5 acres no benefits will be received.

Reuben A. Perkes objects on the grounds that he is assessed with 25.7 and he has only 18 acres, part of which is not in the district. He is assessed at \$150

per acre and he would receive no benefits.

February 27, 1923.

County Commissioners,

Gentlemen:

I, the undersigned hereby state the reason why I object to 20 acres of land being in drainage district No. 2:

Assessed benefits are too high.

46.61 acres also assessed too high.

signed J.J. Ormond

February 27, 1923.

State of Utah,

85.

County of Cache,

Marie Fonnesbeck being first duly sworn deposes and says:
That she is the owner of 4.1 acres of land in Sec. 6 and Sec. 31
Township 12-413 North Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.

That the said land is within the boundaries of CacheCounty Drainage District No. 2;

That she protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$512.50 or any other sum in excess of \$00. for the reason that she will derive no benefits from the drainage of her land which is already too dry.

stred " Marie

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26th day of February A.D.1923.

Jounty Clark

County Commissioners,

Gentlemen:

I, the undersigned object to the draining of my land because benefits assessed are too high on the following pieces of land:

17.44 acres 43 acres

58 acres

and 100 acres to be withdrawn as it doesn't need drainage.

Signed anohew hunk

PROTEST DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO 2. Henry Shiffiths, James Hind trancis d. Hoskelly hereby protest against the assessment of benefits in so-called Drainage District No. 2 Cache County Utah. That the lands belonging to Henry Enforce Priffilhs said socalled district, has been assessed at the sum of \$17530. and that the benefits accruing to said land thru said district does not exceed the sum of \$ Hourset Entherna Wriffith That the lands owned by Junes Hind, John Hund France a Roskelly have been assessed respectfully at the amounts as follows; \$500 \$ \$287.50 \$33000 \$\$ and that the benefits accruing to said land thru the proposed drainage does not exceed the following sums \$0- \$0-\$ 20.00\$ That if the said lands are drained it will need more water

That if the said lands are drained it will need more water and there is now no available supply with which to irrigate the same with and thus land that is now valuable pasture will be rendered dry and nearly worthless.

That the said lands are of such a character that most of them are not suitable for cultivation.

Wherefore your petitioners prays the Hon. Board of County Commissioners to fix the benefits accruing to the said lands at the amounts as above set out.

That in making this protest protestants do not admit that there is now a legally created district or in any way waive any rights that he may have to contest the same.

Dated at Logan Utah this 27th day of February 1923.

Janes Sind Johns T. Hisra Transcer Ci Bokeley Albert Arrorgs Alland Mornholm H. Marc

County Commissioners:

I protest that the benefits as assessed against me are too high.

I have only seven acres out of my forty-five acres that would
be benefited by drainage. Thirty-five acres are assessed.

Gottpried Sentler

County Commissioners,

#### Gentaemen:

I, the undersigned hereby state my objectsions on the following grounds:

The south half of section 13 was misrepresented and ask the Commissioners to withdraw same from the district.

Forty acres in Sec. 14, partly drained.

Signed J. 9 and J.W. R. 249

By Jahn 9 Rids

State of Utah ss.

Les Chambers being first duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. That he is the owner of 2/.20 acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$2650,00 or any other sum in excess of \$400.00.
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

	100	Notary Public			
San San	R	esiding at		. \	
		Jon. 6th 1926		-	

Logan Feb, 27-1923 N. The Hon. Co. Communicario I the undersigned object to the Drainage district and think it should be abolished, I think the classifications are fair ast to benifils and believe you should let them stand as reported by the affm Andrew

County Commissioners,

Gentlemen:

I, the undersigned hereby state the objections I have to having any of my land in the drainage district.

4.2 acres was assessed without my knowledge and don't need draining.

Signed Jasaph b, Jorgenson

County Commissionrs.

Gentlemen:

I, the undersigned object to have my land taken in the drainage district on the gound of the benefits assessment is too high, on the 40 acres in Sec. 13.

Signed & James & Maughan

Til z? the Do Comision genter my objection to Downiege is we are mot financias prepared at this time to feat it our Therefore it is my centiment to abolise Lours Peterson

### PROTEST DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO2".

Abraham Jorgensen being first duly sworn deposes and says that he protests against the assessments of benefits on his land in Drainage District No. 2 Cache County Utah.

That the benefits proposed is the sum of \$19017.75 and 212 acres of land within the said district and that the befiefits accruing to the said lands thru the proposed drainage does not exceed the sum of \$2500.00

That there is only 65 acres that would be benefited in the least by drainage and that the balance of the said land would be damaged by drainage.

That 150 acres of this ground is irrigated and is planted sugar beets, alfalfa, potatoes and other valuable crops all of which require several applications of water during each and every year.

That all of the lands adjoining this, within the said district, as well as this land can be drained at a cost of not to exceed \$25.00 per acre.

That in making this protest affiant does not in any way admit that there is a legally created district or that the County Commissioners or the Board of Supervisers have any jurisdiction to assess benefits, on the said land.

A Jorgansen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of February 1923.

Notary Public
Residing at Logan Utah.

My commission expires Jan.6th 1926.

State of Utah, County of Cache,

- F. J. Gordon, being first duly sworn deposes and says:
- 1. That he is the owner of 12.11 acres of land in section six (6) Township Twelve(12) North Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$263.72, or any other sum in excess of \$000.
- 4. That the said lands have soil above the hard pan, only about eight inches deep, which, together with the natural cutup condition of the land by sloughs and ditches, makes it unsuitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained, it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that it will be practically impossible to keep it moist enough to raise grass.
- 5. That in making this protest, he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceedings therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally greated district.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27 day of March,

My commission expires July 4/936,

State of Utah, ss. County of Cache,

Parley Nilson being first duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. That he is the owner of 11.84 acres of land inSection Thirty-one (31) Township Thirteen (13), North Range One East of the Salt LakeMeridian.
- . 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$1479.50 or any other sum in excess of \$000.
- 4. It now raises good crops and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained, it will be rendered dry and arid andthat it will be practically impossible to keep the land sufficiently moist to raise crops.
- 5. That in making this protest, he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding there in nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Parley Nilson

Subscribed and swornto before me this 22 day of March 1923.

My commission expires

State of Utah County of Cache

and says:

my forouthed being first duly sworn deposes

- 1. That he is the owner of \_\_\_\_\_ acres of land in section four in township 12 north Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$ 1/2500 or any other sum in excess of \$ /
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rnedered 'dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Mulsowthe,

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923. Chambero Notery Public

Residing at Joggan Mah

My commission expires 1-6-26.

State of Utah County of Cache

Peter Honsembeing first duly sworn deposes

- 1. That he is the owner of \_\_\_\_\_\_ acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$ /250.00 or any other sum in excess of \$ 0
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is: that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Peter Hansin

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923. Thambers Notary Public

Residing at Jogan Mah

My commission expires Jan. 6th, 1926.//

State of Utah SS. County of Cache Losson being first duly sworn deposes 1. That he is the owner of 10 acres of land in section four in township 12 north Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian. 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2. 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$125000 or any other sum in excess of \$00. 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rnedered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands. 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district. J. P. Toolso Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923. Notary Public Residing at\_\_\_\_ My commission expires\_

State of Utah

County of Cache

10

Geo P Toolson \_ being first duly sworn deposes and says;

- 1. That he is the owner of 10 acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian.

  2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$2,000 or any other sum on excess of \$0.
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value that the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not vaive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

  Leo P Doolson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

Notery Public

Residing at Logan Utah.

My commission expires Jan.6th 1926.

State of Utah ss.

Richard H. Richardsbeing first duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. That he is the owner of 56. acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range One East of the Salt.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of 2850. O ...
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Rihard H. Richardson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

lotary Public

Residing at Jogan ant

My commission expires 1-6-26.

State of Utah County of Cache Trens Voolsonbeing first duly sworn de poses and says: 1. That he is the owner of 5 acres of land in section four in township 12 north Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian. 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2. 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$ 625 or any other sum in excess of \$ 0 ... 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be redered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands. 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district. Lorenzo Toolson Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February Shambers Motor Public 1923.

My commission expires — 6—56

State of Utah County of Cache

This, champer judeing first duly sworn de poses

- 1. That he is the owner of 12 acres of land in section four in township 12 north Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$ 1500, or any other sum in excess of \$ 0
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rnedered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Theo, champer ye,

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923. Notary Public

Residing at Jagan Mach

State of Utah

County of Cache

Thos H Chambers being first duly sworn deposes and says;

- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$1875.00 or any other sum on excess of \$150.00.
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value that the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

El. Chambers
Notery Public

Residing at Logan Utah.

My commission expires Jan.6th 1926.

State of Utah County of Cache afred 90. Chambers being first duly sworn deposes and says; 1. That he is the owner of /8 acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian. 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2. 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$ 9 9 5 6 or any other sum on excess of \$ 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value that the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands. 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district. alfred W. Chambers Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923. Notary Public Residing at Logan Utah. My commission expires Jan. 6th 1926.

State of Utah

County of Cache

hary le Chembering first duly sworn deposes and says;

- 1. That he is the pwner of <u>fa.92</u> acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian.

  2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value that the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

  Macana Carlohamalem

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

Notary Public

Residing at Logan Utah.

Thambers

My commission expires Jan.6th 1926.

State of Utah

\*

S. M. Sources being first duly sworn deposes and says;

- 1. That they is the owner of twenty acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range one East of the Salt Lake Meridian.

  2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That they protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$250000 or any other sum on excess of \$0
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value that the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

  In Source on the street of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

Notary Public

Residing at Logan Utah.

My commission expires Jan.6th 1926.

State of Utah ss. County of Cache

Edgar Nilson & Milo Nilson being first duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. That he is the owner of five acres of land in section five in township 12 North Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
- 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
- 3. That he protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$62500 or any other sum in excess of \$2500 nothing.
- 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less value than the same now is; that if the same is drained it will be rendered dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.
- 5. That in making this protest he does not waive the right to contest the creating of the district nor any of the proceeding therein nor in any way does he admit that there is a legally created district.

Edgar Milson Milo Milson

Hordon Clerk

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1923.

Residing at Am

My commission expires

State of Utah, County of Cache,

Ella May Cantwell, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. That she is the owner of 17.33 acres of land in section 7, in township 12, North Range One East of the Salt Lake Meridian.
  - 2. That the said land is within the boundaries of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.
  - 3. That she protests against the assessment of the benefits accruing to said lands thru drainage at the sum of \$865.00 or any other sum in excess of \$000..
    - 4. That the said lands are of a clay formation most of which is not suitable for tillage; that it is now used for pasturage and if the same is drained most of it will be rendered of much less than the same now is; that if the same is drained it willbe rend ed dry and arid and that there is now no available supply of water with which to irrigate the said lands.

By milo Cantive

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of February,

My commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public. Residing at:

Hob 27 1 1923 I object to the change I do hot think I will get any benefit from Done. Thomas Blancher

# Notice of Meeting of Board of Equalization OF CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

Marinus Anderson,

Log	gan, Ut.
	You will please take notice that the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, will
	and sit as a Board of Equalization of drainage district benefits and taxes, of CACHE COUNTY DRAIN- DISTRICT NUMBER TWO, at the rooms of the said Commissioners at the County Court House, at Logan,
	h, beginning on the 27th day of February, 1923, at 10 o'clock A. M. of said day.  The amount of benefits assessed upon land owned by you within said District is \$, upon, upon
7	The assessment roll of benefits and damages of said Drainage District is now on file with the said Board
of the	County Commissioners, and is open to your inspection.  Board of County Commissioners  Board of County Commissioners
herby a	timated Bennefits, as being allow the Goodon!
	Respectfully Modernes anderson

## Notice of Meeting of Board of Equalization OF CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

Marius J	. Fa	lsl	ev
----------	------	-----	----

### Smithfield, Ut. R.F.D. #1

You will please take notice that the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, State of Utah, will meet and sit as a Board of Equalization of drainage district benefits and taxes, of CACHE COUNTY DRAIN-AGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO, at the rooms of the said Commissioners at the County Court House, at Logan, Utah, beginning on the 27th day of February, 1923, at 10 o'clock A. M. of said day.

The amount of benefits assessed upon land owned by you within said District is \$\_1250.00\_\_, upon \_\_\_\_acres of land.

The assessment roll of benefits and damages of said Drainage District is now on file with the said Board of County Commissioners, and is open to your inspection.

\$ protest against pring BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

\$ \$500 00 is not Golden Clerk.

It is worth. M. Jensen Palshir Clerk.

To The Cache County Commiss I think my Land is assessed to high an account of the dramage system not bugitte over one half of an acre which is a low corner the rest of the four and one half acres have to be surgated Kirsten Hansen

UTAH FUEL COMPANY

OFFICE OF

MINE SUPERINTENDENT

CLEAR CREEK, UTAH. February 25th 1923

Board of Equilization

Cache County Drainage Dist. #2

Logan Utah,

Gentlemen:

The enclosed notice placing valuation of \$1000.00 upon 8 acres somewhat flatters me and were I interested in Oil Stocks or the Everglades of Low Land of Florida, I might get some kick out of your notice, but the fact still remains, the 8 acres in question is the very last or lowest and most worthless in that section, part of it is greasewood and none of the land immediately below and joining on to my land is wild salt grass and greesewood and has never been in fact could not be used for 40 years to my personal knowledge. I shall be glad if any of you will offer me that price for it or can refer me to any one who might give it the least consideration.

My duties are such that I can not leave here to be present at your setting bit I earnestly ask you to consider this case.

Had you valued it at \$400.00 I would not have been taken off my feet so suddenly into the air among gushing oil wells & other "wildcat" schemes Lets get down to earth and be reasonable and fair.

Yours truly,

Ral Craquer

County Commissioners,
Gentlemen:

I, the undersigned object to having my land in the drainage district on the ground that the construction exceeds the benefits derived from it. 80 acres in section 13.

Signed Louis B. Riggs.

County Commissioners, Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned hereby object to the benefits of assessments because the assessments are too high, and the soil is too light and shallow on the following pieces of ground:

I. E. Noble 31.50, Acres. H. W. Noble 50.00 (38.00 seres on obert)

We desire to withdraw entirely from the drainage district.

Signed O. E. Moble

Walerhouse to Noble

J. D. Weller.

County Commissioners.

gentlemen:

I, hereby make a protest for the following reasons: certain tract of land containing twenty acres situated in the southeast part of section thirty-one (31) township Thirteen (13) One East.

Said land now assessed in class B and should be in class C.

Signed. Hound Reise

lyte J. Daines 3 Now in very case, as I just now found out, that my 10 acres comes in Drawage district Ms. 2, and the amounts of benefits assessed whom my land is \$1250.00, and the land is worth only \$2000.00 The Wal State Land Drawd will gue only \$5000 on if. I drawed my land in the Fall of 1918, and sing they, they has never been any time that my land has been too wet, in fact, I must stop up the brains in mif- summer, The west half must be irrigated. Now why must I pay to have land drained that is drained, and I can get a give good proof of it.

signed any papers or contract to have it drawned, and I have never had amybrdy say anything to me about it! Bishop Toyde ask father about him that it was drawing and he said well then there is use of futting his land in", and he hever said a word to me. I do not understand what they mean by the \$1250.00 lobethy I would be requested to pay it or not, but I will never pay a red cent to have many land drawing when it is so dry that I must irrigate all summer. Can a company come in and tell peoply they must drein

NO, Hunsen approged and hnotest that he has 129,20 & and Claims 40 acres well kee, But and bal will not another 11.5 A kee, no benifits. It has been agreed that arkangement that a sucting shall be arranged between the mr. Sawyer and the Superviors Samuel P. Nilson Complains that the valuation is too high in class 13. Peter Hansen Complains on the walnution Claims it is in too high a grader be in Class C. 10.30 acres John O. Toolson against the valuation of his land and also on the amount of money said to medid to pay for J. H. Wiser, protests on 2 acres where he lives and about 4 acres in streets. n, a Smith is assessed in 200 Acres 80 acres should be elimated: mrs. mary D. Bullen protests 156 acres in they Dist. and she would be willing to have in 10 or 15, acres,

Protests from District No. Two. Reuben A. Perkes protests on 13 acres on the grounds that it has a natural drain and would receive no benefits. And on 23.5 acres which is already tiled drained with 6 inch tile. Lars Christensen protests on the grounds that the drain runs below his land and he could receive no benefits from it. M. C. Reeder complains that the acreage assessed agaist him is in excess of his holdings. P. C. Chambers complains that his land is assessed too high and misclassed, as ten acres are assessed at \$125.00 when it should be in the \$50.00 class. He has 5 acres in section four (4) assessed at \$125.00 which should be assessed at \$50.00. Oregon Short Line Railroad Company , represented by E. M. Sayyer, says his Company is assessed with 35.68 acres at \$5652.00 and he claims that the acreage should not ex ceed 2715 acres as that is as much as could possibly receive any benefits. He also contends that drainage is in part made necessary by reason of irrigation above the tracks. The Out of 13,500 feet in this district, 8,000 feet would receive some benefits. If the primary laterals on the main lines of the drain were under the track in four places, they will accept this assessment on 27.5 acres at the maximum A grade for expense incurred. Hansina Christensen protests that she has only 45.5 acres which does not need draining and she is assessed with 47.00 acres and 6.25 acres. A. M. Toombs protests that she does not need to drain, nor want to drain. Mrs. L. Farrell protests on 20 acres. She claims that she will not be benefited. Mrs. John W. Reese protests on 71 acres. That she doesn't need draining and would receive no benefits. F. C. Lee protests that he is assessed too much land and that his land is now tiled drained and he would receive no benefits . U. I. C. Railroad Company represented by D. L. Stine, P.H. Mulchay, and H.C. Errett protests on the gounds that they would not be benefited. They have a strip only 66 feet wide for a right-of-way and they request the elimination of their right-of-way from the district, but they would be willing to place culverts under their track, although their road bed is adequately drained. James Hind protests that his lands do not need draining and he would receive no benefits. A. J. Reese protests that his land does not need adraining and he would receive no benefits. R. L. Reese protests that hisland would not justify the expense of draining. Christian Larsen protests on 20 acres ; that his valuation is too highamounting to double the value of the land.

C. W. Anderson protests and claims that he is assessed with 160 acres of land while 40 acres is all that he has that needs draining.

George Cowley protests that on 10 acres he has a private drain and this ten should be eliminated from the district and he has 25 acres assessed at \$125.00 per acre and which is three times as much as the land is worth. He recommends a blanket assessment to pay the preliminary costs.

Joseph R. Hebaush protests that his land does not need draining; he would receive no benefits.

E. Berger protests that he is assessed with 2 acres more land than he has, and that the valutation is too high.

William Balla protests that he would receive no behefits on 14 acres west of the Railroad as the soil is too shallow.

Phillip Purser protests that he has a tile drain which drains about one half of his land, therefore he would receive no benefits.

Charles E. Reese complains that 10 acres of his land is assessed at \$125.00 and should be in the \$50.00 class.

Lester Morse protests that the assessments of benefits are too high . That he would be benefited on the north side of his land but not on the south.

Joseph P. Daines protests that he has 13 acres already drained and consequently would receive no benefits.

Clyde Daines protests on the grounds that has land is already dreained.

R.H. Alliston protests that hisvaluation is too high . /

Abraham Smith protests that he will receive no benefits and asks that his land be eliminated from the district.

- J. W. D. Hurren protests that he is assessed with  $25\frac{1}{2}$  acres which should  $\sqrt{}$  be 18 acres. The excess being caused by his having to obtain deeds to correct the description in his original deed.
  - G. F. Ashcroft protests that he assessed on 15 acres and has only 10.

Christian C. Lee protests his valuation is too high. The land is mis-graded.

R. E. Dee protests that his valuation is too high.

Heber Balls protests on 7.5 acres and claims he would receive no benefits.

Charles Balls protests that on his 15.5 acres no benefits will be received.

Reuben A. Perkes objects on the grounds that he is assessed with 25.7 and he has only 18 acres, part of which is not in the district. He is assessed at \$150. er acre and he would receive no benefits.

Logan June 28-1928 to the Board of Supervisers Tentlemen hereby trotes the within decribed Landas being allocetter to high, as that fand never can be worth that amount whethere Drained, or not ordant Jourther State that I wil not have any duct amount if the assesment is Made Respectfuly (Marinis Undersen) di basai ettepone ille.

22 soul.

24 soul.

25 soul.

26 soul.

27 soul.

28 sool.

27 soul.

28 sool.

29 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

21 soul.

21 soul.

22 soul.

23 sool.

24 sool.

25 sool.

26 sool.

27 sool.

28 sool.

28 sool.

29 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

21 soul.

22 sool.

23 sool.

24 sool.

25 sool.

26 sool.

27 sool.

28 sool.

28 sool.

29 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

20 sool.

21 sool.

22 sool.

23 sool.

24 sool.

25 sool.

26 sool.

27 sool.

28 sool.

28 sool.

29 sool.

20 sool.

2

R.C. Goillian

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE
COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

## PROTEST AGAINST ASSESSMENT

Comes now the Utah Idaho Central Railroad Company, a corporation of the State of Utah, with its principal place of business at Ogden, Utah, and protests to the Board of Supervisors of the Cache County Drainage District Number Four, and the Board of County Commissioners of Cache County, Utah, against the assessment of benefits of said Board of Supervisors, filed with said Board of Commissioners on March 5th, 1923, assessing this protestant with the sum of \$107.00 upon .85 acres of land, owned by this protestant in said District, and also with the sum of \$246.00 upon 1.96 acres of land owned by this protestant in said District and also with the sum of \$1507.00 upon 12.06 acres of land owned by this protestant in said District and also with the sum of \$317.00 on 2.54 acres of land owned by this protestant in said District and also with the sum of \$362.00 upon 2.90 acres of land owned by this protestant in said district and also with the sum of \$421.00 upon 3.37 acres of land owned by this protestant in said district, as the amount of drainage benefits derived by protestant upon said land from said proposed drainage works, and for grounds of protest says that neither said land nor protestant will be in any wise, or at all, benefited by the creation or maintenance of said Drainage District or the proposed Drainage Works of said District, said lands not being agricultural lands, but used only for railroad right of way and

no other purpose, and full and adequate drainage of said lands for the purposes of safety in railway operation, and for all other purposes being adequately provided for in the way said right of way is built and constructed.

Protestant further asks that in the event this protest is overruled and said District is completed and the Drainage works therein proposed to be constructed are carried to completion, that protestant be permitted to construct, erect, and maintain, at its own expense, any and all necessary bridges and culverts thereof where the same may cross or be placed in its right of way on said lands, and that upon the performance of such works, protestant be relieved from any further or other assessments or taxes of any kind or character assessed or levied or to be assessed or levied upon said lands in said district for drainage or other benefits.

Respectfully submitted,

UTAH IDAHO CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY

By

General Manager.

Dated at Ogden, Utah, June 29, 1923.

Filed June 29, 1923, at 3 ocloce P. M. Hordon County Clerk

The Con met as B of Equalization Des, Cowley, 15 acres should be elimated.

5 acres is allready elimated and 10 acres is
tile drained.) assessed " "Boo" Thelip Purser, Claims the claims he is assessed at \$1500 and his neighbors are assessed \$500 He has 15 acres should be climated. E Briger protests that his land is valued for 1750 while his neighfor Ore assessed only 5000 asks for reductions John albeston Complains that his land is like a, b, Ruses and is assessed at 86, peracre Reece 41 a. 21 in C 100 20 - 8 125. Steve Campora is assessed with 5232 acres and should be only To acres. mr. F.a. clark & BH. Trater The O. S. S. does. Districts, Hoes not admit any benifits. Ruben Perkes Has only 22 A Cres and assessed with 28 tecres. (F.C., See Sows only 30,25 A assessed with 3293 acres). He also has a mile of tile should have an adjustment) Deed overlass.

CERTIFICATE FOR TAX LEVY.

In the Matter of Cache County Drainage District Number 2.

TO THE COUNTY ASSESSOR OF CACHE COUNTY UTAH:

You will please take notice that the undersigned as Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 3, pursuant to section 2055 of the Compiled Laws of Utah, and Acts Amendatory thereto hereby certify to you as County Assessor of Cache County, State of Utah, in which County said district is located, the following statement and estimate of the amount of money to be raised by taxation within said district, for the purpose of paying the organization expenses of said District, and for the purpose of paying the indebtedness of the said District.

It is estimated that the total sum required for payment of the above obligation will be the sum of \$10,000 to which should be added fifteen per cent of said sum to cover any possible delinquencies as provided for in said Act, to-wit the sum of \$1500.00, making a total levy to be made by you of \$11,500.

You are hereby directed to apportion said levy upon the lands embraced in said District in proportion to the equalized assessment of benefits as shown by the Assessment roll of said District after the same has been equalized by the Board of County Commissioners.

Dated this 5th day of March 1923.

President)

Supervisors

ATTEST:

Secretary

STATE OF UTAH.

SS.
COUNTY OF CACHE.

John E. Lee and A.V. Reese, being the President and Secretary respectively of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true, and correct copy of the estimate of the Board of Supervisors of said Cache County Drainage District Number 2, as passed by said Board on the 5th day of March 1923, and as such is hereby certified to the County Assessor of Cache County, Utah, with directions to said Assessor to levy a tax upon the lands embraced in said District sufficient to raise said sum by taxation during the year 1923.

President

ATTEST:

Secretary

IN THE MATTER OF CACHE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.

Minutes of the Board of County Commissioners held this 28th day of May 1923, the following commissioners being present:

Commissioner Rigby, Commissioner Olsen, Commissioner Theurer.

The Commissioners met with the Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, to consider the assessment roll of benefits and damages, whereupon the commissioners having carefully considered the assessment roll of benefits and damages as presented to the Board of County Commissioners by the Board of Supervisors of said Drainage District, and having convened as a Board of Equalization and Review pursuant to notice duly mailed in accordance with law, to each of the land owners of said District, and having heard the protests and representations of each of the protesting land owners, and having considered the same, and having directed that certain changes be made, which are noted in red ink on said assessment roll of benefits and damages, and the Commissioners having taken the matter under advisement, and having adjourned the said hearing until the Commissioners could visit the said lands, and having visited the same, and carefully considered all of the evidence as bearing upon the assessment roll of benefits and damages, and having inserted the said corrections in red ink in said assessment roll of benefits and damages, Commissioner , thereupon moved the adoption of the following resolution. This motion was seconded by Commissioner , and roll was called upon the adoption of the resolution, all members present voting yes. Said resolution being set forth as follows:

## RESOLUTION.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Cache County
Drainage District Number 2 have heretofore presented to the
Board of County Commissioners, their assessment roll of benefits
and damages, and having prayed that the Board of County Commissioners

sit as a Board of Equalization and Review as required by law, for the purpose of adjusting said assessment roll of benefits and damages after hearing any protests made by the land owners in said district to the assessment roll so made, and the Board of County Commissioners having given due notice as required by law to all of the land owners in said District, of a meeting of the Board of County Commissioners sitting as a Board of Equalization and Review, said notice having been served as required by law, and the Board of County Commissioners sitting as a Board of Equalization and Review having duly met pursuant to said notice, and having heard the protests of all protesting land owners, and having taken the matter under advisement, and now having visited the said lands, and having heard the evidence of the protesting land owners, and having considered the same, and having met this 28th day of May A.D. 1923, for the purpose of considering further the said protests, and having ordered that certain changes be made in said assessment roll of benefits and damages, and that the same be inserted in said assessment roll of benefits and damages in red ink to indicate said changes, now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of County Commissioners sitting as a Board of Equalization and Review, hereby approve the said Assessment of benefits and damages as reported to the Board of Equalization and Review, except as indicated by the red ink notations in said assessment roll.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we, the said Board of Equalization and Review, hereby find that each of said parcels of land described in said assessment roll of benefits and damages is benefitted in the amount set forth in said assessment roll except as indicated by the notations in red ink, and be it further resolved, that the lands indicated by said notations in red ink are hereby found to be benefitted in the amount and to the extent set forth in said red ink notations, and said lands are hereby assessed in the amount, and to the extent set forth in said red ink notations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said assessment roll of

Assessor of Cache County, with directions to the said Assessor to assess said lands as provided by law.

Dated this 28th day of May 1923.

20. C., Right
CHAIRMAN
COMMISSIONER
COMMISSIONER
COMMISSIONER.

There being no further business coming before the meeting, the meeting adjourned to Zoclock P.M.

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY UTAH:

We, the members of the Board of Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, hereby beg leave to submit to your Honorable Board the following accounts for each year since the said District was created.

By balance.....

1921.		
Nov. 22. Loan Thatcher Bros. Banking Co. To J.P. Smith printing 500 vouchers, To Lundahl & Sons for observation well casings, To Wilkinson & Sons, stationary, To T.H. Humphreys, Engineer, Total disbursements for 1921, By balance	\$ 7.50 261.48 13.10 4200.00 \$4482.08 17.92	\$4500.00
1922.		
Cash on hand January 1st 1923, Jan. 3rd, Loan Thatcher Bros. Bank, June 6th, Loan Thatcher Bros. Bank, Salary & expenses of Supervisors, Wilkinson & Sons, stationary A.E. Bowen, Legal services, Interest, Thatcher Bros. Bank, T.H. Humphreys, Engineer, Earl & England, printing 190 post cards, Total disbursements for 1922 By Balance	569.00 2.30 500.00 605.00 2.50 \$3778.80 739.12	17.92 1500 .00 3000.00
1923.		
Cash on hand Jan. 1st 1923, Receipt Tax collections, Salary & expense of Supervisors, Interest, Thatcher Bros. Bank, Applied on loan, Thatcher Brothers Bank Total disbursements for 1923, By Balance	120.00 756.00 2500.00 \$3376.00 363.12	739.12 3000.00
1924.		
Cash on hand Jan. 1st 1934 Receipt for tax collections, Interest Thatcher Bros. Bank Applied on loan Thatcher Brothers Bank, Ernest T. Young, for tax certificate Total disbursements for 1934	470.34 1000.00 4.50 \$1474.84	363.12 1519.64

407.92

1925.

Cash on hand January 1st 1925,
Receipts for tax collections Jan. 17th
Salary & Expense for Supervisors for 1924
Interest, Thatcher Bros Bank,
Applied on loan Thatcher Bros. Bank,
Disbursements up to Jan. 20-1925

\$ 407.92

\$ 78.80 23.22 1500.00 \$1602.02

Cash on hand January 20th 1925,

124.08

Dated this 23 wday of Jan 1925.

John So Lee

Harron

STATE OF UTAH.
SS.
COUNTY OF CACHE.

E.A. Parsons, being first duly sworn deposes and says, that he is the Treasurer of Cache County Drainage District Number 2, That the attached and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the books of said Supervisors, showing all receipts and disbursements for the years set forth above.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23 day of

1925.

Somest famig TANY PUBLIC RESIDENC AN Logan Wa

My commission expires 1/23/

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CACHE COUNTY UTAH:

We, the members of the Board of Supervisors of Cache County Drainage District Number Two, hereby beg leave to submit to your Honorable Board the following accounts from January 20, 1926 to January 10, 1927, both dates inclusive.

\$767.94 \$767.94

Cach on hand January 10, 1927

\$63.23

Dated this 11th day of January, 1927.

STATE OF UTAh ) ss County of Cache

A. E. Parsons, being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the Treasurer of Cache County Drainage District Number Two. That the attached and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the books of said Supervisors, showing all receipts and disbursements for the year set forth above.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11th day of Jan. 1927.

County Clerk

Deputy Clerk

p Park

Month Estate No .. Deceased. FIRST DISTRICT Court Cache County, Utah Day Returned Date Loaned Party Borrowing Papers Year Month Day Year Day Month

Date

	Skainage District  Deceased.	tno,	2,	
Date Loaned  Month   Day   Year	FIRST DISTRICT COURT CACHE COUNTY, UTAH Party Borrowing Papers	D <sub>2</sub> Month	ay Retur	ned Year
June 2-1 1923	E.O. Laron for U.S. R.S	11	21	23
501 - 1024	Genest To Houng	Jan	31	31

		75.			
		Case No			
		Defendant			
DATE LOANED		CACHE COUNTY, UTAH	DA	Y RETUR	NED
Day Year	1 de	o. C. Hermich	Month	Day	Year 2
	Day Year	E LOANED Day Year	FIRST DISTRICT Councache COUNTY, UTAH  Party Borrowing Papers	FIRST DISTRICT Court CACHE COUNTY, UTAH  Party Borlowing Papers  Party Borlowing Papers  Party Borlowing Papers	FIRST DISTRICT Court CACHE COUNTY, UTAH  Party Borlowing Papers  Day Year  Party Borlowing Papers  Month Day

F. -- b